

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT

SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY PHASE II City Road to O'Neil Street

City Road to O'Neil Street Clayton, North Carolina

August 21, 2015

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT

SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY - PHASE II

City Road to O'Neil Street Clayton, North Carolina

August 21, 2015

Prepared For:

Town of Clayton

Park & Recreation 715 Amelia Church Rd Clayton, NC

Prepared By:

STEWART

2201 Brentwood Road Suite 105 Raleigh, NC 27604

Stewart Project No.: H14009.00

٩.



Stewart Engineering License No. C-1051

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	PROJ	ECT INFORMATION	1
	1.1	Project Understanding	1
		1.1.1 Trail	1
		1.1.2 Pedestrian Bridge	1
		1.1.3 Boardwalks	1
	1.2	Site Location and Generalized Description	2
	1.3	Geologic Area Overview	2
2	SUBS 2.1 2.2	JRFACE EXPLORATION Field Testing Subsurface Conditions	3 4 4 4 5

3	DESI	GN RECOMMENDATIONS	7
	3.1	Trail7	7
		3.1.1 Subgrade Preparation	7
		3.1.2 Asphalt Pavement	3
	3.2	Bridge Foundation	3
	3.3	Boardwalk Foundations	9

Appendix A

Site Aerial

Boring Location Diagrams

Appendix B

Boring Logs Legend To Soil Descriptions

DMCP Test Data

Appendix C

Site Photographs

Appendix D

NCDOT Foundation Recommendations

1 PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 Project Understanding

The information presented in this section was utilized in the geotechnical evaluation. Therefore, if any of the noted information is incorrect or has changed, please inform us so that we may amend the recommendations presented in this report, if appropriate or necessary. As we understand it, the project will consist of the following:

1.1.1 <u>Trail</u>

The greenway trail will consist of a new 10-foot wide asphalt trail primarily along one main line (-L-) that connects Legend Park on City Road to the existing Sam's Branch (Phase I) trailhead on O'Neil Street. One short, ~50-foot long spur (-Y1- line) will extend off of the main line to connect to the Legend Park parking lot and a second ~75-foot spur (-Y2-) will connect the trail to Lake Drive. The overall trail will generally follow the existing topography with anticipated maximum cuts and fill on the order of 2 to 4 feet. The total project length is 1.29 miles.

1.1.2 Pedestrian Bridge

A pedestrian bridge will be constructed along the trail beginning at approximate –L- Station 34+83. Bridge 1 is a 60-foot long, single-span, prefabricated metal bridge that will cross Sam's Branch Creek. Concrete approach slabs, 10 feet in length, will be constructed at each end of the bridge

No heavy truck or equipment loading will be allowed on the bridge, but they will be designed to support moderate loads induced by ambulances and other vehicles/equipment up to 20,000 lb. (AASHTO H10 loading).

1.1.3 Boardwalks

There will be a total of six boardwalks. Boardwalks will consist of timber construction. Specific to the foundation, each bent will consist of two timber piles (8 feet center-to center, typ.) and a timber cap. Standard boardwalk spans will be 10 feet and/or 20 feet from cap to cap. Concrete approach slabs, 10 feet in length, will be constructed at each end of the bridge

- Boardwalk 1 will be approximately 30 feet long and cross a delineated wetland beginning at approximate -L- Station 47+10. 10-foot spans only.
- Boardwalk 2 will be approximately 40 feet long and cross a small stream beginning at approximate -L- Station 52+25. 10-foot spans with 20-foot center span.
- Boardwalk 3 will be approximately 50 feet long and cross a delineated wetland beginning at approximate –L- Station 56+45. 10-foot spans only.
- Boardwalk 4 will be approximately 50 feet long and cross a delineated wetland beginning at approximate –L- Station 61+55. 10-foot spans only.
- Boardwalk 5 will be approximately 40 feet long and a small stream beginning at approximate –L-Station 65+45. 10-foot spans with 20-foot center span.
- Boardwalk 6 will be approximately 30 feet long and cross a small stream beginning at approximate –L- Station 71+20. 10-foot spans only.

1.2 Site Location and Generalized Description

The subject site is located in the just north of downtown Clayton, North Carolina. The trail will extend from Legend Park on City Road to an existing trailhead for Phase I of Sam's Branch Greenway on O'Neil Street. After traversing Legend Park, the trail will cross an earthen pond dam and then turn northeast at Lake Road into a wooded area. From Lake Road the trail is planned to extend to Sam's Branch Creek and cross it along the Peedin/Earp property boundary. Once on the Earp property, the trail will meander between open pasture and wooded segments to its termination at the Phase I trailhead. It should be noted that much of the alignment across the Earp property is along the same general corridor as an existing sanitary sewer easement (line to be installed in the future).

1.3 Geologic Area Overview

The project site is located within Clayton, North Carolina and lies near the eastern edge of the Piedmont Physiographic Province of the eastern United States. Review of the Preliminary Bedrock Geologic Map of the Raleigh 30' x 60' Quadrangle, North Carolina (compiled by T. W. Clark, D.E. Blake, E.F. Stoddard, P.A. Carpenter, III, and R.H. Carpenter, 2004) indicates that the site area is underlain by quartzofeldspathic gneiss and schist (CZqfg). Close to the creek the soils are typically classified as by Quaternary alluvium (Qal), which is material deposited by water during recent geologic time.



According to the aforementioned geologic map, and shown on the map excerpt above, the trail alignment crosses multiple mapped diabase (Jd) intrusion that generally trend north to south. Diabase in this area typically occurs in sills as well as dikes that can range from a few feet up to 100 feet wide. The diabase often weathers slower than the surrounding rock creating rounded boulders. When encountered during excavation, diabase generally requires hoe-ramming, blasting and/or hydraulic splitting to facilitate removal.

2 SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

2.1 Field Testing

The subsurface conditions at Bridge 1 were evaluated with one soil test boring (B1-1), at the approximate location of End Bent 2. We were not granted access onto the Peedin Property so we were unable to perform a boring for End Bent 1. Boring B1-2 was advanced to an approximate depth of 44.3 feet below the current grade.

Subsurface conditions for three of the six boardwalks (Boardwalks 2, 5, and 6) were evaluated with one soil test boring apiece (BW2-1, BW5-1, and BW6-1). These soil test borings were advanced to depths ranging from approximately 18.6 feet to 24.9 feet below the existing ground surface. The subgrade conditions along proposed Boardwalk 1 were investigated with one hand auger boring (BW1-1) that was advanced to refusal at a depth of approximately 5.4 feet below the existing ground surface. Within each of the hand auger boring, the strength of the subgrade was evaluated using a Sowers-style dynamic-cone penetrometer (DCP) testing at approximate 1-foot intervals. Please note that Boardwalks 3 and 4 were added to the project after completion of the geotechnical fieldwork; however, both structures had trail borings (L-8 and L-9) in close proximity, which were used for the assessments of their foundations.

The subsurface conditions along the trail were evaluated with a total of eight soil test borings (L-1, L-2, and L-5 thru L-10) to depths ranging from approximately 8.8 feet to 10 feet below the current grade. The trail was also evaluated with two hand auger borings (L3 and L-4) to depths of approximately 14 to 36 inches below the current grade. Beside each of these hand auger borings, the strength of the subgrade was also evaluated using a dual-mass cone penetrometer (DMCP).

The soil test borings were advanced utilizing an ATV-mounted CME 550 drill rig utilized 2¼- inch hollow-stem, continuous flight augers in general accordance with ASTM D1586. At predetermined intervals, soil samples were obtained with a split-barrel sampler (standard 2-inch O.D.). The sampler was rested on the bottom of the borehole and driven to a penetration of 18 inches (or fraction thereof) with blows of an automatic 140-pound drop hammer falling 30 inches. Of the 18 inches, the number of hammer blows required to achieve 6 inches of penetration is recorded for three consecutive segments. The sum of the blow counts for the second and third 6-inch segment is termed the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) resistance, or N-value. The N-values referenced herein and shown on the support documents (i.e. logs, profiles, etc.) in the Appendices of this report are the actual, field-recorded blow counts and do not include correction factors for hammer energy or overburden soil pressures.

The soil and samples obtained during the drilling operations were placed in labeled containers and transported to our laboratory where they were visually-manually classified and logged by our geotechnical staff. The soil samples will be stored for two months before discarding.

The previously mentioned DMCP is a testing instrument consisting of a slender rod with a 60-degree conical tip and an interchangeable drop weight (10.1 lb or 17.6 lb). The DMCP is advanced in a continuous-drive process with blow counts recorded every two inches. The results of the DMCP are typically correlated to in-situ field condition California Bearing Ratio (CBR) values, which are used to design pavement.

The Sowers-style DCP used for this evaluation is a test instrument consisting of a slender rod with a 45-degree conical tip and an integrated 15-pound drop-hammer (20-inch drop height). The conical tip is driven through three 1¾-inch increments, unless tip refusal is encountered, and the hammer blow counts are recorded. The blow counts for the second and third increments are averaged to provide a

value that is used to judge the strength of the soil at that test depth. DCP values are typically correlated to standard penetration Test (SPT) N-values and/or a soil bearing capacity.

The Boring Logs, Hand Auger Logs, and DMCP Test Data Sheets are included in Appendix B of this report.

2.2 Subsurface Conditions

The following is a subsurface description of a generalized nature, provided to highlight the major soil strata encountered. The stratification of the subgrade materials illustrated on the logs represents the conditions at the actual test locations; therefore, variations should be expected between borings. Stratigraphy boundaries only represent the approximate depth/elevation of a noticed material change but the transition between material types is typically gradual. Also note that the elevations mentioned herein were interpolated from the available topographic data and should be considered approximate.

2.2.1 <u>Trail</u>

With the exception of borings L-1 and L-3, topsoil was encountered in each boring along the trail ranging in thickness approximately 2 to 10 inches. Boring L-1 encountered a 4-inch gravel layer at the surface.

Hand auger boring B-3 was performed on the existing earthen dam off of Lake Drive and encountered fill from the ground surface. The fill was comprised of Silty SAND (SM) and Fat CLAY (CH). The fill contained gravel, which prevent hand auger advancement deeper than 1.2 feet.

Alluvial was encountered in one of the 10 trail borings (L-8) to an approximate depths of 3 feet below the existing grade. The alluvial soil encountered consists of soft Sandy SILT (ML).

The residual soils encountered at ground surface generally consisted of very soft to firm Silty Fat CLAY (CH) and some stiff Clayey Elastic SILT (MH). Below these soils, and below the above-mentioned alluvium, the residual soils are general comprised of soft to hard Sandy SILT (ML) and medium dense Silty SAND (SM).

Weathered rock was encountered in three of the 10 trail borings (L-6, L-8, and L-9) at depths ranging from approximately 4.5 to 8 feet below the current ground surface. The weathered rock consists of schist.

Groundwater was encountered in three of the 10 trail borings (L-7, L-8, and L-10) at approximate depths ranging from 4.3 to 9 feet below the existing grade at the time of drilling.

2.2.2 Bridge 1

Bridge 1

The boring at End Bent 2 of Bridge 1 initially encountered approximately 6 inches of topsoil at the ground surface. Subsequently, alluvium was encountered to a depth of approximately 5.5 feet below the existing grade. The alluvium consisted of soft Sandy SILT (ML) over very loose, well-graded SAND (SW). Weathered rock (schist) was encountered beneath the alluvium at an approximate depth of 34.5 feet (el. 180.5 ft) below the current grade.

Groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately 4 feet (el. 211 ft) below the ground surface after a stabilization period of 24+ hours.

2.2.3 Boardwalks

Boardwalk 1

The hand auger boring (BW1-1) performed for Boardwalk 1 initially encountered roughly 8 inches of topsoil. Below the topsoil, BW1-1 encountered alluvium to the refusal depth of approximately 5.4 feet below the existing grade. The alluvium consisted of Sandy Lean CLAY (CL) over Silty SAND (SM) with trace fine gravel.

Groundwater was encountered at an approximate depth of 1 foot below the ground surface in boring BW1-1 at the time of testing.

Boardwalk 2

Boardwalk 2 was explored with one soil test boring (BW2-1), which initially encountered a topsoil layer at the ground surface approximately 5 inches thick. Below the topsoil in boring BW2-1, very loose to loose alluvial Silty SAND (SM) extended to a depth of approximately 4.5 feet. The alluvial soil is underlain by residuum consist of stiff to very stiff Sandy SILT (ML). Weathered rock (schist) was encountered at approximate 12 feet below the current ground surface.

Groundwater was encountered during drilling at an approximate depth of 4 feet below the ground surface in boring BW2-1.

Boardwalk 3

Boardwalk 3 was added after our fieldwork was complete. As such, no borings were performed along its alignment. However, two of the trail borings (L-8 and L-9) were performed within a few hundred feet of the ends of the boardwalk and thus were are utilized in our analyses for Boardwalk 3.

Boring L-8 initially encountered 10 inches of topsoil over soft alluvial Sandy SILT (ML) that extends to approximately 3 below the existing grade. Residual soil consisting of dense Silty SAND (SM) was encountered below the alluvium and extends to weathered rock (schist) at approximately 6.5 feet below the current grade.

Boring L-9 initially encountered 5 inches of topsoil over firm residual Fat CLAY (CH) that extends to approximately 3 below the existing grade. Residual soil consisting of medium dense Silty SAND (SM) was encountered below the alluvium and extends to weathered rock (schist) at approximately 8 feet below the current grade.

Groundwater was encountered at an approximate depth of 5.7 feet below the ground surface in boring L-8 at the time of drilling.

Boardwalk 4

Boardwalk 4 was added after our fieldwork was complete. As such, no borings were performed along its alignment. However, one trail boring (L-9) was performed within a few hundred feet of the beginning of the boardwalk and thus was are utilized in our analyses for Boardwalk 4.

Boardwalk 5

Boardwalk boring BW5-1 encountered soft alluvial Silty SAND (SM) to a depth of approximately 3 feet below the current ground surface. Beneath the alluvial, boring BW5-1 encountered residuum consisting of very stiff to hard Sandy SILT (ML). Weathered rock (schist) was encountered beneath at an approximate depth of 12 feet below the existing grade.

Groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately 12 feet below the ground surface in boring BW5-1 at the time of drilling.

Boardwalk 6

Boardwalk boring BW6-1 initially encountered a thin, 2-inch veneer of topsoil. Underlying the topsoil, alluvium consisting of loose to medium dense sand was encountered to a depth of approximately 5.5 feet below the existing grade. The alluvial soils were underlain by residuum to a depth of approximately 22 feet below the existing grade. The residual soils consisted of stiff to hard Sandy SILT (ML). Weathered rock (schist) was encountered at an approximate depth of 22 feet below the current grade.

Groundwater was encountered at an approximate depth of 22 feet below the ground surface at the time of drilling in boring BW6-1.

3 DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 Trail

3.1.1 Subgrade Preparation

Initially, all topsoil, vegetation, and any other unsatisfactory/deleterious materials should be removed from the proposed alignment for a lateral distance of at least 2 feet beyond the trail edges.

After stripping, the exposed subgrade soils should be scarified, moisture-conditioned to within ± 3 percent of the material's optimum water content, and then compacted as required by Section 500 of the NCDOT Standard Specifications for Roads and Structures (SSRS). Fill selection and compaction requirements shall also be in accordance with the SSRS.

The subgrade should also be proofrolled using a tandem-axle dump truck weighing at least 15 tons to verify stability. Proofrolling should occur at three stages of trail construction – proofrolling of the existing grade prior to placing fill in low areas; proofrolling of the finished subgrade prior to stone base placement; and then proofrolling the stone base prior to paving. Proofrolling should be performed in the presence of the owner's testing agency so that recommendations can be provided for areas that perform poorly.

Based on the field data and our observations along the trail corridor, we expect that most of the existing surficial soils before Bridge 1 will provide a suitable base on which to construct the proposed trail. However, much of the trail alignment after the bridge exhibited a soft ground surface and/or ponded water that are expected to require repair. These areas are shown in Table 1 below.

Alignment	Approx. Begin Sta.	Approx. End Sta.	Approx. Length (ft)	Comments
-L-	43+00	47+10	410	Soft/wet ground
-L-	48+50	50+00	150	Soft/wet ground
-L-	54+00	56+45	245	Soft ground
-L-	61+00	61+45	45	Soft/wet ground
-L-	73+00	76+72	372	Soft ground

Table 1: Anticipated Trail Repair Areas

Note: The observations above were noted during our fieldwork in April/May 2015, during a dry period (no recent rain).

For repair of these areas, we recommend budgeting for 24 inches of undercut, backfilled with compacted ABC stone over a layer of geogrid (Tensar TX-160 or equivalent). Where applicable, the depth of undercut can be reduced if the conditions warrant and the owner's testing agency deems it acceptable. The undercut of unsuitable subgrade soils should extend a minimum of 2 feet laterally beyond the edge of asphalt.

It should be further noted that at-grade construction is heavily contingent of recent/current weather conditions. As such, construction in the wet season may render the trail's subgrade unsatisfactory for support as compared to the same subgrade in the drier season.

The flexible pavement design shown in Table 2 is based on the standard 20-year design life and the N.C. Department of Transportation Interim Pavement Design Procedure, 2000 (with 2007 updates). We have assumed that typical loading will consist of 10 maintenance vehicles (pick-up trucks) per week.

Layer	Layer Thickness (in.)						
Surface Course (S9.5B)	2						
Aggregate Base Course (ABC)	8*						

Table 2: Asphalt Pavement Section

*The stone base can be reduced to 6 inches if a separation geotextile (NCDOT Type 4) or geogrid (Tensar TX5) is placed between the stone base and underlying subgrade soil.

All materials and workmanship should comply with the NCDOT's Standard Specifications as it pertains to asphalt pavement. We also recommend using the sampling and testing criteria contained the Standard Specifications.

3.2 Bridge Foundation

Bridge 1 is a 60-foot long, single-span, prefabricated metal bridge beginning at approximate station 33+83 on –L- line. The bridge will span Sam's Branch Creek, which is the boundary between the Peedin property and the Earp Property. The bottom of cap (BOC) elevations for End Bents 1 and 2 are 207.97 feet and 208.27 feet, respectively, and will be supported by driven steel H-piles. The end bent loading conditions used in our foundation design are as shown in Table 3, which were provided by Stewart's inhouse bridge designers. The standard NCDOT Foundation Recommendations and recommended Plan Notes are attached in Appendix D of this report.

Condition	Max. Load per Pile (kips)				
Axial*	61.7				
Lateral	2.5 (transverse) 10.6 (longitudinal)				

Table 3: Bridge 1 Design Loads (Factored)

*Compression load. No uplift capacity needed.

Please note that the minimum tip elevations in the attached recommendations are based on a design scour elevation (DSE) of 208 feet at both end bents. Also note that since we were unable to drill at End Bent 1, we have assumed the subsurface conditions below End Bent 1 are the same as those encountered below End Bent 2.

3.3 Boardwalk Foundations

This greenway will include eight boardwalks as discussed in Section 1.1.3 of this report. Each boardwalk will be designed to support moderate loads induced by ambulances and other vehicles/equipment up to 10,000 lb (AASHTO H5 loading). The boardwalks will consist of timber construction. Specific to the foundation, each bent will consist of two timber piles located approximately 8 feet center-to center with a timber cap. Standard design calls for plumb piles; however, some piles will require battering to resist lateral loading. Boardwalks will utilize 10-foot and/or 20-foot spans varying unsupported (above-grade) pile lengths. Based on information provided by Stewart's in-house bridge designers, the pile loading conditions (per pile) are as shown in Table 4.

Load	10-foot Span	20-foot Span				
Axial - Compression, kips	9.2	14.2				
Axial - Tension, kips	0.6	1.2				
Lateral, kips	1.0	1.4				

Table 4: Boardwalk Design Loads (Factored), per pile*

*Based on a 2-pile bent

Table 5 presents the estimated pile embedment depths required for axial capacity when driven with small impact and vibratory hammers. Table 5 also indicates the minimum tip depths that plumb piles must reach in order to achieve the necessary lateral capacity. All provided depths are relative to the ground surface at the each pile location and scour has been ignored.

Condition	20-foot Span								
Boardwalk #1									
(Max. 2 feet ui	nsupported pile)								
Estimated Pile Depth, ft	11.5	n/a							
Minimum Tip Depth, ft	12*	n/a							
Board	walk #2								
(Max. 3.5 feet u	Insupported pile)								
Estimated Pile Depth, ft	11.5	12							
Minimum Tip Depth, ft	12*	12							
Board	walk #3								
(Max. 3 feet u	nsupported pile)								
Estimated Pile Depth, ft	6.5	n/a							
Minimum Tip Depth, ft	9*	n/a							
Board	walk #4								
(Max. 2 feet unsupported pile)									
Estimated Pile Depth, ft	7.5	n/a							
Minimum Tip Depth, ft	10*	n/a							

Table 5: Boardwalk Pile Depths

Condition	10-foot Span	20-foot Span							
<u>Boardwalk #5</u> (Max. 2.5 feet unsupported pile)									
Estimated Pile Depth, ft	11	12							
Minimum Tip Depth, ft	11	11							
<u>Boardwalk #6</u> (Max. 2 feet unsupported pile)									
Estimated Pile Depth, ft	15	n/a							
Minimum Tip Depth, ft	12	n/a							

Table 5: Boardwalk Pile Depths (cont'd)

Please note that the required tip depths for Boardwalks 1 thru 4 are deeper than the anticipated driving refusal elevation (denoted by *). Where this condition is encountered, we recommend the following to achieve the necessary lateral and/or uplift capacity:

<u>Boardwalks 1 and 2</u> – We recommend pile-excavation to the minimum tip depth in Table 5 or pile battering to driving refusal (not less than 8 feet embedded). For these structures pile excavation should be at least 2 inches smaller than the diameter of the pile to enable development of skin friction between the pile and surrounding soil.

<u>Boardwalk 3</u> - We recommend pile-excavation to the minimum tip depth in Table 5 or battered pile excavation to a minimum depth of 6 feet below the ground surface. Pile excavation for Boardwalk 3 should be at least 6 inches larger than the largest pile diameter. The pile should be installed in the center of the excavation and the annulus should be tremie grouted from tip elevation. A minimum grout column of 6 feet should be placed to provide the necessary grout-toground bond for uplift resistance. The remainder of the annulus (if any) can be backfilled with pea gravel (#78 stone). Anchoring with helical piers is also a viable option to provide additional uplift for Boardwalk 3 if the NCDOT will allow their use. Helical piers to be designed by others.

<u>Boardwalk 4</u> - We recommend pile-excavation to the minimum tip depth in Table 5 or pile battering to driving refusal (not less than 8 feet embedded). For this structure pile excavation should be at least 2 inches smaller than the diameter of the pile to enable development of skin friction between the pile and surrounding soil. If piles are battered to provide the lateral stability in leiu of pile excavation, a third pile per bent will be required to achieve the necessary uplift capacity. Anchoring with helical piers is also a viable option to provide additional uplift if the NCDOT will allow their use. Helical piers to be designed by others.

In order to establish the pile driving (blow count) criteria, the subsurface data herein and hammer submittal information should be used to perform a wave equation analysis of pile driving (WEAP). We recommend a pile driving resistance factor (ϕ) of 0.6 for the conditions encountered during our exploration and thus driving resistances of 15.5 kips and 24 kips should be used for piles supporting 10-foot and 20-foot spans, respectively.

The boardwalk piles can be advanced with impact or vibratory pile driving equipment; however, if piles are set with a vibratory hammer we recommend establishing a "refusal" criteria" or other means by which to verify that adequate pile capacity has been attained. This can be accomplished by correlating the vibratory penetration with drop hammer blows and/or PDA tests. Furthermore at least one pile within

each 100-foot segment of boardwalk must be struck with an impact hammer after vibratory installation to verify adequate capacity. However, please note that the testing agency reserves the right to have additional piles struck with the impact hammer.

Piles should be installed under continuous monitoring by a geotechnical engineer or representative thereof in order to make field judgments of pile penetration and to check for appropriate size, length, materials, splicing and defects. Piles should be monitored during driving for handling, location, plumbness, hammer performance, and penetration.

APPENDIX A

SITE AERIAL BORING LOCATION DIAGRAMS











PROJECT RE	EFERENCE NO.	SHEET NO.
H14009.00) (U-5530LB)	A5
SI	TE PL	AN
0	100	200
	FEET	

APPENDIX B

BORING LOGS & HAND AUGER LOGS LEGEND TO SOIL DESCRIPTIONS DMCP TEST DATA

							В	OR	ING LOG: B1-2 PAGE 1 OF 1		
ST	E)	WART									
PROJE		NAME _SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY - PHASE II	CLIENT TOWN OF CLAYTON								
PROJE	CTN	UMBER _ H14009.00	LOCA	TION _	CLA	YTON, NC	;				
DATE	RILI	LED 04/22/15 LOGGED BY EM	GROU	IND SU	RFA	CE EL . 2	12 ft		BORING DEPTH 44.3 ft		
DRILLII	NG C	CONTRACTOR SOIL DRILLING SERVICES, INC.	TIME	of Dri	LLIN	IG: WL	4 ft		CAVE-IN _4 ft		
DRILLII	NG N	NETHOD _H.S. AUGER AUGER SIZE1/4 INCH	AFTEI	R DRIL	LINC	6: WL_	FIAD		CAVE-IN FIAD		
DRILL I	RIG	CME 550 HAMMER TYPE AUTO					1				
DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL TYPE	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		ELEVATION (ft)	WL / CAVE-IN (ft)	DEPTH (ft) TYPE ID NUMBER	SPT BLOW COUNTS	N-VALUE (bpf)	▲ SPT N-VALUE (BPF) ▲ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 PL MC LL 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 □ FINES CONTENT (%) □ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90		
0.5 OL	계	TOPSOIL ALLUVIAL: SOFT, BROWN, SANDY SILT, MOIST	<u> </u>	211.5		.0 SS 1.5 1	1 1 2	3			
3.0 		VERY LOOSE, BROWN-TAN, GRAVELLY COARSE TO FINE SAND, TRACE S SATURATED	ilLT,	209.0	208	3.5 5.0 3.5 2 3 2 3	WOH WOH 1	1	▲ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		RESIDUAL: FIRM TO VERY STIFF, BROWN-GRAY, MICACEOUS, SANDY SIL WET	.T, -	_		$\begin{bmatrix} 6.0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ SS 3	1 2	5			
			ŀ	-		^{8.5} Ss	2				
				_		10.0 4	3	5			
_			-			^{13.5} 15.0 SS 5	8 4 6	10	▲		
ML			-	_		^{18.5} 20.0 SS 6	1 3 4	7			
_			-	_		^{23.5} 25.0 SS 7	5 7 12	19	·····		
			-	_		^{28.5} 30.0 SS 8	3 6 12	18			
34.5	15(1)	WEATHERED ROCK: SAMPLED AS GRAY-TAN, SANDY SILT (ML)		⁻ 177.5		33.5 34.8 SS 9	13 23 50/3"	50/3"			
			-	- -		38.5⊠ SS 39.0⊠ 10	50/6"	50/6'			
44.3	ß			- 167 7		43.5 SS	23	50/4'	•		
						<u>444.3</u> ~~ <u>11</u> _	<u></u>				

				IAH	ND A	U	GEI	R B	ORI	NG	LOG	: E	3W 1	1-1 ((HA) 1 OF 1	
S	STE	WART														
PRC		SAM'S BRANCH GREENV	VAY - PHASE II	CLIEN	т _ тоv	VN (OF CL	ΑΥΤΟ	N							_
PRC	JECT N	UMBER <u>H14009.00</u>		LOCAT		CLA	YTON	, NC								-
DATE _05/04/15 LOGGED BY _EM GROUND ELEVATION _199 ft BORING DEPTH _5.4 ft				NOT	ES:											
WL	WL AT TIME OF AUGERING 1 ft															
WL	AFTER /	AUGERING FIAD	_													
DEPTH (ft)	USCS SYMBOL	MAT	ERIAL DESCRIPTION		ELEVATION (ft)	GWL (ft)	MOISTURE CONTENT(%)		PLASTICITY INDEX	FINES CONTENT(%)	DCP BLOW COUNTS		● AVG	DCP*	⁷ (BPI) (5 20	•
0.7					_ 198.3	∇					$ \begin{array}{r} 1 1 2 \\ 1 1 1 1 \end{array} $	-16	2			_
30	CL	ALLUVIAL: BROWN, SANDY	CLAY, TRACE ROOTS, WET		196.0						334		3			
	SM	BROWN, SILTY MEDIUM TO P SATURATED	FINE SAND, TRACE FINE GRAVEL,		- 193.6						2 1 7 8 10 12 24 25	2 .		11 ₀		35
		AUGER REFUSAL									2					

					BO	RIN	G LOG:	BW2-1 PAGE 1 OF 1		
STE PROJECT	WART NAME _SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY - PHASE II NUMBER _H14009.00	CLIENT _TOWN OF CLAYTON LOCATION _CLAYTON, NC								
DATE DRIL DRILLING DRILLING I DRILL RIG	LED 04/21/15 LOGGED BY EM CONTRACTOR SOIL DRILLING SERVICES, INC. METHOD H.S. AUGER AUGER SIZE 2-1/4 INCH CME 550 HAMMER TYPE AUTO	GROUND SURFACE EL. <u>198 ft</u> Time of Drilling: WL <u>4 ft</u> After Drilling: WL <u>FIAD</u>					BORING DEPTH 19.3 ft CAVE-IN 6.2 ft CAVE-IN FIAD			
P DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION TOPSOIL ALLUVIAL: VERY LOOSE TO LOOSE, BROWN, SILTY FINE SAND, TRACE	ELEVATION (ft)	WL / CAVE-IN (ft)	TYPE 128 128 128 10 NUMBER	L - BLOW	N-VALUE (bpf)	▲ SPT N-VA 10 20 30 40 9 PL N 10 20 30 40 9 □ FINES CO 10 20 30 40 9 ■ FINES CO	LUE (BPF) ▲ 50 60 70 80 90 1C LL 50 60 70 80 90 NTENT (%) □ 50 60 70 80 90		
4.5 - ML	ROOTS, MOIST TO SATURATED INCLUDES GRAVEL BELOW ~ 3 FT RESIDUAL: STIFF TO HARD, BROWN, MICACEOUS, SANDY SILT, WET	193.5 	194 194	$\begin{array}{c c} 3.5 \\ 5.0 \\ \hline 5.0 \\ 7.5 \\ \hline 8.5 \\ 10.0 \\ \hline 8.5 \\ 4 \end{array}$	6 7 9 11 14 3 9 25	14 25 34				
12.0	WEATHERED ROCK: SCHIST - SAMPLED AS GRAY, SILTY FINE SAND (SN	//)		^{13.5} _{14.5} SS ₅	13 50/6"	50/6'	•			
19.3	BORING TERMINATED	178.7		18.5 19.3 6	23 50/4"	50/4	•	<u></u>		

									BO	RIN	GL	OG	ì:	BV PAG	V5- 5e 1 0	• 1 >F 1
PR		WARI NAME SAM'S BRANC	CH GREENWAY - PHASE II		CLIENT TO) WN	OF CL/	ΑΥΤ	ON							
PR	ROJECT	NUMBER <u>H14009.00</u>			LOCATION	CLA	AYTON,	NC								
DA	TE DR	_LED _04/20/15	LOGGED BY _EM		GROUND SU	JRFA	CE EL.	18	39 ft		BORI	NG DI	EPTH	118	.6 ft	
DF	RILLING		DRILLING SERVICES, INC.		TIME OF DR	ILLI	NG: WI	L _1	2.1 ft		CAVE	-IN _	13 ft		_	
DF	RILLING	METHOD H.S. AUGE	R AUGER SIZE 2-1/4 INCH		AFTER DRIL	LING	G: W	L _F	IAD		CAVE	-IN _[-IAD		_	
DF		<u>CME 550</u>	HAMMER TYPE _AUTO								1					
отн (ft)	TERIAL TYPE				:VATION (ft)	/ CAVE-IN (ft)	SAMP		W NTS	ALUE (bpf)	▲ S 10 2 10 2	PT N <u>0 30 /</u> PL <u>0 30 /</u>	-VAL <u>40 50</u> <u>MC</u> <u>40 50</u>	UE (E 60 7 60 7	BPF) <u>0 80</u> LL -1 0 80 F (0()	▲ 90 90
DEF	MA		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION			ML	TYP I	2	SPT	Ŋ-N	10 2	NES 0 30 -	CON 40 50	1EN 60 7	I(%) 080) [] 90
		ALLUVIAL: SOFT, BRO	WN, SANDY SILT, TRACE ROOTS, MOIS	Τ	_		.0 1.5	SS 1	1 2 2	4	A					
3.		RESIDUAL: VERY STIF	TO HARD, TAN TO BROWN, SANDY SI	ILT, MOIST	186.0		^{3.5} 5.0	SS 2	5 8 18	26						
					_		6.0 7.5	SS 3	8 10 12	22		A				
-	_	WEATHERED ROCK F	RAGMENTS BELOW ~ 8 FT				8.5 10.0	SS 4	18 18 17	35		•				
12.		WEATHERED ROCK: S	CHIST - SAMPLED AS TAN-GRAY, SAND	OY SILT (ML)) 177.0	176.9								: :		
	wr						13.5œ≊ 13.7	SS 5	50/2"	50/2						
18.	6						19 5	SS	50/4"	50/1	•					
		BORING TERMINATED					18.6	6			•					

						BO	RIN	G LOG:	BW6-1 PAGE 1 OF 1
STE	WART								
PROJECT	NAME SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY - PHASE II	CL	IENT <u>TO</u>	WN	OF CLAY	ΓΟΝ			
PROJECT I	NUMBER <u>H14009.00</u>	LO		CLA	YTON, NO	2			
DATE DRIL	LED _04/20/15 LOGGED BY _EM	GROUND SURFACE EL. <u>186 ft</u> BORING DEI							H _24.9 ft
DRILLING	METHOD _H.S. AUGER AUGER SIZE _2-1/4 INCH	AF	TER DRIL	LING	G: WL	FIAD		CAVE-IN FIAD)
DRILL RIG	CME 550 HAMMER TYPE _AUTO								
DEPTH (ft) MATERIAL TYPE	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		ELEVATION (ft)	WL / CAVE-IN (ft)	DEPTH (ft) TYPE ID NUMBER	SPT BLOW COUNTS	N-VALUE (bpf)	▲ SPT N-VA 10 20 30 40 5 PL M 10 20 30 40 5 □ FINES CO 10 20 30 40 5	LUE (BPF) ▲ 0 60 70 80 90 C LL 0 60 70 80 90 NTENT (%) □ 10 60 70 80 90
0.2/OL	TOPSOIL ALLUVIAL: LOOSE TO MEDIUM DENSE, BROWN-ORANGE, SILTY MED	DIUM	185.8		.0 SS 1.5 1	2 2 2	4		
SM 222	TO FINE SAND, WITH TRACE MEDIUM GRAVEL, MOIST		_		3.5 SS 2	9 7	12		
5.5	RESIDUAL: STIFF TO HARD, ORANGE-TAN, SANDY SILT, MOIST TO W	VET	180.5		^{6.0} Ss	2			
			-		7.5 3 8.5 7 00	10 4	15		
			-		10.0 4	4 16	20		
ML			_		^{13.5} 15.0 SS 5	9 11 15	26		
_			_	<u>166.9</u>	18.5 20.0 SS 6	31 40 32	72		
22.0			164.0	∇					
WR	WEATHERED ROCK: SCHIST - SAMPLED AS BROWN, SANDY SILT (M	L)		164	23.5 24.9 SS 7	20 36 50/5"	50/5"	•	

						E	BOF	RING LOG: L-1
STE	WART							
PROJECT N	IAME _SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY - PHASE II	CLIEN	IT _ТО	WN	OF CLAYT	ON		
PROJECT N	IUMBER H14009.00	LOCA		CLA	YTON, NC	;		
DATE DRIL	LED _04/17/15 LOGGED BY _EM	GROL	IND SU	RFA	CE EL. <u>27</u>	79 ft		BORING DEPTH 10 ft
		AFIE		LINC	5: VVL_	-IAD		CAVE-IN FIAD
, ∖PE			(ft)	(#) 7	SAMPLE		f)	▲ SPT N-VALUE (BPF) ▲ 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90
AL T (ft)			NO	Ц-Ц	ft) BER		dq) =	PL MC LL
ERI			VATI	CA	TH (UME	NTS NTS	ALUE	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
DEF	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		Ш Ш	WL/	DEP TYP ID N	SPT	1/-N	□ FINES CONTENT (%) □ 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90
0.4 GW		ſ	278.6	-	1.0	4		
MH 3.0	RESIDUAL: STIFF, RED-BROWN, CLAYEY ELASTIC SILT, MOIST		276.0		2.5	5 7	12	
	STIFF TO SOFT, ORANGE-BROWN, SANDY SILT, WITH TRACE MICA, MO	IST			^{3.5} Ss	2	_	
			_		5.0 2	5	9	
ML		-	_	272.6	5.0 SS 7.5 3	23	5	
-					^{8.5} ⊠ ss	2		
10.0			269.0		10.0	2	4	

					E	BOF	RING LOG: L-2
STEWART PROJECT NAME _SAM'S BRANCH GREENWA	Y - PHASE II CLIE	NT <u>TO</u>	WN	OF CLAYT	ON		
PROJECT NUMBER H14009.00	LOC	ATION	CLA	YTON, NC	;		
DATE DRILLED _04/17/15 LOGGED	BY EM GRO	UND SU	IRFA	CE EL2	64 ft		BORING DEPTH 10 ft
DRILLING CONTRACTOR _SOIL DRILLING SEF	RVICES, INC. TIM	e of Dr		NG: WL [DRY		CAVE-IN _7 ft
DRILLING METHOD H.S. AUGER AUGER S	SIZE 2-1/4 INCH AFT	ER DRIL	LING	G: WL	FIAD		CAVE-IN FIAD
DRILL RIG _CME 550 HAMMER	TYPE AUTO			_			
DEPTH (ft) MATERIAL TYPE MALERIAL TYPE	DESCRIPTION	ELEVATION (ft)	VL / CAVE-IN (ft)	DEPTH (ft) CYPE D NUMBER	SPT BLOW COUNTS	N-VALUE (bpf)	▲ SPT N-VALUE (BPF) ▲ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 PL MC LL 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 □ FINES CONTENT (%) □ 10 00 00 40 50 60 70 90 00
0.2 OL CH RESIDUAL: VERY SOFT, ORANGE-BRO	WN, SILTY FAT CLAY, WITH ROOTS,	263.8		.0 SS 1.5 1	1 1 1	2	10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
B 0 STIFF, ORANGE-BROWN, CLAYEY ELAS	STIC SILT, WITH TRACE FINE SAND,	-	257	$ \begin{array}{c} 3.5 \\ 5.0 \end{array} X \begin{array}{c} SS \\ 2 \end{array} \\ \hline 6.0 \\ 7.5 \end{array} X \begin{array}{c} SS \\ 3 \end{array} $	3 5 8 2 4 6	13 10	
ML STIFF, PURPLE-ORANGE, SANDY SILT,	MOIST	230.0		^{8.5} 🕅 ss	3		
		254.0		10.0		13	

			HAND AUGER BOR	ING	LO	G:	L- 3	GE 1 (A) OF 1
STE	WART								
PROJECT	SAM'S BRANCH GREEN	WAY - PHASE II	CLIENT TOWN OF CLAYTON						
PROJECT	NUMBER <u>H14009.00</u>		LOCATION CLAYTON, NC						
DATE <u>05</u> GROUND WL AT TII WL AFTE	5/04/15 Elevation _247 ft Me of Augering _dry R Augering _fiad	Logged By <u>EM</u> Boring Depth <u>1.2 ft</u>	NOTES:						
DEPTH (ft) USCS SYMBOI		MATERIAL DESCRIP	TION	ELEVATION (ft)	GWL (ft)	MOISTURE CONTENT(%)	ATTER LIMI LIWIL DINDIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	FINES CONTENT(%)
0.7 SM X	FILL: BROWN, SILTY SAND	WITH TRACE GRAVEL AND ROOTS, MO	IST	246.3					
	AUGER REFUSAL		, MOIST						

DUAL MASS CONE PENTROMETER (DMCP) TEST DATA

Project: SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY Test ID: L-3 Station: High plasticity Clay Location: CLAYTON, NORTH CAROLINA Client: TOWN OF CLAYTON Date: 5/4/2015 No. of Accumulative Type of CBR Penetration Blows Hammer 1.0 10.0 100.0 (mm) 0 0 0 2 2 50.8 2 2 5 127 2 101.6 2 152.4 2 3 10 254 4 203.2 2 254 2 12 7 304.8 2 15 381 DEPTH, mm DEPTH, in. 25 355.6 2 508 20 635 25 762 30

889

1016

<u>Hammer Type:</u> 1 = 17.6 lb 2 = 10.1 lb

Тор	Bottom	
0	0.7	TOPSOIL
0.7	1.2	FILL: BROWN SILTY CLAY, SOME MEDIUM TO FINE GRAVEL, TRACE ROOTS & MICA, MOIST
1.2		HAND AUGER REFUSAL.

35

40

		HAND AUGER BOF	RING	LOG	: L-4	4 (HA) PAGE 1 OF 1
SIEWARI						
	WAY - PHASE II					
PROJECT NUMBER H14009.00		LUCATION CLAYTON, NC				
DATE 05/04/15	LOGGED BY EM	NOTES:				
GROUND ELEVATION 225 ft	BORING DEPTH 3 ft					
WL AT TIME OF AUGERING DRY						
WL AFTER AUGERING FIAD						
(#) HL d J S S S S J O S OL TOPSOIL	MATERIAL DESCRIF	PTION	ELEVATION (ft)	GWL (ft) MOISTURE	CONTENT (%)	PLASTICITY STER
CH RESIDUAL: ORANGE-BROW	'N, SILTY FAT CLAY WITH FINE SAND,	MOIST	222.0			
BORING TERMINATED						

DUAL MASS CONE PENTROMETER (DMCP) TEST DATA

 Project:
 SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY
 Test ID:
 L-4

 Location:
 CLAYTON, NORTH CAROLINA
 Station:
 High plasticity Clay

 Client:
 TOWN OF CLAYTON
 Date:
 5/4/2015



<u>Hammer Type:</u> 1 = 17.6 lb

2 = 10.1 lb

Тор	Bottom	
0	0.7	TOPSOIL
0.7	3	RESIDUAL: ORANGE BROWN SILTY CLAY (CH) WITH FINE SAND & ROOTS, MOIST
3		HAND AUGER TERMINATED.

	BORING LOG: L-5
STEWART	
PROJECT NAME _SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY - PHASE II	CLIENT TOWN OF CLAYTON
PROJECT NUMBER H14009.00	LOCATION _CLAYTON, NC
	GROUND SURFACE FL 208 ft BORING DEPTH 10 ft
DRILLING CONTRACTOR SOIL DRILLING SERVICES, INC.	TIME OF DRILLING: WL DRY CAVE-IN 6.9 ft
DRILLING METHOD _H.S. AUGER AUGER SIZE1/4 INCH	AFTER DRILLING: WL FIAD CAVE-IN FIAD
DRILL RIG _CME 550 HAMMER TYPE _AUTO	
	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline $
CH RESIDUAL: SOFT, ORANGE-GRAY, SILTY FAT CLAY, WITH FINE SAND	$\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{a}}$
3.0 STIFF TO HARD, YELLOW, ORANGE, AND GRAY, MICACEOUS, SANDY	<u>205.0</u> ∫ SILT,3.5 ∑ ss 2 5
	$\begin{bmatrix} 5,0 & 2 & 7 & 12 \\ 6,0 & 7 & -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$
	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
10.0	$198.0 \qquad 8.5 \\ 198.0 \\ 198.0 \\ 100 $
BORING TERMINATED	

							E	BOF	RING LOG: L-6
S	STE	WART							
PRC		AME SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY - PHASE		ENT <u>TO</u>	WN	OF CLAY	ΓΟΝ		
PRC	PROJECT NUMBER H14009.00				CLA	YTON, NO)		
DAT	E DRILI	LED _04/22/15 LOGGED BY _EM	GRO	DUND SU	IRFA	CE EL . <u>2</u>	02 ft		BORING DEPTH _8.8 ft
DRI	LLING C	CONTRACTOR SOIL DRILLING SERVICES, IN	IC. TIM	e of Dri		IG: WL	DRY		CAVE-IN _6 ft
DRI	LLING N	METHOD H.S. AUGER AUGER SIZE 2-1/4	INCH AFT	ER DRIL	LINC	6: WL_	FIAD		CAVE-IN FIAD
DRI		CME 550 HAMMER TYPE _A	UTO						
(J) HLdJD 0.4 3.0 4.5 8.8	MATERIAL TYPE	MATERIAL DESCRIPT TOPSOIL RESIDUAL: SOFT, BROWN, SANDY SILT, WITH TRA TAN-GRAY, SILTY FINE SAND, MOIST WEATHERED ROCK: SCHIST - SAMPLED AS GRAY, BORING TERMINATED	ION CE ROOTS, WET SILTY FINE SAND (SM)	(#) NOILEA 201.6 199.0 197.5 - 193.2	WL / CAVE-IN (ft)	SAMPLE (t) HLABE 0 DEPTH (t) 1.5 1 3.5 S 4.9 SS 6.0 SS 6.5 SS 8.8 4 8.5 4 SS 4.8 4 SS 4.9 5 SS 4.0 5 SS 5.0 5 5.0 5 5.	MOOD 1 2 2 10 7 50/6" 50/4"	(jdq) Entry (jdq)	▲ SPT N-VALUE (BPF) ▲ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 PL MC LL 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 □ FINES CONTENT (%) □ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 ▲
						8.8	,		
		NOTE(O). STANDING WATER NEARDT.							

	STE	WART					E	BOF	RING LOG: L- 7 PAGE 1 OF 1
PRO	DJECT N	AME SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY - PHASE II	CLIE	т то	WN	OF CLAYT	ON		
PRO	DJECT N	UMBER H14009.00	LOCA		CLA	YTON, NC	;		
				_		,			
DAT		ED 04/21/15 LOGGED BY <u>EM</u>	GROI	UND SU	RFA	ACE EL2	01 ft		BORING DEPTH 10 ft
DRI	LLING C	ONTRACTOR SOIL DRILLING SERVICES, INC.	TIME	of Dri		NG: WL _	4.3 ft		CAVE-IN 9.6 ft
DRI	LLING N	ETHOD _H.S. AUGER AUGER SIZE _2-1/4 INCH	AFTE	RDRIL	LINC	G: WL	FIAD		CAVE-IN FIAD
DRI		CME 550 HAMMER TYPE AUTO							
DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL TYPE	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		ELEVATION (ft)	WL / CAVE-IN (ft)	DEPTH (ft) TYPE ID NUMBER	SPT BLOW COUNTS	N-VALUE (bpf)	▲ SPT N-VALUE (BPF) ▲ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 PL MC LL 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 □ FINES CONTENT (%) □ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
<u>0.4</u> 3.0	СН	T OPSOIL RESIDUAL: VERY SOFT, DARK BROWN, SILTY FAT CLAY, WITH TRACE MEDIUM TO FINE GRAVEL AND FINE SAND, WET	ſ	200.6 198.0		1.5 SS	2 1 1	2	•
_	ML	VERY STIFF TO HARD, BROWN-ORANGE AND GRAY, MICACEOUS, SAND SILT, WET	γ	_	<u></u> 196.7	3.5 5.0 SS 2	4 10 16	26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8.0				 		6.0 7.5 SS 3	9 14 22	36	
10.0	SM	MEDIUM DENSE, GRAY-BROWN, SILTY FINE SAND, WITH MICA, WET			<u>191.4</u>	8.5 10.0 SS 4	9 9 13	22	

NOTE(S): STANDING WATER NEARBY.

0	BORING LOG: L-8 PAGE 1 OF 1 STEWART									
PRO	DJECT N	AME _SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY - PHASE II 0	CLIENT	г _ то	WN	OF CLAYT	ON			
PRO	DJECT N	UMBER <u>H14009.00</u> L	LOCAT		CLA	YTON, NC	;			
DATE DRILLED _04/21/15 LOGGED BY _EM DRILLING CONTRACTOR _SOIL DRILLING SERVICES, INC. DRILLING METHOD _H.S. AUGER _AUGER SIZE _2-1/4 INCH DRILL RIG _CME 550				GROUND SURFACE EL.194 ftBORING DEPTH9.8 ftTIME OF DRILLING:WL5.7 ftCAVE-IN6.9 ftAFTER DRILLING:WLFIADCAVE-INFIAD						
DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL TYPE	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		ELEVATION (ft)	WL / CAVE-IN (ft)	DEPTH (ft) TYPE ID NUMBER	SPT BLOW COUNTS	N-VALUE (bpf)	▲ SPT N-VALUE (BPF) ▲ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 PL MC LL 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 □ FINES CONTENT (%) □ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90	
0.8	OL [TOPSOIL		193.2	-	.0 M ss	1			
3.0	ML	ALLUVIAL: SOFT, BROWN, SANDY SILT, WITH ROOTS, MOIST		191.0		1.5 1	2	3		
	SM	RESIDUAL: DENSE, ORANGE-BROWN, SILTY FINE SAND, WITH MICA, WET			188.3 187.1	$\begin{array}{c c} 3.5 \\ 5.0 \\ \hline 6.0 \\ \hline 3.5 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3$	9 11 21 29 50/6"	32 50/6"		
	WR	RODING TERMINATED	_	184.2		8.5 9.8 4	9 16 50/3"	50/3"		

BORING TERMINATED

NOTE(S): STANDING WATER NEARBY.

		RING LOG: L-9									
3	STEWART										
PRO	DJECT N	AME SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY - PHASE II	CLIENT TOWN OF CLAYTON								
PROJECT NUMBER H14009.00					LOCATION _CLAYTON, NC						
DAT DRI DRI DRI	LOGGED BY _EM ONTRACTOR _SOIL DRILLING SERVICES, INC. IETHOD _H.S. AUGER _ AUGER SIZE _2-1/4 INCH CME 550 HAMMER TYPE _AUTO	GROUND SURFACE EL. 191 ft BORING DEPTH 9 ft TIME OF DRILLING: WL DRY CAVE-IN 6 ft AFTER DRILLING: WL FIAD									
DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL TYPE	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		ELEVATION (ft)	WL / CAVE-IN (ft)	DEPTH (ft) TYPE ID NUMBER	SPT BLOW COUNTS	N-VALUE (bpf)	▲ SPT N-VALUE (BPF) ▲ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 PL MC LL 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 □ FINES CONTENT (%) □ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90		
0.4	СН	T OPSOIL RESIDUAL: FIRM, ORANGE, SILTY FAT CLAY, WITH FINE SAND, TRACE ROOTS, MOIST	ſ	190.6			1 2 6	8			
- 80	SM	MEDIUM DENSE, TAN-GRAY, SILTY FINE SAND, WITH MICA, MOIST			185	$ \begin{array}{c c} 3.5 \\ 5.0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} SS \\ 2 \end{array} \\ \hline 6.0 \\ 7.5 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} SS \\ 3 \end{array} $	3 5 11 10 11 16	16 27			
9.0	WR	WEATHERED ROCK: SCHIST - SAMPLED AS GRAY, SILTY FINE SAND (S BORING TERMINATED NOTE(S): STANDING WATER NEARBY.	M)	182.0		8.5 SS 9.0 4	50/6"	50/6"			

BORING LOG: L-10 PAGE 1 OF 1									
PRO	OJECT N	AME _SAM'S BRANCH GREENWAY - PHASE II	CLIENT TOWN OF CLAYTON						
PRO	OJECT N	UMBER H14009.00	LOCATION _CLAYTON, NC						
dat Dri Dri Dri	te drili Illing C Illing M Ill Rig	LOGGED BYEMCONTRACTORSOIL DRILLING SERVICES, INC.TIETHODH.S. AUGERAUGER SIZE2-1/4 INCHACME 550HAMMER TYPEAUTO	GROUND SURFACE EL.182 ftBORING DEPTH10 ftTIME OF DRILLING:WL9 ftCAVE-IN6.7 ftAFTER DRILLING:WLFIADCAVE-INFIAD						
DEPTH (ft)	MATERIAL TYPE	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		ELEVATION (ft)	WL / CAVE-IN (ft)	DEPTH (ft) TYPE ID NUMBER	SPT BLOW COUNTS	N-VALUE (bpf)	▲ SPT N-VALUE (BPF) ▲ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 PL MC LL 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 □ FINES CONTENT (%) □ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90
<u>0.3</u>		T OPSOIL RESIDUAL: VERY SOFT, GRAY, SILTY FAT CLAY, WITH TRACE FINE SAND AND ROOTS, WET		181.7	-	.0 SS 1.5 1	1 1 0	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
_		VERY STIFF TO HARD, TAN-ORANGE, SANDY SILT, WITH WEATHERED RO FRAGMENTS AND TRACE CLAY, MOIST	СК	-		^{3.5} 5.0 SS 2	4 9 10	19	
8.0				174.0	<u>175.3</u>	6.0 7.5 SS 3	11 17 17	34	
10.0	SM	MEDIUM DENSE, TAN-BROWN, SILTY COARSE TO FINE SAND, WITH WEATHERED ROCK FRAGMENTS AND SOME CLAY, WET BORING TERMINATED		172.0	173	8.5 10.0 SS 4	9 8 17	25	

NOTE(S): SOFT/WET GROUND SURFACE.

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION (ASTM D-2487)

					-			
MATERIAL TYPES	CRITER	IA FOR ASSIGNING SOIL GR	OUP NAMES	GROUP SYMBOL	SOIL GROUP NAMES & LI	EGEND		
	GRAVELS	CLEAN GRAVELS	Cu>4 AND 1 <cc<3< td=""><td>GW</td><td>WELL-GRADED GRAVEL</td><td></td></cc<3<>	GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVEL			
	>50% OF COARSE	<5% FINES	Cu>4 AND 1>Cc>3	GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVEL	0000		
D ON VE	FRACTION RETAINED ON NO 4. SIEVE	GRAVELS WITH FINES	FINES CLASSIFY AS ML OR CL	GM	SILTY GRAVEL			
INED AINE SIEV		>12% FINES	FINES CLASSIFY AS CL OR CH	GC	CLAYEY GRAVEL	e e e e		
-GRA RET. 200	SANDS	CLEAN SANDS	Cu>6 AND 1 <cc<3< td=""><td>sw</td><td>WELL-GRADED SAND</td><td></td></cc<3<>	sw	WELL-GRADED SAND			
ARSE 50% NO		<5% FINES	Cu>6 AND 1>Cc>3	SP	POORLY-GRADED SAND			
^ CO	FRACTION PASSES	SANDS AND FINES	FINES CLASSIFY AS ML OR CL	SM	SILTY SAND			
		>12% FINES	FINES CLASSIFY AS CL OR CH	SC	CLAYEY SAND			
S	SILTS AND CLAYS	INORGANIC	PI>7 AND PLOTS>"A" LINE	CL	LOW PLASTICITY (LEAN) CL	A		
SOILS SOILS VE	LIQUID LIMIT<50	INORGANIC	PI>4 AND PLOTS<"A" LINE ML		LOW PLASTICITY SILT			
VED (ASSI) SIE		ORGANIC	LL (oven dried)/LL (not dried)<0.75		ORGANIC CLAY OR SILT			
5RAI 0% F . 200	SILTS AND CLAYS	INORGANIC	PI PLOTS >"A" LINE	СН	HIGH PLASTICITY (FAT) CL			
N0-0 N0 N0	LIQUID LIMIT>50	INORGANIC	PI PLOTS <"A" LINE	МН	HIGH ELASTICITY SILT			
Ē		ORGANIC	LL (oven dried)/LL (not dried)<0.75	ОН	ORGANIC CLAY OR SILT			
HIGHLY C	RGANIC SOILS	PRIMARILY ORGANIC MATTER, DARK IN	I COLOR, AND ORGANIC ODOR	PT	PEAT			
Fat (Fill - Elas	Clay (CH) Silty Sand (SM) tic Silt (MH) Sand (SM) thered Rock	Fill - Fat Clay (CH)	ADDITIONAL ABBR SPT - STANDARD PENETRA BPF - BLOWS PER FOOT PL - PLASTIC LIMIT IL - LIQUID LIMIT MC - MOISTURE CONTENT SS - SPLIT SPOON WL - WATER LEVEL USCS - UNIFIED SOIL CLASS WOH - WEIGHT OF HAMMER WOR - WEIGHT OF RODS	EVIATION TEST	ONS, TERMS, & SYMBOLS DRY - REQUIRES WETTING TO MOIST - AT OR NEAR OPTIMUM WET - REQUIRES DRYING TO R SAT - SATURATED, NEARLY LIC TRACE - < 5% FEW - 5 - 10% LITTLE - 15 - 25% SYSTEM SOME - 30 - 45% ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	REACH OPTIMUM EACH OPTIMUM QUID		
80	PLASTICITY	CHART	FIAD - FILLED IMMEDIATELY EOD - END OF DAY	(EG. 12 HR, 24 HR, EOD, ETC.) (EG. 12 HR, 24 HR, EOD, ETC.) (AT LAST WL READING)				
70			PENE					
» Щ 50		СН	SAND & GRAVEL		SILT & CLAY			
ONI ALICITATION 40 0 0 0 0	CL	OH & MH U 0H & 000 000 70 80 90 100 110 120 *	ELATIVE DENSITY BLOWS/FOOT ERY LOOSE < 4	* CC VE SO ME ST VE HA FALLING 30	UNDR/ UNSISTENCY BLOWS/FOOT* STRE IRY SOFT < 3 (0) IFT 3 - 4 (0) DIUM STIFF (FIRM) 5 - 8 (0) IFF 9 - 15 (1) IFF 16 - 30 (2) IRC > 30 INCHES TO DRIVE A 2 INCH UNDR/ INCHES TO DRIVE A 2 INCH	AINED SHEAR NGTH (KSF) 0 - 0.25 26 - 0.50 51 - 1.0 .1 - 2.0 .1 - 4.0 > 4.0		
		т (%) О D	.D. (1-3/8 INCH I.D.) SPLIT-BARREL SAI RIVE (ASTM-1586 STANDARD PENETRAT	MPLER THE L TON TEST).	LEGEND TO	SOIL IONS		
SIEW	AKI				PROJECT NUMBE	R: H14009.00		

PROJECT NUMBER: H14009.00

APPENDIX C

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



Photograph #2: Wet/soft ground conditions in the vicinity of Boardwalk 4.



Photograph #4: Boardwalk 2 crossing.

APPENDIX D

NCDOT FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

		FOU	JN		N RECOM	MENDATIONS				
PROJECT					DESCRIP	TION Bridge No. 1 on Sam's Branch				
TIP NO.		U-5530LB			Greenway					
COUNTY		Johnston								
STATION		34 + 13 00 -L-								
DESIGN CHECK		INITIAL DB	S	DATE 8/24/15		P.E. SEAL CARO C				
S		BENT TATION	FC	OUNDATION TYPE	FACTORED RESISTANCE	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION				
END BENT 1	3.	33 + 83.00 -L-		+ 83.00 -L- Steel H-Piles		Bottom of Cap Elev. = 207.97 ft Average Estimated Pile Length = 45 ft Number of Piles/Cap = 3				
END BENT 2	END BENT 2 34 + 43.00 -L-		,	Cap on HP 12 x 53 Steel H-Piles	35 Tons/Pile	Bottom of Cap Elev. = 208.27 ft Average Estimated Pile Length = 45 ft Number of Piles/Cap = 3				
		(SEE NO	ГES	S ON PLANS A	AND COMMENTS	S ON FOLLOWING PAGES.)				

Bridge No. 1 on Sam's Branch Greenway

Johnston County

FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS NOTES ON PLANS

- 1. FOR PILES, SEE SECTION 450 OF THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS.
- 2. PILES AT END BENT NOS. 1 AND 2 ARE DESIGNED FOR A FACTORED RESISTANCE OF 35 TONS PER PILE.
- 3. DRIVE PILES AT END BENT NO. 1 AND 2 TO A REQUIRED DRIVING RESISTANCE OF 60 TONS PER PILE. THIS REQUIRED DRIVING RESISTANCE INCLUDES ADDITIONAL RESISTANCE FOR DOWNDRAG AND SCOUR.
- 4. INSTALL PILES AT END BENT NOS. 1 AND 2 TO A TIP ELEVATION NO HIGHER THAN 180 FT.
- 5. THE SCOUR CRITICAL ELEVATION FOR END BENT NOS. 1 AND 2 IS ELEVATION 204 FT. SCOUR CRITICAL ELEVATIONS ARE USED TO MONITOR POSSIBLE SCOUR PROBLEMS DURING THE LIFE OF THE STRUCTURE.
- 6. TESTING PILES WITH THE PDA DURING DRIVING, RESTRIKING OR REDRIVING MAY BE REQUIRED. THE ENGINEER WILL DETERMINE THE NEED FOR PDA TESTING. FOR PDA TESTING, SEE SECTION 450 OF THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS (AND FOR PILE DRIVING CRITERIA, SEE PILE DRIVING CRITERIA PROVISION).