

NORTH CAROLINA Department of Transportation

Data Governance at NCDOT

Caitlyn Mabry – NCDOT Data and Information Manager Michael Stacey – KPMG Manager Director CLEAR Lunch and Learn – 12/17/2024

Connecting people, products and places safely and efficiently with customer focus, accountability and environmental sensitivity to enhance the economy and vitality of North Carolina

Agenda

Data Governance at NCDOT

- Introduction of SIPS and the Data, Technology, and AI Program
- Basics of Data Governance
- NCDOT's Data Governance Program
 - Evolution & Path Forward



Intro to SIPS & NCDOT's Data, Technology, and Al Program

Office of Strategic Initiatives & Program Support

SIPS

Core Purpose:

Help NCDOT maximize success, act strategically, and prepare for the future





Data Governance

There are many questions that require NCDOT to have effective data capabilities



It is critical for transportation agencies to improve the formal execution around the definition, production, and usage of data to manage risk and improve the quality of decision making

Data Governance

An integrated strategy can help NCDOT solve challenges



Simple requests become complex due to a range of issues including poor data integrity, lack of standard definitions, disparate systems, and lack of coordinated governance

SIPS Role in NCDOT's Data, Technology and Al Program



NCDOT Data, Technology, and AI Strategy and Governance Plan to be completed by end of 2024



Basics of Data Governance

Defining and Understanding Data



Data governance is the organizing framework that develops and oversees the policies and procedures around data. **The primary objective** is to manage risk and improve the quality and usability of data.

Data Governance

Data Governance must be designed with consideration around data management and IT governance practices

Key Dimensions to Drive Data Governance

DATA MANAGEMENT

Data Management is the specific policies, processes, tools, and technology that organizations employ to control, oversee, and utilize their data

DATA GOVERNANCE

Data Governance is the organizing framework that develops and oversees the policies and procedures that make up the organization's Data Management

IT GOVERNANCE

A framework for effective governance to assist those at the highest level of organizations understand and fulfill their legal, regulatory, and ethical obligations with respect to their organizations' use of IT

Data Governance...

- **×** IS NOT simply a way to *comply* with the minimum reporting requirements
- ✓ IS a way to empower and transform the organization by applying continuous improvement to data processes to achieve business objectives

Data governance common misconceptions

Misconception	Reality
It's an IT responsibility	Data Governance requires a partnership between Business, Technology, and Operations
One size fits all	The organization, processes, and technology must be tailored to fit the culture and leverage existing governance structures and technology
It can succeed through a grass roots bottoms up effort	Success requires executive advocacy and sponsorship
It's about having the right tools	Data governance requires the integration of organization, processes, and technology tools
It can be an add on responsibility that doesn't need to be measured or rewarded	Data stewardship may require full time staff commitment. If the role is not measured or rewarded, the result will be ineffective governance action
It's a big bang implementation	Standing up data governance structures is an evolutionary process that requires effective change management 12

Benefits of Strong Data Governance

Improved Decision Making	Operational Efficiency	Regulatory Compliance	Enhance Workforce Productivity	
Completeness and accuracy of data enables improved real time decision making (e.g. project prioritization, asset mgmt etc.)	Increase the overall cycle time for execution across the project lifecycle	Ability to meet evolving regulatory demands for data management with accurate reporting	Increased usability of data to enable to increase worker productivity (do more with the same)	
Enable Trust and Transparency	Minimize Operational Risk	Increase Agility	Tighter Integration	
Increase trust with data within organizations and to external stakeholders: regulators, citizens, customers	Increase visibility into exceptions and risk identification with enhanced data capture and validations (e.g., holistic view)	Faster time to market on rolling out technology projects and strategic initiatives (e.g., respond to major weather events)	Unified Information/ flexible data models capable of supporting multiple business priorities / projects	
Enable Advanced Analytics	Increase Accountability	Reduce Waste / Rework	Minimize Security Risks	
Trusted, organized, and reliable source data is the foundation for effective predictive models	Enable a culture of accountability (data ownership) to meet minimum standards of data quality and enable points of single source of truth	Reduce the time for data acquisition and preparation (20%- 30%) and increase the time for analysis	Improve data classification capabilities for PII and other sensitive information to improve monitoring and better address reputational risk	

Leading data governance programs employ a collection of roles to deliver various data governance responsibilities



Benefits and Defining Factors

- Network of people focused on data quality and access
- Method of structuring and enhancing scattered efforts that exist today
- Structure will overlay existing roles in organization
- Shift from systems-centric to Domain-centric
- Capable of surviving reorganizations by role reassignments
- Temporary membership will bring fresh ideas and buy in

Leading Data, Technology, & Al Programs are being led by Chief Data Officers / Offices (CDOs)

More than 65% of organizations have implemented an Office of the CDO to be accountable for shaping and delivering data and/or analytic capabilities to the enterprise. Given the increased focus on AI, data literacy, data commercialization, the CDO role is becoming more strategic and less transactional with the portfolio of functions under their remit requiring increased levels of investment.

Provide insights and monitoring capabilities to support the growth and health of the business, leveraging data analytics to inform strategic decisions

Establish and oversee data governance frameworks to ensure data quality and accessibility while maintaining data integrity across the organization

Develop and implement data strategies and policies that align with the organization's goals, ensuring that data is leveraged as a strategic asset Drive cultural change to foster a data-driven organization, promoting data literacy among employees to enhance data utilization

Responsible for the overall architecture and quality of data, ensuring that it is structured and maintained in a way that supports business needs

To facilitate this data governance transformation, a "domain-centric" view needs to be adopted



These roles work closely together to quickly address data governance issues

Example of an issue with small pipe inventory reporting



Activating each domain will require a 5-step process...

Step1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5
Orientation	Domain Setup	Health Score Capture & Definitions	Domain Deep- Dive	Implementation
 Broad messaging of data governance program Explanation of domain implications at a high level Assign and orient tructoos 	 Map out structure of domain Identify datasets Identify overall challenges and strategic goals Conduct data flow sessions 	 Assign "domain health" group Assess health of domain's data governance Build control panel Identify key terms to be defined 	 Facilitate deep dive review of datasets using control panel Identify key pain points and improvement initiatives Develop and 	 Prioritize initiatives and develop implementation plan Execute targeted improvements, including work profile updates
 Assign and orient stewards 		to be defined	provide QA/ QC procedure to Stewards	 Track progress and tangible org benefits Implement data quality KPIs



Data Governance

Example activities / outcomes of a Data Governance Implementation





NCDOT's Data Governance Program

Data Governance

Building the Strategy and Implementation Plan for the Program

Began with KPMG Scope of Work in July 2024



Data Governance

Evaluating NCDOT's current state through several avenues

Documentation Review

Focus: Review of existing documentation to understand existing processes, procedures, guidelines related to data, technology and AI

Agency-Wide Survey

Focus: Agency-wide input on current state as well as aspirations for the future relating to data governance, AI readiness, and technology

Functional Area Questionnaire

Focus: Feedback from Functional Area leads and teams on current practices, challenges, and opportunities for data governance, technology, and Al

NCDOT & NCDIT Interviews

Focus: 1-hr discussions to gather teams' experiences, opinions, and motivations (qualitative research)

Data/Systems Inventory

Focus: Development of an inventory of systems currently in use and associated datasets, reporting/analysis functionalities, and satisfaction levels

Data Governance

The assessment revealed several positive indicators...

- While individuals feel that there are pockets of data that have some challenges (e.g., duplicate or outdated data), >60% of individuals generally feel like they have the data they need for their jobs and the data is of good quality.
- While there is not a formal data governance program, some systems and units are implementing elements of data governance in place (e.g., standardized data entry templates for field data collection, manual QA/QC, built-in system data validation).
- While there is a strong desire for more systems integration, >60% of individuals feel that it is easy to share data between teams and **some systems are highly integrated** (e.g., DOH Inspector data flows from iPad forms -> HiCAMS -> SAP).
- Culturally, there is a desire to transform (e.g., adopt advanced analytics/AI, enhance the working relationship between NCDOT and NCDIT-T, develop a more integrated and strategic approach).

	Extract from	Agency-Wide	Survey Results			
	Unable to Answer	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I can trust that the data I am using is accurate	0%	1%	7%	17%	51%	23%
I know what to do when I find data that is inaccurate	1%	2%	14%	16%	45%	22%
I have all the essential data I need to do my job	1%	3%	12%	21%	43%	20%
I know where to find the data I need for my job	1%	2%	7%	15%	48%	28%
It is easy for me to request / share data with other NCDOT teams	2%	3%	10%	21%	44%	21%

Preliminary; Non-Exhaustive

...as well as several opportunities for improvement

1	While some elements of data governance exists in some units, there is no formal data governance program rolled out across the agency
2	While units generally feel like they have the technology they need, they face challenges with disparate systems and a lack of an integrated, agency-wide data, technology, and AI strategy
3	There are opportunities to improve the working relationship between NCDOT and NCDIT-T to improve collaboration in the day-to-day management of data, technology, and AI needs
4	There are critical gaps in the operating model to oversee and implement the data, technology, and AI strategy program (e.g., performance measures, roles/responsibilities, policies, risk management)
5	While there has been some AI adoption to date, there are critical gaps across foundational elements to support large-scale adoption (e.g., business strategy, policies, data infrastructure, skills / training)
6	Historical behaviors and perceptions (e.g., lack of collaboration, resistance to change, distrust in AI) may present as cultural barriers to transformations relating to data, technology, and AI

Improvement Opportunity:

While some elements of data governance exists in some units, there is no formal data governance program rolled out across the agency

Key Findings:

1

- While there is a general feeling of high data quality, there is limited data governance elements (e.g., formal processes, roles, data management standards) in place to validate quality.
- While some tools (e.g., ATLAS, Artemis) have data governance elements in place (e.g., templates and builtin data validation), generally other systems depend on ad-hoc and manual QA/QC processes for data validation with no formal data roles established.
- Disparate systems and inconsistencies in data collection, data definitions, and metadata management create further challenges in identifying the "source of truth" and maintaining data integrity (e.g., SharePoint).

Source of truth for data"

"I know where to find the

Impacts

- Reputation risk of incorrect information being shared with the public / legislature
- Quality risk of incorrect data being leveraged for projects/ assets/ service delivery
- Increased management and labor costs (time and resources) to make decisions without data

"For some data, you can go to 3 different places and get 3 different answers " "There is a lack of definition of roles and responsibilities when it comes to data management" "You can generally find the data, but it can be a roundabout process to find the right person who has it"



Data Governance

Example from Ohio DOT



Leading Data, Technology, & AI Programs utilize several "building blocks" to oversee and execute the program



29

Proposed NCDOT Program Structure



Data Governance

Data, Technology, and AI Program Vision





Data Governance

Data, Technology and Al Program Benefits



Contact Us

Caitlyn Mabry, PMP

NCDOT Data and Information Manager cmmabry@ncdot.gov 717-578-5421

Michael Stacey KPMG Managing Director michaelstacey@kpmg.com 216-296-7775

🖵 ncdot.gov

(f) @NCDOT









NCDOTcommunications ••



View All Channels