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Agenda

• Travel Demand Model (TDM)
  • Limitations
  • TDM and Micro-simulation

• I-485 Express Lanes
  • Volume Development
  • Model Development
  • Measures of Effectiveness (MOE)

• Lessons Learned
Travel Demand Models and its Limitations

- All travel demand models have trade offs between accuracy and precision

- Forcing one can have a negative impact on the other

- Travel demand models do not have all facilities or take in to account all aspects of the road (e.g. exact driveways and locations)

- As such, there are limits to accuracy and precision

This is why a travel demand model is a tool and applied to field collected data/statistics
Travel Demand Models – TDM vs Micro-simulation

• Each type of model has its own use

• It is important to use the appropriate model for the appropriate task
  
  o Travel demand models should be used to help inform traffic change (growth, reduction, shift) decisions over large areas.

  o Micro-simulation models should be used to judge operational improvements to specific areas or corridors.
Travel Demand Models – TDM vs Micro-simulation

How do we use each tool well?
Forecasting vs Capacity Analysis – Process Comparison

New designs strain the linkage between forecasting and capacity analysis

- How do we handle turns on synchronized streets? Other locations access is limited?
- How do we allow for different volumes in the AM and PM peak hour?
- How do we handle the distribution of traffic between a free and managed facility?

New questions need new solutions
Project Location

Transportation Project Location
- Charlotte
- Pineville
- Mint Hill
- Matthews
- Stallings
- Indian Trail
- Weddington
- Monroe
- Mecklenburg County
- York County
- South Carolina

BEGIN PROJECT

END PROJECT
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Alternatives

BUILD ALT 1


1 Mi. from Ex. Lane Egress | 1 Mi. to Ex. Lane Ingress | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access

BUILD ALT 2


1 Mi. from Ex. Lane Egress | 1 Mi. to Ex. Lane Ingress | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access | No Ex. Lane Access

Partial Direct Connector (Access to/from the south side of Johnston Road (but not to the north)

LEGEND

- Green: Ingress Access Point
- Red: Egress Access Point
- Purple: Combined Access Point
- Express Lanes
- General Purpose Lanes

* Access Points between I-77 and South Boulevard may be relocated to east of Westinghouse Blvd based on traffic operations analysis results.

NOTE: As traffic operations analysis for the Build Alternatives is performed, the Access Point locations may be further refined/relocated accordingly.
Alternatives

**BUILD ALT 2C**

- **S. TRYON ST.**
- **I-77**
- **WESTINGHOUSE BLVD.**
- **SOUTH BLVD.**
- **NC 51 / PINEVILLE-MATTHEWS RD.**
- **US 521 / JOHNSTON RD.**
- **COMMUNITY HOUSE RD.**
- **REA RD.**
- **PROVIDENCE RD.**
- **WEDDINGTON RD.**
- **JOHN ST.**
- **US 74**
- **IDLEWILD RD.**

**LEGEND**

- **Partial Direct Connector** (Access to/from the south side of Johnston Road (but not to the north))
- **Ingress Access Point**
- **Egress Access Point**
- **Combined Access Point**
- **Express Lanes**
- **General Purpose Lanes**

Access Points between I-77 and South Boulevard may be relocated to east of Westinghouse Blvd based on traffic operations analysis results.

*NOTE: As traffic operations analysis for the Build Alternatives is performed, the Access Point locations may be further refined/relocated accordingly.*

**BUILD ALT 2D**

- **S. TRYON ST.**
- **I-77**
- **WESTINGHOUSE BLVD.**
- **SOUTH BLVD.**
- **NC 51 / PINEVILLE-MATTHEWS RD.**
- **US 521 / JOHNSTON RD.**
- **COMMUNITY HOUSE RD.**
- **REA RD.**
- **PROVIDENCE RD.**
- **WEDDINGTON RD.**
- **JOHN ST.**
- **US 74**
- **IDLEWILD RD.**

- **Partial**
- **Partial**
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**November 16, 2016**
I-485 Simulation Models

- Development of I-485 Simulation can be broadly divided into three (3) steps:
  - Volume Development
  - Model Development
  - Model simulation to obtain Measures of Effectiveness (MOE)
Volume Development

- Peak Period Volumes were developed for the following conditions:
  - 2013 Existing Conditions
  - 2015 Interim Conditions
  - 2040 No Build Conditions
  - 2040 Build Conditions
2040 No-Build Volume Development Steps

2015 NB1
Referred to as 2015 Interim Conditions

- Includes 2013 Network and GP lanes between I-77 and Rea Road (R-4902)
- 2013 O-D matrices were grown by 5% for all 9 analysis hours
- These 9 matrices were used for the 2015 Interim Conditions micro-simulation

2015 NB2

- Includes Weddington Road GP interchange
- TransCAD MRM runs were performed for scenarios with and without the Weddington Road interchange
- 2015 NB 1 hourly O-D matrices (all 9 hours) were adjusted based on travel pattern changes observed from TransCAD MRM runs
- No changes were made to demand

2015 NB3

- Includes lane extensions at Westhoushe Boulevard, South Boulevard, Ballantyne Commons Parkway, and Community House Road
- 2015 NB 2 hourly O-D matrices (all 9 hours) were adjusted based on travel pattern changes observed from TransCAD MRM runs and peak period field traffic counts obtained from Charlotte DOT
- No changes were made to demand
- These 9 matrices served as seed matrices for 2040 NB 3 ODME

2040 NB3
Referred to as 2040 Build Conditions

- 2015 NB 3 hourly O-D matrices were input as seed matrices for ODME process
- Peak hour traffic volumes from the 2040 No Build traffic forecasts were balanced and were input as target for ODME process
- The target volumes for all four hours in the AM peak period are the same (AM peak hour) and similarly, the target volumes for all five hours in the PM peak period are the same (PM peak hour).
- 2040 NB 3 hourly O-D matrices (all 9 hours) were estimated using VISUM’s ODME process
- Includes the proposed express lanes between I-77 and US 74 and additional general purpose lane between Rea Road and Providence Road
- TransCAD MRM runs were performed for scenarios with and without the proposed express lanes and GP lanes
- 2040 NB 3 hourly O-D matrices (all 9 hours) were adjusted based on travel pattern changes and demand changes observed from TransCAD MRM runs
- These 9 matrices were used for the 2040 Build Conditions micro-simulation
Approach Tools

TransCAD

VISUM

TransModeler
TransCAD Utilization

- TransCAD was utilized to measure overall demand impacts to the study area with the No-Build and Build scenarios
- Use of TransCAD has several benefits:
  - Considers the entire region – are there additional through trips on I-485?
  - Base and future year land use conditions
  - Base and future year transportation system
  - System “equilibrium” - Cascade effect of improvements
TransCAD Utilization

• Use of TransCAD has several complications:
  - Different scale than transmodeler network (and collected OD data)
  - “Noise” in the model can be larger than actual volume difference
  - Can provide volumes that exceed what is physically possible (esp. left turns)
TransCAD Utilization

• Basic approach for using TransCAD for this study:
  - Several iterations of No-Build
    - Without Weddington Rd Interchange
    - With Weddington Rd interchange
    - With GP Lane widening between Rea Rd and Providence Rd
  - Ran all build alternatives
  - Tested using full feedback versus “fixed” trip table – only small differences in overall demand with different HOT lane configurations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>NB2</th>
<th>NB4</th>
<th>Base Fixed</th>
<th>Alt 1</th>
<th>Alt 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>West of Providence</td>
<td>102,200</td>
<td>113,100</td>
<td>118,700</td>
<td>118,500</td>
<td>118,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>East of Providence</td>
<td>101,900</td>
<td>104,900</td>
<td>112,800</td>
<td>112,600</td>
<td>112,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>West of Weddington</td>
<td>101,900</td>
<td>104,900</td>
<td>112,800</td>
<td>112,600</td>
<td>112,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>East of Weddington</td>
<td>110,400</td>
<td>112,000</td>
<td>119,700</td>
<td>119,400</td>
<td>119,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>West of John</td>
<td>110,400</td>
<td>112,000</td>
<td>119,700</td>
<td>119,400</td>
<td>119,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TransCAD Utilization**

- Transmodeler OD matrices had to be manually adjusted based on TransCAD results
  - Different scales, some TAZs have multiple driveway OD points
  - Some “noise” had to be eliminated using select link analysis
  - Regional model is peak period, Transmodeler is by hour

- Focus was on development of Transmodeler OD matrices, not link level TransCAD output
2040 Build Conditions Volume Development

- Once refined future year NB matrices were developed – back to TransCAD
  - Determined that general purpose widening has much more impact on overall 485 flows than the HOT lanes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>NB4</th>
<th>Base Fixed</th>
<th>Alt 1</th>
<th>Alt 2</th>
<th>Alt 2c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>West of Providence</td>
<td>113,100</td>
<td>118,700</td>
<td>118,500</td>
<td>118,800</td>
<td>118,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>East of Providence</td>
<td>104,900</td>
<td>112,800</td>
<td>112,600</td>
<td>112,700</td>
<td>112,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>West of Weddington</td>
<td>104,900</td>
<td>112,800</td>
<td>112,600</td>
<td>112,700</td>
<td>112,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>East of Weddington</td>
<td>112,000</td>
<td>119,700</td>
<td>119,400</td>
<td>119,500</td>
<td>119,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>West of John</td>
<td>112,000</td>
<td>119,700</td>
<td>119,400</td>
<td>119,500</td>
<td>119,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>East of John</td>
<td>111,500</td>
<td>118,200</td>
<td>117,800</td>
<td>117,800</td>
<td>117,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-485</td>
<td>East of US 74</td>
<td>81,600</td>
<td>83,400</td>
<td>83,200</td>
<td>83,400</td>
<td>83,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Developed “Build” matrices that was used for all alternatives
2040 Build Conditions Volume Development

- Transmodeler has 9 individual hours of matrices
- TransCAD has AM, PM, and midday time periods (4 – 6 hours long)
- Had to come up with a process to modify matrices by hour
  - AM and PM peak hour volume differences were manually adjusted in the OD... very manually!
    - Used select link analysis at different locations along with differences in link flows by time period, factored down to a “peak hour”
  - Hourly traffic count by direction was used to develop peak mixing assumptions
  - Used blend of AM and PM peak hour differences to apply changes to each of the 9 hourly matrices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour</th>
<th>AM Peak Difference Weight</th>
<th>PM Peak Difference Weight</th>
<th>Overall Volume Weight</th>
<th>Change in OD Trips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-7 AM</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-9</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-11</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-1 PM</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7P</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8P</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>641</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simulation Model Development

- Simulation Models were developed for the following conditions:
  - 2013 Existing Conditions
    - Calibrated to 2013 INRIX Data
  - 2015 Interim Conditions
  - 2040 No Build Conditions
  - 2040 Build Conditions
    - Alternative 2 analysis in progress
Measures of Effectiveness

• Several Measures of Effectiveness were obtained for comparison

  • Network Delay, Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT), Vehicle Hours Travelled (VHT)
  • Travel Times
  • Volume Profiles
  • Speed Profiles
  • Speed Heat Maps
2013 Speed Profiles – Eastbound PM
2013 Speed Profiles – Westbound PM
Speed Profiles: No Build – AM Westbound
Speed Profiles: Build – AM Westbound
Speed Profiles: No Build – PM Eastbound
Speed Profiles: Build – PM Eastbound
Speed Heat Maps: No Build vs Build
Speed Heat Maps: No Build vs Build
Lessons Learned

• Extensive need for Data
  • Counts performed in 2013; Analysis began in 2015

• First Express Lane Project in TransModeler in North Carolina
  • Analysis methodologies were yet to be defined
  • Scale of data needed changed due to project requirements

• Close coordination between TransCAD and TransModeler staff
  • Initially Clearbox was scoped for Traffic Forecasting tasks but ended up being closely involved in Traffic Operations Tasks as well

• Aligning Transmodeler boundaries more closely with TransCAD zones could have helped with OD matrix analysis

• When appropriate, fixed trip tables for TransCAD assignment eliminates noise
Lessons Learned

• Strive for a “repeatable” process – but the reality is that manual adjustments may be required because of the difference in model resolutions

• Accelerated Schedule
  • Project is expected to go to DB next year. Let in 2017 and construction to begin in May 2018
Thank You!