

## NC TRCC Performance Measure Workshop Draft Meeting Minutes

Wednesday August 24, 2022 from 10:00 am to Noon

Via Zoom Virtual Meeting

Hosted by UNC HSRC Nancy Lefler, Courtney Blake, and Eric Rodgman

### Attendees Present (23)

Courtney Blake, UNC HSRC  
Brian Crissman, NC SHP  
Mark Ezzell, NC GHSP  
Greg Ferrara, NCSU ITRE  
Loretta Hall, NC DMV  
Katie Harmon, UNC HSRC  
Faith Johnson, NC DMV  
Nancy Lefler, UNC HSRC  
Beven Love, NC DMV  
Tom Mitchell, DHHS EMS  
Brian Murphy, NC DOT  
Clyde Noble, NC DMV  
Dale Privette, FHWA  
Scott Proescholdbell, NC DHHS  
Eric Rodgman, UNC HSRC  
Kim Rutledge, NC AOC  
Sharon Schiro, UNC Trauma Registry  
Jon Shaban, City of Charlotte  
Roger Smock, NC DOT Rail Division  
Eddwin Surita, NC GHSP (NC State Data Coordinator)  
Shawn Troy, NC DOT  
Anna Waller, UNC CCHI  
Carlton Williams, NC AOC

10:00 am Welcome / Introductions – Nancy Lefler

10:10 am Plan for the Day / Background / Description of the Morning Workshop -- Nancy

10:20 am Example of Performance Measures for **Timeliness** / Request to the TRCC of Any Current Examples – Nancy and the TRCC

Greg Ferrara from ITRE started and noted that they maintain a dashboard that tracks all their ITRE statistical dashboards. He noted there was not a specific goal, but they just wanted to track on-line hits for each dashboard.

Faith Johnson from DMV noted that they have a goal of updating their roadway characteristics data within one year.

Brian Murphy from DOT noted that they track the time from the actual crash to when it is available on the Crash Data system. No specific goal, but just being aware of the time lapse between them.

10:30 am Next Measure is **Accuracy** / Definition and Examples – Nancy and the TRCC

Faith stated they check the roadway data looking for inconsistencies / errors.

Sharon Schiro of the NC Trauma Registry noted that they check variables and required that many Trauma variables need to be coded at least 80% of the time.

Scott Proescholdbell from NC DHHS added that they inspect the NC hospital patient data and the ED visit data.

Anna Waller from CCHI and Sharon also agreed.

Brian from DOT added that they check the crash location data aiming to get the location right 100% of the time.

10:50 am        **Completeness** Measure Discussion – Nancy and the TRCC

Roger Smock from the DOT Rail section pointed out the NC General Statute requirements.

Kim Rutledge from AOC said she would check with her colleagues and get back to the TRCC.

Faith noted that their unit wants to perform these checks, but are limited by resources and staff.

Nancy added that the 405 (c) money might be a good money resource for “getting started”.

Brian added that DOT was concerned about some areas -- gaps in data on intersections and the NHTSA FDEs.

11:05 am        **Uniformity** / Definition and Examples – Nancy and the TRCC

Sharon noted that they deal with key definitions frequently changing on the national scene while local static definitions better describe the local NC situations.

Scott also noted that they prefer using the local case definitions.

Anna agreed.

Nancy noted the issue with the crash data – trying to meet the MMUCC national standard can be in conflict with the state crash variables which are often well-suited to the local NC environment.

Jon Shaban from the City of Charlotte provided an example of an issue involving identifying angle vs sideswipe crashes on the NC crash report!

Nancy noted that LE crash report training could help clear that issue up.

Brian Crissman from the NC SHP noted that the SHP provided 80 hours of crash instruction as compared to the 20 hours many municipal LE agencies get / require.

11:20 am        **Integration** / Definition and Examples – Nancy and the TRCC

Nancy noted a helpful quote about the difference between linkage and integration:

“Linkage is the ability to link specific datasets for a specific reason / project while integration is the broader ability to link multiple datasets for multiple uses / reasons.” From Katie Harmon.

11:31am        Last Measure is **Accessibility** / Definition and Examples – Nancy and the TRCC

This could be internal as well as external access.

Scott mentioned access to the public dashboards. Greg added that it included the up-time for all websites / dashboards.

Sharon added tracking access through documenting / tracking requests.

Kim from AOC noted that integration also provides key access to up-to-date information on drivers (their driving record looking at violations) and vehicle records (looking at valid vehicle registrations).

11:45 am        Final Comments / Suggestions / Wrap-up – Nancy

Nancy noted the key NHTSA documentation links for further information. Nancy added that each agency should try to identify a “champion” to be responsible for working on their agency performance measures / goals.

Nancy will make her slides available in her next email.

11:51 am      Thanks to Attendees and Adjournment

**On-line Resources from Nancy Lefler:**

**1) Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory, NHTSA 2018 Edition**

[Traffic Records Program Assessment Advisory, 2018 Edition \(dot.gov\)](#)

**2) Performance Measures for Roadway Inventory Data, FHWA 2013**

[Microsoft Word - Task 2D Measures Final Report.docx \(dot.gov\)](#)

**3) Model Performance Measures for State Traffic Records Systems, NHTSA 2011**

[Microsoft Word - 7439 Performance Measures\\_02-11-11\\_v1.docx \(dot.gov\)](#)

**Other Helpful Links:**

1) Link of the medical and transportation data:

<http://cchi.web.unc.edu/transportation-health-data/>

2) Link for the NC TRCC – past 2017 NC TR assessment, previous TR strategic plans, and TRCC meeting minutes:

<https://connect.ncdot.gov/groups/NCTRCC/Pages/default.aspx>

**Upcoming Key Dates / Action Items:**

**Wednesday October 5, 2022**

**NC TRCC On-line Meeting from 9:30 am to 11:30 am**

Review new TR projects for 2023-2024 / rank them for NC GHSP.

Agenda to follow.

Respectfully submitted by Eric Rodgman.