

Impaired Driving and Ignition Interlocks

Division of Public Health Injury and Violence Prevention Branch

January 11, 2019

Overview

- Alcohol-Impaired Driving Data
- Research and Recommendations
- North Carolina's Ignition Interlock Laws



Alcohol-Impaired Driving Data



Alcohol-Impaired Driving

Average alcohol-impaired driver has driven under the influence of alcohol over **80 times** before their first arrest.

30,380 convictions for DUI (North Carolina, 2017)201 were under 18 years old

1,233 children were killed in crashes (Nationally, 2016)

- 214 (17%) were killed in alcohol-impaired crashes
- 115 (54%) were passengers of vehicles with alcoholimpaired drivers

Source:. National Department of Transportation, *Repeat DWI Offenders in the United States*, Feb 1995. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, *Traffic Safety Facts: Children*, February 2018. <u>https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812491</u>; Foundation for Advancing Alcohol Responsibility <u>https://www.responsibility.org/get-the-facts/state-map/state/north-carolina/</u>

Students riding with a driver who had been drinking^{*}, NC Middle and High School Students, 2017



*Percent of NC High School students who reported riding in a vehicle driven by someone who had been drinking alcohol one ore more times during the past 30 days; Percent of NC Middle School students who reported ever having ridden in a car driven by someone who had been drinking alcohol

Source: NC Department of Public Instruction, YRBS 2017 Analysis by Injury Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit North Carolina

Injury & Violence

PREVENTION

Alcohol-Related Crash, Non-Fatal Injury, and Fatality Rates

In 2017, **Alcohol-related** crashes accounted for **4%** of all crashes, but **26%** of fatal crashes in North Carolina.



Source: North Carolina 2017 Traffic Crash Facts https://connect.ncdot.gov/business/DMV/DMV%20Documents/2017%20Crash%20Facts.pdf North Carolina Injury & Violence

NCDHHS, Division of Public Health | NC ECHS | January 11, 2019

Fatalities in Crashes Involving an Alcohol-Impaired Driver by County, 2016

NC county rate compared to national County rates.



Source: North Carolina 2016 Traffic Crash Facts

https://connect.ncdot.gov/resources/safety/Documents/Crash%20Data%20Data%20Information/2016.pdf

Research and Recommendations



Alcohol Ignition Interlocks

- Alcohol ignition interlocks are breath test devices installed in a motor vehicle to prevent operation of the vehicle by a driver who has a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) over a pre-set low limit (usually 0.02-0.04 BAC)
- All 50 states have some type of ignition interlock programs and laws, yet only about one-fifth of those arrested for DWI have interlocks installed



Source: Insurance Institute for Highway Safety Highway Loss Data Institute. *Status Report*, Vol. 51, No. 5, May 2016. CDC Injury Prevention & Control: Motor Vehicle Safety, Increasing Alcohol Ignition Interlock Use, September 2016; Insurance Institute for Highway Safety Highway Loss Data Institute. *Status Report*, Vol. 51, No. 5, May 2016. CDC Injury Prevention & Control: Motor Vehicle Safety, Increasing Alcohol Ignition Interlock Use, September 2016.



Home » Systematic Review

Systematic Review



Topic Motor Vehicle Injury

Recommended Anril 2006

Motor Vehicle Injury – Alcohol-Impaired Driving: Ignition Interlocks

www.thecommunityguide.org/findings/motor-vehicle-injury-alcohol-impaired-drivingignition-interlocks

Community Guide's Systematic Review

- <u>While installed</u>, interlocks reduced re-arrest rates by **67%**
- <u>After removing interlocks</u>, re-arrest **rates reverted** to rates similar to those of people convicted of DUI who had not used interlocks
- <u>Drivers with interlocks</u> had fewer alcohol-related crashes than those who only had licenses suspended for a DUI conviction
- Overall crash rates for drivers with interlocks installed were similar to the crash rates for the general driving population

Source: The Community Guide, *Reducing Alcohol-Impaired Driving: Ignition Interlocks*, September 2013.

North Carolina Injury & Violence

The Task Force on Community Preventive Services' Recommendation:

"The Community Preventive Services Task Force recommends:

Use ignition interlocks for people convicted of alcoholimpaired driving on the

Strong evidence of their effectiveness in reducing re-arrest rates while the interlocks are installed.



Impact of State Ignition Interlock Laws on Alcohol-Involved Crash Deaths in the United States

Elinore J. Kaufman, MD, and Douglas J. Wiebe, PhD

Objectives. To investigate the impact on alcohol-involved crash deaths of universal ignition interlock requirements, which aim to prevent people convicted of driving under the influence of alcohol from driving while intoxicated.

Methods. We used data from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration for 1999 to 2013. From 2004 to 2013, 18 states made interlocks mandatory for all drunk-driving convictions. We compared alcohol-involved crash deaths between 18 states with and 32 states without universal interlock requirements, accounting for state and year effects, and for clustering within states.

Mandated universal interlocks were associated with **15% fewer alcohol-involved crash deaths.**

North Carolina Injury & Violence

Mandating Treatment Based on Interlock Performance: Evidence for Effectiveness

Background: Vehicle alcohol ignition interlocks reduce alcohol-impaired driving recidivism while installed, but recidivism reduction does not continue after removal. It has been suggested that integrating alcohol use disorder (AUD) treatment with interlock programs might extend the effectiveness of interlocks in reducing recidivism beyond their removal. This study evaluated the first implementation of a Florida policy mandating AUD treatment for driving under the influence (DUI) offenders on interlocks. Treatment was required when the offender accumulated 3 violations (defined as 2 "lockouts" within 4 hours; a lockout occurs when the device prevents a drinking driver from starting the vehicle).

Methods: Cox regression was used to compare alcohol-impaired driving recidivism during the 48 months following the interlock removal between 2 groups: (i) 640 multiple DUI offenders who received AUD treatment while interlocks were installed; and (ii) 806 matched offenders not mandated to treatment while interlocks were installed.

Drivers who received treatment experienced a **32% decrease in re-arrest** compared to those who did not attend rehab.

Benefits of treating ignition interlock users:

- Lowered recidivism by 32%
- Prevented
 - 45 arrests
 - 14 motor vehicle crashes
 - ~ 10 injuries

Economic benefit

Treated 640 DUI offenders at a cost of \$192,000

Prevented \$905,000 in crash costs

Net benefit = \$713,000

Source: Voas, R.B., Tippetts, A.S., Bergen, G., Grosz M., Marques P. Mandating Treatment Based on Interlock Performance: Evidence for Effectiveness. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res, 40(9)*, pp 1953-60.

Injury & Violence

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Recommendation:

"Ignition interlocks are *highly effective* at preventing repeat offenses while installed.

Mandating interlocks for all offenders, *including first-time offenders*,

will have the greatest impact."

NC's Ignition Interlock Laws

Ignition interlock law

A law that mandates the use of ignition interlocks for drivers convicted of alcohol-impaired driving. An ignition interlock is a device that analyzes a driver's breath and prevents the vehicle from starting if alcohol is detected.

As of July 1, 2015, North Carolina required ignition interlocks for repeat offenders convicted of alcohol-impaired driving and firsttime offenders with a particularly high blood alcohol concentration (30).

Task Force on Community Preventive Services recommendation: Use of ignition interlocks is recommended for all people convicted of alcohol-impaired driving on the basis of strong evidence of interlocks' effectiveness in reducing re-arrest rates while the interlocks are installed (20).

Rating	State ignition interlock law						
Green	Ignition interlocks required for all						
	offenders convicted of alcohol-						
	impaired driving (i.e., driving with a						
Green	blood alcohol concentration [BAC]						
	\geq 0.08 g/dL), which includes both						
	first-time and repeat offenders						
	Ignition interlocks required for						
	repeat offenders convicted of						
Vallow	repeat offenders convicted of alcohol-impaired driving or						
Yellow	repeat offenders convicted of alcohol-impaired driving or first-time offenders with a						
Yellow	repeat offenders convicted of alcohol-impaired driving or first-time offenders with a particularly high BAC (e.g., BAC						
Yellow	repeat offenders convicted of alcohol-impaired driving or first-time offenders with a particularly high BAC (e.g., BAC ≥0.15 g/dL)						
Yellow	repeat offenders convicted of alcohol-impaired driving or first-time offenders with a particularly high BAC (e.g., BAC ≥0.15 g/dL) Ignition interlocks not required for						
Yellow Red	repeat offenders convicted of alcohol-impaired driving or first-time offenders with a particularly high BAC (e.g., BAC ≥0.15 g/dL) Ignition interlocks not required for any offenders convicted of						

How This Rating Was Determined

The rating reflects the extent to which the state required use of ignition interlocks for drivers convicted of alcohol-impaired driving. Ratings are based on data collected from the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) on July 1, 2015, and therefore reflect IIHS's interpretation of each state's policy at that time (30). The "as of" date referenced—July 1, 2015—is the date CDC assessed the policy. The date does not reflect when the law was enacted or became effective.

Source: National Conference of State Legislatures, State Ignition Interlock Laws, June 2016.



Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Evaluation of State Ignition Interlock Programs, Interlock Use Analyses From 28 States, 2006-2011, May 2015

Interlocks in use per 100 DWI arrests, 2011



Ignition Interlock Laws by State, 2018



NCDHHS, Division of Public Health | NC ECHS | January 11, 2019

Alcohol Data Resources





Chronic Disease and Injury Section



IVP Home

About Us

Contact Us

Data and Surveillance

Prevention Resources

Resources and Reports

DHHS > DPH > Chronic Disease and Injury Section > IVP Branch> Data > Alcohol Use

Injury and Violence Prevention Branch

Alcohol Use and Related Injuries

+ Data and Surveillance Navigation

Fact Sheet: Excessive Alcohol Use in North Carolina (PDF, 255 KB) - Updated 01/07/19

Excessive alcohol use can put you at risk for many harmful health outcomes including chronic conditions (like liver disease and cancer), motor vehicle crashes, injuries, and violence. Excessive alcohol use includes:

- Binge drinking: 4 or more drinks during a single occasion for women, 5 or more drinks during a single occasion for men
- Heavy drinking: 8 or more drinks per week for women, 15 or more drinks per week for men
- Any alcohol consumed by pregnant women
- Any alcohol consumed by those under age 21

Surveillance Data

Data from vital records, hospital discharge data, emergency department data, and other sources are used to better understand and address the negative outcomes of excessive alcohol use.

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS): Alcohol and Drinking and Driving

- 2015 Heavy Drinking among Adults (BRFSS) (PDF, 350 KB)
- 2015 Binge Drinking among Adults (BRFSS) (PDF, 351 KB)
- 2014 Heavy Drinking among Adults (BRFSS) (PDF, 350 KB)
- 2014 Binge Drinking among Adults (BRFSS) (PDF, 352 KB)
- 2014 Drinking and Driving (BRFSS) (PDF, 209 KB)
- 2013 Heavy Drinking among Adults (BRFSS) (PDF, 250 KB)
- 2013 Binge Drinking among Adults (BRFSS) (PDF, 332 KB)
- 2012 Drinking and Driving (BRFSS) (PDF, 126 KB)
- 2011 Heavy Drinking among Adults (BRFSS) (PDF, 124 KB)
- 2011 Binge Drinking among Adults (BRFSS) (PDF, 159 KB)

See also: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) Injury Data

Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS): Drinking During Pregnancy

- 2012 Drinking in the Last Three Months of Pregnancy (PRAMS) (PDF, 202 KB)
- DOMA DURING IN ANY THEIR MARKED OF DECISION (DDMARD) (DDM. 400 (VD)

https://www.injuryfreenc.ncdhhs.gov/DataSurveillance/alcohol.htm

Dashboard Layout								Public Health Impact demonstrated	
Alcohol & the Public's Health in North Carolina									
<	Overview	Impact: Short-Term	Impact: Long-Term		Impact: Driving Fatalities	Ou	utlet Density	i	as short- and long-term
Public Health Impact of Excessive Alcohol Use County Selector in North Carolina							•		
Excessive alcohol use impacts all age groups, though the related health outcomes shift as people age. Overall, alcohol outcomes can be sorted into two categories: short-term and long-term. Short term				26,000 years of potential life lost each year to young NC adults due to excessive alcohol consumption				Some data will be available by	
outcomes (acute events like alcohol poisoning and crashes) primarily affect younger people under 50. Long term outcomes (chronic diseases like alchol dependency and liver disase) typically affect adults over 50.					North Carolinians aged 20-34 experience the greatest years of life lost due to excessive alcohol use. Between 2006 and 2019, this group averaged 25,958 years of potential life lost. Years of life lost are early deaths before average life expectancy (not really. FIX)				county
Short Term Outcomes for Young People					1	Alcoho	ol-Related		
Underage drinking is a continued concern in North Carolina. In 2,017, 12.4% of high school students reported binge drinking in the past 30 day (YRBS, 2017).					,017, st 30 days	ED Vis McDowell NC	its (< 21) • 25 4.746		
Easier alcohol access impacts youth safety. 95% of violent crimes									

Dashboard Layout

MAPS

Alcohol-Related Deaths

3,994 NC deaths in 2,017 Data Tab Available data stratified by county will be available here. Includes Rate/100k 0.0 ED, death, density, and cost of excessive alcohol COUNTY DATA use.



Emergency Department Visits (<21)

2,235 ED Visits, age < 21 in 2017 (2017 data only)



County Data Table

	McDowell	NC
Total Death #	289	52,137
Deaths (rate)	5.56	3.95
Deaths (count)	25	3,770
ED < 21 (rate)	5.6	5.0
ED < 21 (count)	25	4,746
Economic Cost	\$33M	\$7,034M



Footer text. Injury Epi. Etc.

Thank you!

Mary Beth Cox, MPH

MaryBeth.Cox@dhhs.nc.gov

Injury and Violence Prevention Branch NC Division of Public Health

www.injuryfreenc.ncdhhs.gov