



2014 Yadkin County Comprehensive Transportation Plan



2014 Yadkin County Comprehensive Transportation Plan

Prepared by:

Vernia Wilson, Project Engineer Wayne C. Davis, Ph.D., P.E., Triad Planning Group Supervisor Transportation Planning Branch N.C. Department of Transportation

In Cooperation with:

Yadkin County Town of Boonville Town of East Bend Town of Jonesville Town of Yadkinville Northwest Piedmont Rural Planning Organization

Published: May 2015



P.E.

Wayne C. Davis, Ph.D., P.E. Triad Planning Group Supervisor

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	۰i
-------------------	----

Chapter 1: Analysis of the Existing and Future Transportation System

1.1	Analysis Methodology and Data Requirements	1-1
	a) Roadway System Analysis	1-1
	i. Traffic Crash Assessment	
	ii. Bridge Deficiency Assessment	
	b) Public Transportation and Rail	1-17
	i. Public Transportation	
	ii. Rail	
	c) Bicycles and Pedestrians	1-18
	d) Land Use	
1.2	Consideration of the Natural and Human Environment	1-20
1.3	Public Involvement	

Chapter 2: Recommendations

2.1	Implementation	
2.2	Problem Statements	2-2
	a) Highway	2-3
	b) Public Transportation and Rail	
	c) Bicycle	2-9
	d) Pedestrian	2-10

Appendices

Appendix A: Resources and Contacts	A-1
Appendix B: Comprehensive Transportation Plan Definitions	B-1
Appendix C: CTP Inventory and Recommendations	C-1
Appendix D: Typical Cross-Sections	D-1
Appendix E: Level of Service Definitions	E-1
Appendix F: Bridge Deficiency Assessment	F-1
Appendix G: Socio-Economic Data Forecasting Methodology	G-1
Appendix H: Public Involvement	H-1

List of Figures

Figure 1: Comprehensive Transportation Plan	iii
Figure 2: 2012 Volumes and Capacity Deficiencies	1-5
Figure 3: 2040 Volumes and Capacity Deficiencies	1-9
Figure 4: High Frequency Crash Locations	1-13
Figure 5: Deficient Bridges	1-15
Figure 6: Environmental Features	1-25
Figure 7: Typical Cross Sections	D-2
Figure 8: Level of Service Illustrations	E-2
Figure 9: Future Land Development Plan	G-3

List of Tables

Table 1: Environmental Features	1-21
Table 2: Restricted Environmental Features	
Table 3: CTP Inventory and Recommendations	C-3
Table 4: Deficient Bridges	F-2
Table 5: Population Data	G-1
Table 6: Employment Data	G-2

Executive Summary

In May of 2012, the Transportation Planning Branch of the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) and Yadkin County initiated a study to cooperatively develop the Yadkin County Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP), which includes Booneville, East Bend, Jonesville and Yadkinville. This is a long range multi-modal transportation plan that covers transportation needs through 2040. Modes of transportation evaluated as part of this plan include: highway, public transportation and rail, bicycle, and pedestrian. This plan does not cover routine maintenance, or minor operations issues. Refer to Appendix A for contact information on these types of issues.

Findings of this CTP study were based on an analysis of the transportation system, environmental screening and public input, which are detailed in Chapter 1. Figure 1 shows the CTP maps, which were mutually adopted by NCDOT in 2015. Descriptive information and definitions for designation depicted on the CTP maps can be found in Appendix B. Implementation of the plan is the responsibility of the county, its municipalities and NCDOT. Refer to Chapter 2 for information on the implementation process.

This report documents the recommendations for improvements that are included in the Yadkin County CTP. The major recommendations for improvements are listed below. More detailed information about these and other recommendations can be found in Chapter 2. Additionally, for information on recommendations that were incorporated as a part of this CTP but not documented in this report, refer to the 2012 Elkin and Jonesville CTP¹.

<u>HIGHWAY</u>

I-77/Asbury Church Road Interchange: Convert the existing grade separation at Asbury Church Road (SR 1125) into an interchange.

US 601 (State Street): Upgrade the existing facility to a two lane boulevard with roundabouts at the major intersections.

NC 67: Upgrade the section of NC 67 from I-77 to 0.3 east of Deer Run Road to a boulevard by widening the existing three lane facility to a four lane, median divided facility.

Beamer Road Connector & US 421 Interchange: Construct a new two lane minor thoroughfare with 12 foot lanes from Beamer Road (SR 1415) to US 421 with a new interchange on US 421 at proposed connector.

¹ To view this plan, go to: <u>https://connect.ncdot.gov/projects/planning/Pages/Comprehensive-Transportation-Plans.aspx</u>.

Hugh Chatham Bridge Replacement: The proposed project is to replace bridge #338 on new location approximately 1.4 miles east of the existing structure. A new connector is proposed from NC 67 at Valley Road (SR 1403) in Yadkin County to Parkwood Drive in Surry County utilizing the existing Johnson Ridge Road (SR 1144) with the remainder on new location, a distance of approximately 1.3 miles.

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AND RAIL

The 2010 Regional Transit Development Plan (RTDP), which was developed by PART, was used to identify future transit services throughout the planning area. There are no rail improvements proposed in this CTP.

BICYCLE

The 2005 Yadkin County CTP, the 2010 Jonesville Land Use Plan and the 2010 Yadkinville Comprehensive Pedestrian Master Plan were used to identify recommended bicycle facilities throughout the planning area. Additionally, the Northwest Piedmont Rural Planning Organization and local stakeholders identified facilities that need improvement to accommodate bicycles.

PEDESTRIAN

The 2010 Jonesville Land Use Plan, the 2010 Yadkinville Comprehensive Pedestrian Master Plan, and the 2011 Yadkin County Land Use Plan were used to identify recommended pedestrian facilities throughout the planning area. Additional facilities were also recommended to accommodate pedestrians.





Yadkin County

North Carolina

Comprehensive Transportation Plan

Plan date: August 4, 2014

Sheet 1Adoption SheetSheet 2Highway MapSheet 3Public Transportation
and Rail MapSheet 4Bicycle MapSheet 5Pedestrian Map

Legend

COUNTY

FORSYTH

Airports
 Schools
 Roads
 Rivers and Streams
 Water Bodies
 Water Bodies
 Municipal Boundary
 County Boundary
 County Boundary
 County Boundary
 Sheet 1 of 5
 Base map date: November 2012
 Refer to CTP document for more details



Freewa	ays	
		Existing
		Needs Improvement
		Recommended
Expres	swav	s
	Jonay	Existing
		Needs Improvement
		Recommended
Daula		
Boule	varos	Existing
		Needs Improvement
		Recommended
Other I	Major	Thoroughfares
		Existing
		Needs Improvement
		Recommended
Minor	Thoro	uchfares
		Existing
		Needs Improvement
		Recommended
(\bullet)	Fxisti	ng Interchange
\bigcirc		ng menenange
ullet	Propo	osed Interchange
\bigcirc	Interc	hange Needs Improvement
\bigcirc	Existi	ng Grade Separation
\sim		
\bigcirc	Propo	osed Grade Separation N
Fig	jur	
She	et 2 d	of 5
		S Milco
0	0.5	1 2 3
Base	e map	date: November 2012
Reter		



Highway Map Inset A



Yadkin County North Carolina **Comprehensive Transportation Plan** Plan date: August 4, 2014

Freeways

Existing
Needs Improvement
Recommended
Expressways
Existing
Needs Improvement
Recommended
Boulevards
Existing
Needs Improvement
Recommended
Other Major Thoroughfares Existing
Needs Improvement
■■■■■■■ Recommended
Minor Thoroughfares
Existing
Needs Improvement
Recommended
Existing Interchange
Proposed Interchange
O Interchange Needs Improvement
Existing Grade Separation
Proposed Grade Separation N
Figure 1
Sneet 2A OF 5
0 0.125 0.25 0.5
Base map date: November 2012
Refer to CTP document for more details



Highway Map Insets B,C & D



Yadkin County North Carolina

Comprehensive Transportation Plan

Plan date: August 4, 2014

Freeways Existing

	-
Needs	Improvement

Recommended

Expressways

Existing

Needs Improvement

Recommended

Boulevards

- Existing
- Recommended
- Other Major Thoroughfares
- Existing
- Needs Improvement
- Recommended
- Minor Thoroughfares
- Existing
- ---- Needs Improvement
- ----- Recommended
- Existing Interchange
- Proposed Interchange
- Interchange Needs Improvement
- Existing Grade Separation
- Proposed Grade Separation

Figure 1



Sheet 2B of 5

Base map date: November 2012 Refer to CTP document for more details



Public Transportation and Rail Map



Yadkin County North Carolina Comprehensive **Transportation Plan**

Plan date: August 4, 2014 Bus Routes

Bus	RUL	ne
-8-8		

Existing Needs Improvement Recommended

Fixed Guideway

===

COUNTY

FORSYTH

Existing Needs Improvement Recommended

Operational Strategies

Existing Needs Improvement

- - - -Recommended

Rail Corridor

Active Inactive ==== Recommended

High Speed Rail Corridor

Existing

Recommended

Rail Stops

 \bigcirc

Existing Recommended

Intermodal Connector

Existing Recommended

\triangle

Park and Ride Lot Ρ

Figure 1 Sheet 3 of 5



 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

0.5 0

Existing

Recommended

Existing Grade Separation Proposed Grade Separation

Base map date: November 2012 Refer to CTP document for more details



Yadkin County North Carolina

On-road		
	Existing	
	Needs Improvement	
	Recommended	
Off-road		
	Existing	
	Needs Improvement	
	Recommended	
Multi-Use	Paths	
	Existing	
	Needs Improvement	
	Recommended	
○ - ·		
	ting Grade Separation	
Prop	cosed Grade Separation	
Figure 1		
SI	heet 4 of 5	
W		
	S	



Yadkin	County
North Ca	arolina
Compre	honeivo

On-road	
	Existing
	Needs Improvement
	Recommended
Off-road	
	Existing
	Needs Improvement
	Recommended

Μı	ılti-	Use	Pa	th
1010	anu	030	10	(U)

 Existing
 Needs Improvement
 Recommended



Pedestrian Map



Yadkin County North Carolina

Comprehensive Transportation Plan Plan date: August 4, 2014

On-road		
	Existing	
	Needs Improvement	
	Recommended	
Off-road		
	Existing	
	Needs Improvement	
	Recommended	
Multi-Use	Paths	
	Existing	
	Needs Improvement	
:	Recommended	
Exis	ting Grade Separation	
Prop	oosed Grade Separation	
Fi	gure 1	
Sh	neet 5 of 5	
W S E		
0 0.75	Miles	
_		

Base map date: November 2012 Refer to CTP document for more details



Pedestrian Map Inset A



Yadkin County North Carolina Comprehensive Transportation Plan

Myers Rd (SR 1508

۰**۲**۳

Plan date: August 4, 2014





Inset C

 \Box

Inset D





Yadkin County North Carolina Comprehensive **Transportation Plan**

Plan date: August 4, 2014

On-road	
	Existing
	Needs Improvement
	Recommended
Off-road	Existing Needs Improvement Recommended

Multi-Use Paths

	Existing
	Needs Improvement
:	Recommended

- Existing Grade Separation \bigcirc
- \bigcirc Proposed Grade Separation

Figure 1

Sheet 5B of 5



Base map date: November 2012 Refer to CTP document for more details

1. Analysis of the Existing and Future Transportation System

A Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP) is developed to ensure that the transportation system will meet the needs of the region for the planning period. The CTP serves as an official guide to providing a well-coordinated, efficient, and economical transportation system for the future of the region. This document should be utilized by the local officials to ensure that planned transportation facilities reflect the needs of the public, while minimizing the disruption to local residents, businesses and environmental resources.

In order to develop a CTP, the following are considered:

- Analysis of the transportation system, including any local and statewide initiatives;
- Impacts to the natural and human environment, including natural resources, historic resources, homes, and businesses;
- Public input, including community vision and goals and objectives.

1.1 Analysis Methodology and Data Requirements

Reliable forecasts of future travel patterns must be estimated in order to analyze the ability of the transportation system to meet future travel demand. These forecasts depend on careful analysis of the character and intensity of existing and future land use and travel patterns.

An analysis of the transportation system looks at both current and future travel patterns and identifies existing and anticipated deficiencies. This is usually accomplished through a capacity deficiency analysis, a traffic crash analysis, and a system deficiency analysis. This information, along with population growth, economic development potential, and land use trends, is used to determine the potential impacts on the future transportation system.

Roadway System Analysis

An important stage in the development of a CTP is the analysis of the existing transportation system and its ability to serve the area's travel demand. Emphasis is placed not only on detecting the existing deficiencies, but also on understanding the causes of these deficiencies. Roadway deficiencies may result from inadequacies in pavement widths, intersection geometry, or intersection controls. System deficiencies may result from missing travel links, bypass routes, loop facilities, or radial routes; or improvements needed to meet statewide initiatives.

One of those statewide initiatives is the Strategic Highway Corridor (SHC) Vision Plan¹ adopted by the Board of Transportation on September 2, 2004. The SHC Vision Plan is

¹ For more information on the SHC Vision Plan, go to:

https://connect.ncdot.gov/projects/planning/Pages/NCTransportationNetwork.aspx

an initiative to protect and maximize the mobility and connectivity on a core set of transportation corridors throughout North Carolina, while promoting environmental stewardship through maximizing the use of existing facilities to the extent possible, and fostering economic prosperity through the quick and efficient movement of people and goods.

The primary purpose of the SHC Vision Plan is to provide a network of high-speed, safe, reliable highways throughout North Carolina. The primary goal to support this purpose is to create a greater consensus towards the development of a genuine vision for each corridor – specifically towards the identification of a desired facility type (Freeway, Expressway, Boulevard, or Thoroughfare) for each corridor. Individual CTPs shall incorporate the long-term vision of each corridor. Refer to Appendix A for contact information for the SHC Vision Plan.

In the development of this plan, travel demand was projected from 2012 to 2040 using a trend line analysis based on Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) from 1990 to 2011. In addition, local land use plans and growth expectations were used to further refine future growth rates and patterns. The established future growth rates were endorsed by the Yadkin County Board of Commissioners June 20, 2011. Refer to Appendix H for more detailed information on growth expectations and the socio-economic data forecasting methodology.

Existing and future travel demand is compared to existing roadway capacities. Capacity deficiencies occur when the traffic volume of a roadway exceeds the roadway's capacity. Roadways are considered near capacity when the traffic volume is at least eighty percent of the capacity. Refer to Figures 2 and 3 for existing and future capacity deficiencies. The 2040 traffic volume in Figure 3 is an estimate of the traffic volume in 2040 with only existing plus committed projects assumed to be in place, where committed is defined as projects programmed for construction in the 2012 – 2018 Transportation Improvement Program² (TIP).

Capacity is the maximum number of vehicles which have a "reasonable expectation" of passing over a given section of roadway, during a given time period under prevailing roadway and traffic conditions. Many factors contribute to the capacity of a roadway including the following:

- Geometry of the road (including number of lanes), horizontal and vertical alignment, and proximity of perceived obstructions to safe travel along the road;
- Typical users of the road, such as commuters, recreational travelers, and truck traffic;
- Access control, including streets and driveways, or lack thereof, along the roadway;

² For more information on the TIP, go to: <u>https://connect.ncdot.gov/projects/planning/Pages/default.aspx</u>

- Development along the road, including residential, commercial, agricultural, and industrial developments;
- Number of traffic signals along the route;
- Peaking characteristics of the traffic on the road;
- Characteristics of side-roads feeding into the road; and
- Directional split of traffic or the percentages of vehicles traveling in each direction along a road at any given time.

The relationship of travel demand compared to the roadway capacity determines the level of service (LOS) of a roadway. Six levels of service identify the range of possible conditions. Designations range from LOS A, which represents the best operating conditions, to LOS F, which represents the worst operating conditions.

LOS D indicates "practical capacity" of a roadway, or the capacity at which the public begins to experience delay. The practical capacity for each roadway was developed based on the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual using the Transportation Planning Branch's *LOS D Standards for Systems Level Planning*. Recommended improvements and overall design of the transportation plan were based upon achieving a minimum LOS D on existing facilities and a LOS C for new facilities. Refer to Appendix E for detailed information on LOS.

Traffic Crash Assessment

Traffic crashes are often used as an indicator for locating congestion and roadway problems. Crash patterns obtained from an analysis of crash data can lead to the identification of improvements that will reduce the number of crashes. The Traffic Safety Unit of NCDOT's Transportation Mobility and Safety Division identifies high frequency crashes at intersections and along roadway sections during a five year period. The high frequency crash locations examined during the development of the Yadkin County CTP occurred between January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2011. During this period, a total of sixty one intersections and one hundred nineteen roadway sections were identified as having a high frequency of crashes as illustrated in Figure 4. Contact information for the Transportation Mobility and Safety Division can be found in Appendix A.

The NCDOT is actively involved with investigating and improving many of these locations. To request a more detailed analysis for any of these locations, or other intersections of concern, contact the Division Traffic Engineer (see Appendix A).

Bridge Deficiency Assessment

Bridges are a vital element of a highway system. First, they represent the highest unit investment of all elements of the system. Second, any inadequacy or deficiency in a bridge reduces the value of the total investment. Third, a bridge presents the greatest opportunity of all potential highway failures for disruption of community welfare. Finally,

and most importantly, a bridge represents the greatest opportunity of all highway failures for loss of life. For these reasons, it is imperative that bridges be constructed to the same design standards as the system of which they are a part.

The NCDOT Structures Management Unit inspects all bridges in North Carolina at least once every two years. Bridges having the highest priority are replaced as federal and state funds become available. Twenty four deficient bridges were identified on roads evaluated as part of the CTP and are illustrated in Figure 5. Of these, two are scheduled for replacement in the 2012 – 2018 TIP. Additionally, six others occur along roadways recommended for improvement in the CTP. As deficient bridges are replaced, every consideration should be given to proposed CTP recommendation and cross section associated with the recommendation. Table 4 in Appendix F gives a listing of the deficient bridges identified in the CTP and the ID number associated with CTP project proposal. Refer to Appendix F for more detailed bridge deficiency information.



Yadkin County

2012 Volumes (AADT)



Figure 2 Inset A 2012 VOLUMES AND CAPACITY DEFICIENCIES



Yadkin County North Carolina

Comprehensive Transportation Plan Legend

Near	Capacity

• Over Capacity



2012 Volumes (AADT) 2012 Capacity

- Schools
- Airports
- Study Roads
- Roads
- Rivers and Streams
- Water Bodies
- Municipal Boundary
- Planning Boundary
- County Boundary



Sheet 2 of 2



Base map date: November 2012





Figure 3 Inset A 2040 Volumes and Capacity Deficiencies



Yadkin County North Carolina

Comprehensive Transportation Plan

Legend



Near Capacity Over Capacity



2040 Volumes (AADT) 2012 Capacity

- Schools
- Airports
- Study Roads
- Roads
 - **Rivers and Streams**
 - Water Bodies
 - Municipal Boundary
 - Planning Boundary
 - County Boundary



Sheet 2 of 2

Base map date: November 2012

0 0.15 0.3

Miles

0.6





FIGURE 5 Deficient Bridges



Yadkin County North Carolina Comprehensive Transportation Plan

Legend

#	Deficient Bridges
	(# Bridge Number)
	Schools
+	Airports
	Study Roads
	Roads
	Rivers and Streams
	Water Bodies
	Municipal Boundaries
	Planning Boundary
	County Boundary





Base map date: November 2012 Refer to Appendix F for more details

Public Transportation and Rail

Public transportation and rail are vital modes of transportation that give alternatives for transporting people and goods from one place to another.

Public Transportation

North Carolina's public transportation systems serve more than 50 million passengers each year. Five categories define North Carolina's public transportation system: community, regional community, urban, regional urban and intercity.

- Community Transportation Local transportation efforts formerly centered on assisting clients of human service agencies. Today, the vast majority of rural systems serve the general public as well as those clients.
- Regional Community Transportation Regional community transportation systems are composed of two or more contiguous counties providing coordinated / consolidated service. Although such systems are not new, single-county systems are encouraged to consider mergers to form more regional systems.
- Urban Transportation There are currently nineteen urban transit systems operating in North Carolina, from locations such as Asheville and Hendersonville in the west to Jacksonville and Wilmington in the east. In addition, small urban systems provide service in three areas of the state. Consolidated urbancommunity transportation exists in five areas of the state. In those systems, one transportation system provides both urban and rural transportation within the county.
- Regional Urban Transportation Regional urban transit systems currently operate in three areas of the state. These systems connect multiple municipalities and counties.
- Intercity Transportation Intercity bus service is one of a few remaining examples of privately owned and operated public transportation in North Carolina. Intercity buses serve many cities and towns throughout the state and provide connections to locations in neighboring states, Amtrak passenger stations and throughout the United States and Canada. Greyhound and Amtrak Thruway service operate in North Carolina. However, community, urban and regional transportation systems are providing increasing intercity service in North Carolina.

An inventory of existing and planned fixed public transportation routes for the planning area is presented on Sheet 3 of Figure 1. The Piedmont Authority for Regional Transportation (PART) operates a fixed route bus service between Greensboro and Boone that travels through the county on US 421. An existing park and ride lot is located on US 601 at Pine Valley Road (SR 1178), south of the interchange. Yadkin Valley Public Transportation is a regional transportation system operated by Yadkin Valley Economic Development District Inc. and the NCDOT Public Transportation Division. It provides community and public transportation services in Davie, Stokes, Surry and Yadkin counties. All recommendations for public transportation Division of

NCDOT. Refer to Appendix A for contact information for the Public Transportation Division.

<u>Rail</u>

Today North Carolina has 3,245 miles of railroad tracks throughout the state. There are two types of trains that operate in the state, passenger trains and freight trains.

Intercity passenger service is provided by Amtrak which currently operates six passenger services daily in or through North Carolina serving 16 cities across the state. Five of the services are interstate (Crescent, Palmetto, Silver Meteor, Silver Star, and Carolinian passenger trains) and one service (Piedmont passenger train) operates exclusively within North Carolina. In addition to the six passenger services mentioned, Amtrak also operates its Auto Train service which passes through North Carolina but does not make any stops. Amtrak ridership demand has been on a rise in the state. In 2010 ridership was 840,000 and increased to 975,645 passengers in 2013.

The North Carolina Department of Transportation sponsors two passenger trains, the Carolinian and Piedmont. The Carolinian runs between Charlotte and New York City, while the Piedmont train carries passengers from Raleigh to Charlotte and back every day. However, no passenger trains operate over the rail line from High Point that dead ends at Asheboro or over the rail line that runs from Gulf, NC to Greensboro. Combined, the Carolinian and Piedmont carry more than 300,000 passengers each year.

There are two major freight railroad companies that operate in North Carolina, CSX Transportation and Norfolk Southern Corporation. Also, there are more than 17 smaller freight railroads, known as shortlines.

There are currently no rail lines within Yadkin County.

Bicycles & Pedestrians

Bicyclists and pedestrians are a growing part of the transportation system in North Carolina. Many communities are working to improve mobility for both cyclists and pedestrians.

NCDOT's Bicycle Policy, updated in 1991, clarifies responsibilities regarding the provision of bicycle facilities along the 77,000-mile state-maintained highway system. The policy details guidelines for planning, design, construction, maintenance, and operations pertaining to bicycle facilities and accommodations. All bicycle improvements undertaken by NCDOT are based upon this policy.

The 2000 NCDOT Pedestrian Policy Guidelines specifies that NCDOT will participate with localities in the construction of sidewalks as incidental features of highway improvement projects. At the request of a locality, state funds for a sidewalk are made

available if matched by the requesting locality, using a sliding scale based on population.

NCDOT's administrative guidelines, adopted in 1994, ensure that greenways and greenway crossings are considered during the highway planning process. This policy was incorporated so that critical corridors which have been adopted by localities for future greenways will not be severed by highway construction.

Inventories of existing and planned bicycle and pedestrian facilities for the planning area are presented on Sheets 4 and 5 of Figure 1. The 2005 Yadkin County CTP, the 2010 Jonesville Land Use Plan and the 2010 Yadkinville Comprehensive Pedestrian Master Plan, and the 2011 Yadkin County Land Use Plan were utilized in the development of these elements of the CTP. NC Bicycle Route 2 (Mountains to the Sea) is a statewide route that travels through Yadkin County from the east on Courtney-Hamptonville Road (SR 1001), then heads west to Fish Brandon Road (SR 1165) before connecting with Lone Hickory Road (SR 1002), and continuing into Iredell County.

All recommendations for bicycle and pedestrian facilities were coordinated with the local governments and the NCDOT Division of Bicycle and Pedestrian Transportation. Refer to Appendix A for contact information for the Division of Bicycle and Pedestrian Transportation.

Land Use

G.S. §136-66.2 requires that local areas have a current (less than five years old) land development plan prior to adoption of the CTP. For this CTP, the 2011 Yadkin County Land Use Plan³, the 2025 Yadkinville Land Development Plan⁴ (2005) and the 2010 Jonesville Land Use Plan were used to meet this requirement and are included in Appendix G.

Land use refers to the physical patterns of activities and functions within an area. Traffic demand in a given area is, in part, attributed to adjacent land use. For example, a large shopping center typically generates higher traffic volumes than a residential area. The spatial distribution of different types of land uses is a predominant determinant of when, where, and to what extent traffic congestion occurs. The travel demand between different land uses and the resulting impact on traffic conditions varies depending on the size, type, intensity, and spatial separation of day and the day of the week. For transportation planning purposes, land use is divided into the following categories:

• <u>Residential</u>: Land devoted to the housing of people, with the exception of hotels and motels which are considered commercial.

³ To view the 2011 Yadkin County Land Use Plan, go to: <u>http://www.yadkincountync.gov/</u>.

⁴ To view the 2025 Yadkinville Land Development Plan, go to: <u>http://www.yadkinville.org</u>.

- <u>Commercial</u>: Land devoted to retail trade including consumer and business services and their offices; this may be further stratified into retail and special retail classifications. Special retail would include high-traffic establishments, such as fast food restaurants and service stations; all other commercial establishments would be considered retail.
- <u>Industrial</u>: Land devoted to the manufacturing, storage, warehousing, and transportation of products.
- <u>Public</u>: Land devoted to social, religious, educational, cultural, and political activities; this would include the office and service employment establishments.
- <u>Agricultural</u>: Land devoted to the use of buildings or structures for the raising of non-domestic animals and/or growing of plants for food and other production.
- <u>Mixed Use:</u> Land devoted to a combination of any of the categories above.

Anticipated future land development is, in general, a logical extension of the present spatial land use distribution. Locations and types of expected growth within the planning area help to determine the location and type of proposed transportation improvements.

Yadkin County primarily anticipates growth in areas designated as "Primary Growth" or "Secondary Growth" areas. Primary or secondary growth areas encompass residential, commercial, industrial, and public land uses. These areas tend to be established populated areas and are located throughout the County, typically along major routes which include US 421, US 601, and NC 67.

Jonesville primarily anticipates growth in areas designated as residential and commercial. Commercial development is anticipated along the US 21 and NC 67 corridors. Substantial rural residential development is anticipated outside of the corporate limits. Intense subdivision development is not anticipated in these areas due to water supply concerns and lack of infrastructure to support growth. In addition, two large tracts are designated for industrial development. One is located in the southeast quadrant of the I-77 and NC 67 interchange and the other is located adjacent to US 21, just south of Center Road (SR 1331).

For detailed information on how land use and growth projections were developed for and applied in the CTP, refer to Appendix G.

1.2 Consideration of Natural and Human Environment

Environmental features are a key consideration in the transportation planning process. Section 102 of the National Environmental Policy Act⁵ (NEPA) requires consideration of impacts on wetlands, wildlife, water quality, historic properties, and public lands. While

⁵ For more information on NEPA, go to: <u>https://ceq.doe.gov/</u>.

a full NEPA evaluation was not conducted as part of the CTP, every effort was made to minimize potential impacts to these features utilizing the best available data. Any potential impacts to these resources were identified as a part of the project recommendations in Chapter 2 of this report. Prior to implementing transportation recommendations of the CTP, a more detailed environmental study would need to be completed in cooperation with the appropriate environmental resource agencies.

A full listing of environmental features that are typically examined as a part of a CTP study is shown in the following tables. Environmental features occurring within Yadkin County are shown in Figure 6 and are shown in bold text in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1 – Environmental Features

- Airport Boundaries
- Anadromous Fish Spawning Areas
- Beach Access Sites
- Bike Routes (NCDOT)
- Coastal Marinas
- Colleges and Universities
- Conservation Tax Credit Properties
- Emergency Operation Centers
- Federal Land Ownership
- Fisheries Nursery Areas
- Geology (including Dikes and Faults)
- Hazardous Substance Disposal Sites
- Hazardous Waste Facilities
- High Quality Water and Outstanding Resource Water Management Zones
- Hospital Locations
- Hydrography (1:24,000 scale)
- Land Trust Priority Areas
- Natural Heritage Element
 Occurrences
- National Wetlands Inventory

- North Carolina Coastal Region Evaluation of Wetland Significance (NC-CREWS)
- Paddle Trails Coastal Plain
- Railroads (1:24,000 scale)
- Recreation Projects Land and Water Conservation Fund
- Sanitary Sewer Systems Discharges, Land Application Areas, Pipes, Pumps and Treatment Plants
- Schools Public and Non-Public
- Shellfish Strata
- Significant Natural Heritage Areas
- State Parks
- Submersed Rooted Vasculars
- Target Local Watersheds EEP
- Trout Streams (DWQ)
- Trout Waters (WRC)
- Water Distribution Systems Pipes, Pumps, Tanks, Treatment Plants, and Wells
- Water Supply Watersheds
- Wild and Scenic Rivers

Additionally, the following environmental features were considered but are not mapped due to restrictions associated with the sensitivity of the data.

Table 2 – Restricted Environmental Features

- Archaeological Sites
- Historic National Register Districts
- Historic National Register Structures
- Macrosite Boundaries
- Managed Areas
- Megasite Boundaries

1.3 Public Involvement

Public involvement is a key element in the transportation planning process. Adequate documentation of this process is essential for a seamless transfer of information from systems planning to project planning and design.

A meeting was held with the Yadkin County Board of Commissioners in September 2012 to formally initiate the study, provide an overview of the transportation planning process, and to gather input on area transportation needs.

Throughout the course of the study, the NCDOT Transportation Planning Branch cooperatively worked with the CTP steering committee, which included county staff, the town planners, the RPO and others. The committee provided information on current local plans, developed transportation vision and goals, discussed population and employment projections, and developed proposed CTP recommendations. Refer to Appendix H for detailed information on the vision statement, the goals and objectives survey and a list of committee members.

The public involvement process included holding public workshops to present the proposed CTP to the public and solicit comments. The first meeting was held at the Yadkinville Town Hall on October 11, 2012 from 4:30-6:30 pm. The session was publicized in the local newspaper. During this session, comments as well as pictures for visual aids were received regarding the Buck Shoals Road (SR 1103) area. A second meeting was held April 30, 2013 from 5:00-7:00 pm in the Yadkin County Board of Commissioners meeting room. The session was publicized in the local newspaper. There were a few comments received in reference to the Buck Shoals Road (SR 1103) area and the Boonville area.

Public hearings were held on January 6, 2014 with the Yadkin County Commissioners; February 3, 2014 with the Yadkinville Town Council; March 4, 2014 with the Boonville Town Council; March 10, 2014 with the East Bend Town Council; and March 10, 2014 with the Jonesville Town Council. The purpose of these meetings was to discuss the plan recommendations and to solicit further input from the public officials. Comments were made by Jonesville and East Bend that were incorporated into the plan.

The CTP was adopted by local officials during the following meetings:

- Town of Jonesville Council Meeting October 6, 2014
- Town of Boonville Council Meeting October 7, 2014

- Town of East Bend Council Meeting October 13, 2014
- Town of Yadkinville Council Meeting November 3, 2014
- Yadkin County Board of Commissioner's Meeting November 17, 2014

The Northwest Piedmont RPO endorsed the CTP on December 17, 2014. The North Carolina Department of Transportation mutually adopted the Yadkin County CTP on January 8, 2015.

This page intentionally left blank.


Figure 6 **Environmental Features**



Yadkin County North Carolina Comprehensive **Transportation Plan**

Legend

	Hazardous Waste Facilities
	Schools
-(Airport
	Bike Routes (NCDOT)
	Water Pipes
	Hospitals
	Sanitary Treatment
•	Sanitary Pumps
	Water Treatment
٠	Water Tanks
	Water Supply Watershed
	National Wetlands Inventory
	Hydrography
	County Boundary
	W N E S Miles
0	05 1 2 2

2. Recommendations

This chapter presents recommendations for each mode of transportation in the 2014 Yadkin County CTP as shown in Figure 1. More detailed information on each recommendation is tabulated in Appendix C. For information on recommendations that were incorporated as a part of this CTP but not documented in this report, refer to the 2012 Elkin and Jonesville CTP¹.

NCDOT adopted a "Complete Streets²" policy in July 2009. The policy directs the Department to consider and incorporate several modes of transportation when building new projects or making improvements to existing infrastructure. Under this policy, the Department will collaborate with cities, towns and communities during the planning and design phases of projects. Together, they will decide how to provide the transportation options needed to serve the community and complement the context of the area. The benefits of this approach include:

- making it easier for travelers to get where they need to go;
- encouraging the use of alternative forms of transportation;
- building more sustainable communities;
- increasing connectivity between neighborhoods, streets, and transit systems;
- improving safety for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists.

Complete streets are streets designed to be safe and comfortable for all users, including pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, motorists and individuals of all ages and capabilities. These streets generally include sidewalks, appropriate bicycle facilities, transit stops, right-sized street widths, context-based traffic speeds, and are well-integrated with surrounding land uses. The complete street policy and concepts were utilized in the development of the CTP. The CTP proposes projects that include multi-modal project recommendations as documented in the problem statements within this chapter. Refer to Appendix C for recommended cross sections for all project proposals and Appendix D for more detailed information on the typical cross sections.

2.1 Implementation

The CTP is based on the projected growth for the planning area. It is possible that actual growth patterns will differ from those logically anticipated. As a result, it may be necessary to accelerate or delay the implementation of some recommendations found within this plan. Some portions of the plan may require revisions in order to accommodate unexpected changes in development. Therefore, any changes made to one element of the CTP should be consistent with the other elements.

Initiative for implementing the CTP rests predominately with the policy boards and citizens of the county and its municipalities. As transportation needs throughout the state exceed available funding, it is imperative that the local planning area aggressively pursue funding for priority projects. Projects should be prioritized locally and submitted

¹ To view this plan, go to: <u>https://connect.ncdot.gov/projects/planning/Pages/Comprehensive-Transportation-Plans.aspx</u>.

² For more information on Complete Streets, go to: <u>http://www.completestreetsnc.org/</u>

to the Northwest Piedmont RPO for regional prioritization and submittal to NCDOT. Refer to Appendix A for contact information on regional prioritization and funding. Local governments may use the CTP to guide development and protect corridors for the recommended projects. It is critical that NCDOT and local governments coordinate on relevant land development reviews and all transportation projects to ensure proper implementation of the CTP. Local governments and NCDOT share the responsibility for access management and the planning, design and construction of the recommended projects.

Recommended improvements shown on the CTP map represents an agreement of identified transportation deficiencies and potential solutions to address the deficiencies. While the CTP does propose recommended solutions, it may not represent the final location or cross section associated with the improvement. All CTP recommendations are based on high level systems analyses that seek to minimize impacts to the natural and human environment. Prior to implementing projects from the CTP, additional analysis will be necessary to meet the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) or the North Carolina (or State) Environmental Policy Act³ (SEPA). This CTP may be used to provide information in the NEPA/SEPA process.

2.2 Problem Statements

The following pages contain problem statements for each recommendation, organized by CTP modal element. The information provided in the problem statement is intended to help support decisions made in the NEPA/SEPA process. A full, minimum or reference problem statement is presented for each recommendation, with full problem statements occurring first in each section. Full problem statements are denoted by a gray shaded box containing project information. Minimum problem statements are more concise and less detailed than full problem statements, but include all known or readily available information. Reference problem statements are developed for TIP projects where the purpose and need for the project has already been established.

³ For more information on SEPA, go to: <u>http://www.doa.nc.gov/clearing/faq.aspx</u>.

HIGHWAY

NC 67 proposed improvements from I-77 to 0.3 miles east of Deer Run Road

Local ID: YADK0013-H Last updated: 11/25/13

Identified Problem

NC 67 (Winston Road) is projected to be over capacity by 2040 from I-77 to 0.3 miles east of Deer Run Road. Improvements are needed to accommodate projected traffic volumes such that a minimum of Level of Service (LOS) D can be achieved.

Justification of Need

NC 67 is a major east-west corridor through Yadkin County. This facility is on the regional tier of the NC Multimodal Investment Network (NCMIN), connecting major population centers and serving local land uses. Within Yadkin County, NC 67 connects the towns of Jonesville, Boonville and East Bend to US 601 and I-77.



NC 67 is a three lane major thoroughfare with 12 foot lanes from I-77 to 0.3 miles east of Deer Run Drive Road. By 2040 the facility is projected to be over capacity from I-77 to 0.3 miles east of Deer Run Road. Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) is projected to increase from 15,000 vehicles per day (vpd) in 2010 to 21,000 vpd in 2040, compared to a LOS D capacity of 19,700 vpd.

Community Vision and Problem History

Currently NC 67 is the primary east-west route between Jonesville and Boonville. The facility is used for inter-county travel, collecting traffic from surrounding areas and providing direct access to I-77 and US 601. Improvements along the corridor should preserve and enhance the communities' economic vitality. This facility was identified as deficient in the 2012 Elkin and Jonesville CTP⁴.

⁴ To view this plan, go to: <u>https://connect.ncdot.gov/projects/planning/Pages/CTP-Details.aspx?study_id=Elkin-Jonesville</u>.

CTP Project Proposal

Project Description and Overview

The CTP project proposal (Local ID: YADK0013-H) is to upgrade NC 67 (Winston Road), from I-77 to 0.3 miles east of Deer Run Road, to boulevard standard by widening the existing three lane facility to a four lane median divided facility.

Natural & Human Environmental Context

Based on a planning level environmental assessment using available GIS data, this project is within the targeted local watershed. Island Ford Cemetery is adjacent to NC 67 on the northeast end of the project.

Relationship to Land Use Plans

The existing land use along this corridor is a mixture of commercial and residential development. The commercial land development is primarily clustered near the I-77 interchange. The 2010 Jonesville Land Use Plan indicates that future land use in areas near the corridor will be more commercial with industrial moving in to replace the residential land uses.

Linkages to Other Plans and Proposed Project History

The proposed project was first identified in the 2012 Elkin and Jonesville CTP.

Multi-modal Considerations

The Piedmont Authority for Regional Transportation (PART) has a Regional Transit Development Plan⁵ (2010) that includes a regional transit vision for 2025. Included in the 2025 vision for Yadkin County is the NC 67 Express, a proposed commuter bus route along NC 67 from I-77 continuing into Winston-Salem in Forsyth County. The route also includes a proposed park and ride lot in Boonville and one in East Bend.

Public/ Stakeholder Involvement

No significant issues associated with this project were identified during the public/stakeholder involvement process.

⁵ For more information on the 2010 Regional Transit Development Plan, go to: <u>http://www.partnc.org/rtdp.html</u>.

US 601 (State Street), Local ID: YADK0018-H

Sections of US 601 (State Street) from Tennessee Street (SR 1500) to Walnut Drive are projected to be near capacity by 2040. Improvements are needed to improve mobility and to accommodate projected traffic volumes such that a minimum of LOS D can be achieved.

US 601 (State Street) between Tennessee Street (SR 1500) and Walnut Drive has the following characteristics:

		2012 AADT	2040 AADT	2012 Capacity
Section	# Lanes	(vpd)	(vpd)	(vpd)
Tennessee Street (SR 1500) to				
Hemlock Street	3 - 11 foot lanes	8,100	10,400	12,900
Hemlock Street to E. Lee Avenue	4 - 10 foot lanes			
(SR 1146)	(undivided)	10,000	13,200	23,500
E. Lee Avenue (SR 1146) to US	4 - 12 foot lanes			
421	(undivided)	17,000	22,500	23,500
US 421 to Walnut Drive	3 - 10 foot lanes	7,900	10,400	12,900

The CTP project proposal (Local ID: YADK0018-H) is to upgrade the existing facility to a two lane boulevard with roundabouts at the major intersections. More detailed information about this recommendation can be found in the US 601 Corridor Study report⁶ (dated October 25, 2013) that was completed for NCDOT by VHB Engineering.

A crash assessment performed during the development of the CTP identified numerous intersections and roadway sections along this corridor that experienced a high number of crashes between January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2011. The intersection of US 601 and Beroth Drive (SR 1415) experienced 50 or more crashes during this time period. There were also two other intersections that experienced 10 to 19 crashes during the same period. The proposed improvements may reduce the amount and severity of crashes at these locations by removing the left turn conflicts. Refer to Chapter 1 of the CTP report for more detailed information on these locations.

Based on a planning level environmental assessment using available GIS data, the proposed improvement may potentially impact the water supply watershed and wetland areas at Haw Branch.

Hugh Chatham Bridge Replacement, TIP No. B-4820

NCDOT's Structures Management Unit has identified bridge #338 (Hugh Chatham Bridge) as structurally deficient and has been removed. TIP No. B-4820 is intended to address this deficiency.

⁶ To view this report, visit the CTP webpage: <u>https://connect.ncdot.gov/projects/planning/Pages/Comprehensive-Transportation-Plans.aspx</u>.

NCDOT's June 27, 2007 feasibility study⁷ of the project identified five feasible alternatives. The project proposal shown in this CTP is the preferred alternative for the town of Jonesville. The project proposal included in this CTP is Alternative 3 from the feasibility study. The proposed project is to replace bridge #338 on new location approximately 1.4 miles east of the existing structure. A new connector is proposed from NC 67 at Valley Road (SR 1403) in Yadkin County to Parkwood Drive in Surry County utilizing the existing Johnson Ridge Road (SR 1144) with the remainder on new location, a distance of approximately 1.3 miles. The town of Elkin, located north of Jonesville in Surry County, supports Alternative 5 from the feasibility study. Alternative 5 consists of converting the existing grade separation at I-77 and NC 268 (Bridge Nos. 6 and 13) into a partial cloverleaf interchange. Further coordination will occur during the NEPA process to determine the final location of the proposed project.

Based on a planning level environmental assessment using available GIS data, the proposed project includes a new crossing of the Yadkin River and the Yadkin Valley Railroad.

Proposed Beamer Road Connector & US 421 Interchange, Local ID: YADK0003-H

US 421 is an east-west freeway through central Yadkin County. It is the primary connection to Winston-Salem and Forsyth County to the east and Wilkes County to the west. Access along US 421 is fully controlled by interchanges and grade separations. Improvements are needed west of Yadkinville to provide access in order to serve future development.

Existing land use west of Yadkinville and in the vicinity of Billy Reynolds Road (SR 1134), Beamer Road (SR 1415) and US 421 is primarily comprised of farmland, but also includes residential development and a commercial metal recycling center. The 2011 Yadkin County Land Use Plan⁸ classifies this area as a 'Primary Growth Area', which would be predominantly mixed use and include residential, commercial, and industrial land uses. Higher density development levels can be anticipated in primary growth areas. The 2025 Yadkinville Land Development Plan⁹ (2005) also indicates land use in this area is envisioned to be moderate density residential with general commercial development along Beamer Road (SR 1415).

The CTP project proposal (Local ID: YADK0003-H) is to construct a new two lane minor thoroughfare with 12 foot lanes from Beamer Road (SR 1415) to US 421 with a new interchange on US 421 at proposed connector. The estimated length of the connector is approximately 0.3 miles.

Based on a planning level environmental assessment using available GIS data, the proposed project may potentially impact residential property in the area.

This project recommendation was identified in the 2011 Town of Yadkinville CTP.

⁷ To view this report, visit the CTP webpage: <u>https://connect.ncdot.gov/projects/planning/Pages/Comprehensive-Transportation-Plans.aspx</u>.

⁸ To view the 2011 Yadkin County Land Use Plan, go to: <u>http://www.yadkincountync.gov/</u>.

⁹ To view the 2025 Yadkinville Land Development Plan, go to: <u>http://www.yadkinville.org</u>.

Proposed I-77/Asbury Church Road Interchange, Local ID: YADK0019-H

I-77 is a north-south interstate through western Yadkin County and North Carolina. It is the primary connection between Surry and Iredell counties, continuing north into Virginia and south to Charlotte and into South Carolina. Access along I-77 is fully controlled by interchanges and grade separations. An interchange currently exists at US 421 and I-77. Travelling southward, the next access point is approximately 8 miles at the NC 901 interchange in Iredell County. Improvements are needed south of US 421 to provide access to southwestern Yadkin County in order to serve future development.

Currently Asbury Church Road (SR 1125) is separated from I-77 by a grade separation (bridge). Existing land use in the immediate vicinity is comprised of farmland, a storage facility and residential development. The 2011 Yadkin County Land Use Plan classifies this area as 'Rural/Agricultural', which is characterized by traditional agricultural operations, pasture land, forestry, rural residential subdivisions, and scattered nonfarm residences on large tracts of land. Rural/Agricultural areas contain scenic, historic, and other natural heritage assets that contribute to the unique characteristics of the land. Rural/Agricultural areas also provide for agriculture, forestry, mineral extraction, and other allied uses that require large open farm land and forest areas for the necessary production of food and fiber.

The 2011 Yadkin County Land Use Plan classifies areas just north of Asbury Church Road (SR 1125) and along US 421 as 'Economic Development Areas' and west as 'Agri-Tourism Areas'. Economic Development Areas are locations where significant industrial or other job-creating activities are located and where additional industrial/commercial activity may be encouraged. Agri-Tourism Areas are located in the vicinity of vineyards, wineries, equestrian centers, and other agri-tourism attractions, which make up a large percentage of the county's tourist attractions. These areas are primarily intended for agricultural uses that maintain the county's rural character, but are also appropriate for limited commercial uses that support and complement agri-tourism attractions such as arts and crafts studios, farmer's markets, bed and breakfast inns, and locally owned restaurants.

The CTP project proposal (Local ID: YADK0019-H) is to convert the existing grade separation at Asbury Church Road (SR 1125) into an interchange. The proposed interchange will provide an alternate access point on I-77 to serve existing and future businesses, their employees and local residents. Additionally, in anticipation of changes to traffic patterns associated with the proposed change of access, minor widening improvements are recommended for Buck Shoals Road (SR 1103), YADK0020-H, and Asbury Church Road (SR 1125), YADK0022-H.

Based on a planning level environmental assessment using available GIS data, the proposed project is within the water supply watershed area. The proposed project may also potentially impact residential properties in the area.

The proposed project has not been identified on any previous transportation plan.

Minor Widening/Modernization Improvements

The following routes are not expected to exceed capacity, but were identified as candidates for upgrading to NCDOT design standards. All facilities listed are recommended to have a minimum of 12 foot lanes with paved shoulders in order to improve mobility, safety and/or to accommodate bicycles. Additionally, some facilities may require improvements to the vertical and/or horizontal alignment. Implementation of the proposed projects should be coordinated through NCDOT's Highway Division 11 office (reference Appendix A for contact information).

- US 21, Local ID: YADK0023-H From I-77 to Longtown Road (SR 1338).
- US 21 Business, Local ID: YADK0014-H From Center Road (SR 1331) to I-77.
- US 601, Local ID: YADK0026-H Country Club Road (SR 1134) to Surry County.
- NC 67, Local ID: YADK0027-H From US 601 to Forsyth County.
- Asbury Church Road (SR 1125), Local ID: YADK0022-H From Old Hwy 421 (SR 1314) to Buck Shoals Road (SR 1103).
- Billy Reynolds Road (SR 1134), Local ID: YADK0011-H From W Lee Avenue (SR 1146) to Fleming Road (SR 1142).
- Buck Shoals Road (SR 1103), Local ID: YADK0020-H From Old Hwy 421 (SR 1314) to Iredell County.
- Center Road (SR 1331), Local ID: YADK0015-H: From US 21 Business to Little Mountain Road (SR 1350).
- Flint Hill Road (1549), Local ID: YADK0021-H From NC 67 to Old US 421 (SR 1605).
- E Lee Avenue (SR 1134), Local ID: YADK0017-H From Cross Creek Drive (SR 1700) to Unifi Ind Drive (SR 1765).
- W Lee Avenue (SR 1134/1146), Local ID: YADK0016-H From US 601 to W Main Street (SR 1314).
- Longtown Road (SR 1338), Local ID: YADK0028-H From US 21 to Center Road (SR 1331).
- W Main Street (SR 1314), Local ID: YADK0007-H From W Lee Avenue (SR 1134) to Fleming Road (SR 1142).
- Old US 421 (SR 1605), Local ID YADK0024-H From Unifi Ind Drive (SR 1765) to Forsyth County
- Shacktown Road (SR 1146), Local ID: YADK0025-H) From Unifi Ind Drive (SR 1765) to Old US 421 (SR 1605).

Other Improvements

During the development of the CTP, the following improvement was also identified.

• US 601/NC 67 Intersection Modification (Boonville): Turning lanes are recommended to be installed on NC 67 at the intersection of US 601. These improvements are needed to maintain mobility along the corridor and through the intersection.

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AND RAIL

A public transportation and rail assessment was completed during the development of the CTP. There are currently no rail services within Yadkin County. However, during the development of the CTP, a county commissioner expressed an interest for Yadkin County to coordinate with the NCDOT Rail Division on a potential freight rail route from within Iredell County to the Elkin planning area. Further coordination is recommended to determine if providing service to the area is feasible.

The Piedmont Authority for Regional Transportation's (PART) 2010 Regional Transit Development Plan¹⁰ identifies future public transportation services within Yadkin County. These recommendations were incorporated into the CTP and are shown on the Public Transportation and Rail Map (Figure 1 – Sheet 5).

BICYCLE

The 2005 Yadkin County CTP, the 2010 Jonesville Land Use Plan and the 2010 Yadkinville Comprehensive Pedestrian Master Plan were used to identify existing and recommended bicycle facilities throughout the planning area. Additionally, the Northwest Piedmont Rural Planning Organization and local stakeholders identified facilities that need improvement to accommodate bicycles. These features are shown on the Bicycle Map, Sheet 4 of Figure 1, as recommended multi-use paths or on-road bicycle facilities that need improving.

- NC Bicycle Route 2:
 - Joyner Road (SR 1156), YADK0013-B: from Iredell County to Lone Hickory Road (SR 1002).
 - Lone Hickory Road (SR 1002), YADK0012-B: from Joyner Road (SR 1156) to Fish Brandon Road (SR 1165).
 - Fish Brandon Road (SR 1165), YADK0014-B: from Lone Hickory Road (SR 1002) to US 601.
 - **Courtney-Huntsville Road (SR 1001), YADK0016-B:** from US 601 to Dinkins Bottom Road (SR 1570).
 - Shallowford Road (SR 1001), YADK0017-B: from Dinkins Bottom Road (SR 1570) to Forsyth County.
- US 21, YADK0011-B: from Hamptonville Road (SR 1102) to Lone Hickory Road (SR 1002).
- US 21 Business, YADK0001-B: from Swan Creek Bypass (SR 1386) to Center Road (SR 1331).
- US 21 Business, YADK0014-H: from Howell School Road (SR 1313) to Little Mountain Road (SR 1350).
- NC 67, YADK0002-B: from US 21 Business to Valley Road (SR 1403).

¹⁰ For more information on the 2010 Regional Transit Development Plan, go to: <u>http://www.partnc.org/rtdp.html</u>.

- NC 67, YADK0027-H: from Flint Hill Road (SR 1549) to Forsyth County.
- Bethel Road (SR 1308), YADK0003-B: from Wilkes County to Swan Creek Road (SR 1300)
- Center Road (SR 1331), YADK0015-H: from US 21 Business to Little Mountain Road (SR 1350).
- Dinkins Bottom Road (SR 1570), YADK0019-B: from Old Hwy 421 (SR 1001) to Courtney-Huntsville Road (SR 1001).
- Flint Hill Road (SR 1549), YADK0021-H: From NC 67 to Old US 421 (SR 1605).
- Hamptonville Road (SR 1102), YADK0010-B: from Hunting Creek Church Road (SR 1100) to US 21.
- Howell School Road (SR 1313), YADK0004-B: from Swan Creek Road (SR 1300) to US 21 Business.
- Hunting Creek Church Road (SR 1100), YADK0009-B: from Iredell County to Hamptonville Road (SR 1102).
- Little Mountain Road (SR 1350), YADK0005-B: from US 21 Business to Center Road (SR 1331).
- Lone Hickory Road (SR 1002), YADK0012-B: from Joyner Road (SR 1156) to US 21.
- Old Hwy 421 (SR 1605), YADK0018-B: from Forsyth County to Dinkins Bottom Road (SR 1570).
- Swan Creek Bypass (SR 1386), YADK0006-B: from Swan Creek Road (SR 1300) to US 21 Business.
- Swan Creek Road (SR 1300), YADK0007-B: from Bethel Road (SR 1308) to Howell School Road (SR 1313).
- Valley Road (SR 1403), YADK0008-B: from US 21 Business to NC 67.

In accordance with American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), roadways identified as bicycle routes should incorporate the following standards as roadway improvements are made and funding is available:

- Curb & gutter sections require at minimum 5 foot bike lanes or 14 foot wide shoulder lanes.
- Shoulder sections require a minimum of 4 foot paved shoulder.
- All bridges along the roadways where bike facilities are recommended shall be equipped with 54 inch railings.

PEDESTRIAN

The 2010 Jonesville Land Use Plan, the 2010 Yadkinville Comprehensive Pedestrian Master Plan, and the 2011 Yadkin County Land Use Plan were used to identify existing and recommended pedestrian facilities throughout the planning area. These facilities

are shown on the Pedestrian Map (Figure 1- Sheet 5). In August of 2013, NCDOT's Division of Bicycle and Pedestrian Transportation awarded Jonesville a bicycle and pedestrian planning grant to develop a bicycle/pedestrian plan for the Jonesville area. The Piedmont Triad Regional Council will assist with the development of the plan. In addition, the county is working with NCDOT to pursue several safety related crosswalks.

Additional facilities not included in the plans listed above that are recommended to have sidewalks are listed below.

<u>Boonville</u>

- NC 67 (W. Main Street), YADK0002-P: from Reece Avenue to Lake Drive.
- NC 67 (W. Main Street), YADK0003-P: from Hayes Street to River Road.
- River Road (SR 1367), YADK0010-P: from NC 67 (Main Street) to Sunrise Lane.

East Bend

- Brewer Circle, YADK0004-P: from Union Hill Road (SR 1550) to Main Street (SR 1545)
- Fairground Road (SR 1541), YADK0005-P: from Main Street (SR 1545) to 0.1 mile north of Burchette Road.
- Flint Hill Road (SR 1549), YADK0006-P: from School Street to Pool Street.
- Main Street (SR 1545), YADK0007-P: from Union Hill Road (SR 1550) to NC 67.
- Union Hill Road (SR 1550), YADK0008-P: from Main Street (SR 1545) to Marler Road (SR 1103).

<u>Jonesville</u>

- US 21 Business (Elm Street), YADK0014-P: from US 21 Business (W Main Street) to US 21 Business (N Bridge Street).
- US 21 Business (S Bridge Street), YADK0015-P: from Main Street (SR 1310) to Valley Drive (SR 1403).
- NC 67, YADK0001-P: from Elm Street to 0.02 miles east of PVH Way.
- Mineral Springs Street, YADK0011-P: from US 32 Business (Main Street) to Swan Creek Bypass W (SR 1386).
- Plaza Street, YADK0012-P: from US 21 Business (N Bridge Street) to .05 miles north of Bluff Street.
- Swan Creek Bypass W (SR 1386), YADK0013-P: from US 21 Business (S Bridge Street) to Mineral Springs Street.

С Ш U Z Ш

Appendix A Resources and Contacts

Local Planning Organization

<u>Northwest Piedmont Rural Planning Organization</u> (http://www.ptrc.org/index.aspx?page=200) Contact the RPO for information on long-range multi-modal planning services. 400 W. Fourth St., Suite 400 Winston-Salem, NC 27101 (336) 904-0300

North Carolina Department of Transportation

Customer Service Office

Contact information for other units within the NCDOT that are not listed in this appendix is available by calling the Customer Service Office or by visiting the NCDOT directory:

1-877-DOT-4YOU (1-877-368-4968) http://www.ncdot.gov/contact/

Secretary of Transportation	(http://www.ncdot.org/about/le	adership/secretary.html)
1501 Mail Service Center	Raleigh, NC 27699-1501	(919) 707-2800
Board of Transportation	(http://www.	ncdot.gov/about/board/)
1501 Mail Service Center	Raleigh, NC 27699-1501	(919) 707-2820

Highway Division 11
801 Statesville Rd(https://apps.dot.state.nc.us/dot/directory/authenticated/ToC.aspx)
North Wilkesboro, NC 28659(336) 903-9101

Contact the Highway Division with questions concerning NCDOT activities within each Division.

Transportation Planning	Information on long-range multi-modal planning services.
Branch (TPB)	1554 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 (919) 707-0900
	Information concerning prioritization of transportation projects.
Strategic Planning Office	1501 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 (919) 707-4740
<u>Project Development &</u> Environmental Analysis	Information on environmental studies for projects that are included in the TIP.
(PDEA)	1548 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 (919) 707-6000
<u>State Asset Management</u> <u>Unit</u>	Information regarding the status for unpaved roads to be paved, additions and deletions of roads to the State maintained system and the Industrial Access Funds program.
	1535 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 (919) 707-2500

Contact the following NCDOT divisions and units¹ for:

¹ Unit websites are hyperlinked and can also be accessed at <u>https://connect.ncdot.gov/Pages/default.aspx</u>.

Program Development	Information concerning Roadway Official Corridor Maps, Feasibility Studies and the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).
<u>Branch</u>	1542 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 (919) 707-4610
Public Transportation	Information on public transit systems.
<u>Division</u>	1550 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 (919) 707-4670
	Rail information throughout the state.
Rail Division	1553 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 (919) 707-4700
Division of Bicycle and	Bicycle and pedestrian transportation information throughout the state.
<u>Pedestrian</u> <u>Transportation</u>	1552 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 (919) 707-2600
Structures Management	Information on bridge management throughout the state.
<u>Unit</u>	1581 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 (919) 707-6400
Roadway Design Unit	Information regarding design plans and proposals for road and bridge projects throughout the state.
	1582 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 (919) 707-6200
Transportation Mobility	Information regarding crash data throughout the state.
and Safety Division	1561 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 (919) 773-2800

Other State Government Offices

Department of Commerce – Division of Community Assistance

Contact the Department of Commerce for resources and services to help realize economic prosperity, plan for new growth and address community needs.

http://www.nccommerce.com/cd

Appendix B Comprehensive Transportation Plan Definitions

This appendix contains descriptive information and definitions for the designations depicted on the CTP maps shown in Figure 1.

Highway Map

The "<u>NCDOT Facility Type –Control of Access Definitions</u>" document provides a visual depiction of facility types for the following CTP classification.

Facility Type Definitions

✤ Freeways

- Functional purpose high mobility, high volume, high speed
- Posted speed 55 mph or greater
- Cross section minimum four lanes with continuous median
- Multi-modal elements High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV)/High Occupancy Transit (HOT) lanes, busways, truck lanes, park-and-ride facilities at/near interchanges, adjacent shared use paths (separate from roadway and outside ROW)
- Type of access control full control of access
- Access management interchange spacing (urban one mile; non-urban three miles); at interchanges on the intersecting roadway, full control of access for 1,000ft or for 350ft plus 650ft island or median; use of frontage roads, rear service roads
- Intersecting facilities interchange or grade separation (no signals or at-grade intersections)
- Driveways not allowed

Expressways

- Functional purpose high mobility, high volume, medium-high speed
- Posted speed 45 to 60 mph
- Cross section minimum four lanes with median
- Multi-modal elements HOV lanes, busways, very wide paved shoulders (rural), shared use paths (separate from roadway but within ROW)
- Type of access control limited or partial control of access;
- Access management minimum interchange/intersection spacing 2,000ft; median breaks only at intersections with minor roadways or to permit U-turns; use of frontage roads, rear service roads; driveways limited in location and number; use of acceleration/deceleration or right turning lanes
- Intersecting facilities interchange; at-grade intersection for minor roadways; right-in/right-out and/or left-over or grade separation (no signalization for through traffic)
- Driveways right-in/right-out only; direct driveway access via service roads or other alternate connections

✤ Boulevards

- Functional purpose moderate mobility; moderate access, moderate volume, medium speed
- Posted speed 30 to 55 mph
- Cross section two or more lanes with median (median breaks allowed for Uturns per current NCDOT Driveway Manual
- Multi-modal elements bus stops, bike lanes (urban) or wide paved shoulders (rural), sidewalks (urban - local government option)
- Type of access control limited control of access, partial control of access, or no control of access
- Access management two lane facilities may have medians with crossovers, medians with turning pockets or turning lanes; use of acceleration/deceleration or right turning lanes is optional; for abutting properties, use of shared driveways, internal out parcel access and cross-connectivity between adjacent properties is strongly encouraged
- Intersecting facilities at grade intersections and driveways; interchanges at special locations with high volumes
- Driveways primarily right-in/right-out, some right-in/right-out in combination with median leftovers; major driveways may be full movement when access is not possible using an alternate roadway

Other Major Thoroughfares

- Functional purpose balanced mobility and access, moderate volume, low to medium speed
- Posted speed 25 to 55 mph
- Cross section four or more lanes without median (US and NC routes may have less than four lanes)
- Multi-modal elements bus stops, bike lanes/wide outer lane (urban) or wide paved shoulder (rural), sidewalks (urban)
- Type of access control no control of access
- Access management continuous left turn lanes; for abutting properties, use of shared driveways, internal out parcel access and cross-connectivity between adjacent properties is strongly encouraged
- Intersecting facilities intersections and driveways
- Driveways full movement on two lane roadway with center turn lane as permitted by the current NCDOT *Driveway Manual*

Minor Thoroughfares

- Functional purpose balanced mobility and access, moderate volume, low to medium speed
- Posted speed 25 to 55 mph
- Cross section ultimately three lanes (no more than one lane per direction) or less without median
- Multi-modal elements bus stops, bike lanes/wide outer lane (urban) or wide paved shoulder (rural), sidewalks (urban)
- ROW no control of access

- Access management continuous left turn lanes; for abutting properties, use of shared driveways, internal out parcel access and cross-connectivity between adjacent properties is strongly encouraged
- Intersecting facilities intersections and driveways
- Driveways full movement on two lane with center turn lane as permitted by the current NCDOT *Driveway Manual*

Other Highway Map Definitions

- **Existing** Roadway facilities that are not recommended to be improved.
- Needs Improvement Roadway facilities that need to be improved for capacity, safety, operations, or system continuity. The improvement to the facility may be widening, increasing the level of access control along the facility, operational strategies (including but not limited to traffic control and enforcement, incident and emergency management, and deployment of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) technologies), or a combination of improvements and strategies. "Needs improvement" does not refer to the maintenance needs of existing facilities or the replacement or rehab of structures.
- Recommended Roadway facilities on new location that are needed in the future.
- Interchange Through movement on intersecting roads is separated by a structure. Turning movement area accommodated by on/off ramps and loops.
- Grade Separation Through movement on intersecting roads is separated by a structure. There is no direct access between the facilities.
- Full Control of Access Connections to a facility provided only via ramps at interchanges. No private driveway connections allowed.
- Limited Control of Access Connections to a facility provided only via ramps at interchanges (major crossings) and at-grade intersections (minor crossings and service roads). No private driveway connections allowed.
- Partial Control of Access Connections to a facility provided via ramps at interchanges, at-grade intersections, and private driveways. Private driveway connections shall be defined as a maximum of one connection per parcel. One connection is defined as one ingress and one egress point. These may be combined to form a two-way driveway (most common) or separated to allow for better traffic flow through the parcel. The use of shared or consolidated connections is highly encouraged.
- ✤ No Control of Access Connections to a facility provided via ramps at interchanges, at-grade intersections, and private driveways.

Public Transportation and Rail Map

- Bus Routes The primary fixed route bus system for the area. Does not include demand response systems.
- Fixed Guideway Any transit service that uses exclusive or controlled rights-of-way or rails, entirely or in part. The term includes heavy rail, commuter rail, light rail,

monorail, trolleybus, aerial tramway, included plane, cable car, automated guideway transit, and ferryboats.

- Operational Strategies Plans geared toward the non-single occupant vehicle. This includes but is not limited to HOV lanes or express bus service.
- Rail Corridor Locations of railroad tracks that are either active or inactive tracks. These tracks were used for either freight or passenger service.
 - Active rail service is currently provided in the corridor; may include freight and/or passenger service
 - Inactive right of way exists; however, there is no service currently provided; tracks may or may not exist
 - Recommended It is desirable for future rail to be considered to serve an area.
- High Speed Rail Corridor Corridor designated by the U.S. Department of Transportation as a potential high speed rail corridor.
 - Existing Corridor where higher-speed rail service (over 79 mph) is provided or a corridor that is officially designated by FRA to run higher speed trains in the future. There is currently one federally designated high-speed rail corridor in North Carolina - The Southeast High Speed Rail Corridor.
 - Recommended Proposed corridor for higher speed rail service.
- **Rail Stop** A railroad station or stop along the railroad tracks.
- Multimodal Connector A location where more than one mode of transportation meet such as where light rail and a bus route come together in one location. (NOTE- intermodal refers to two or more modes that transfer the same cargo unitlike 40' shipping container from ship to train or truck); multimodal is the transfer of people/cargo between two or more modes and in NC is used in public transit settings i.e. Charlotte Multimodal Station)
- Park and Ride Lot A strategically located parking lot that provides commuters connections to transit or carpools.
- Existing Grade Separation Locations where existing rail facilities are physically separated from existing highways or other transportation facilities. These may be bridges, culverts, or other structures.
- Proposed Grade Separation Locations where rail facilities are recommended to be physically separated from existing or recommended highways or other transportation facilities. These may be bridges, culverts, or other structures.

Bicycle Map

- On Road-Existing Conditions for bicycling on the highway facility are adequate to safely accommodate cyclists.
- On Road-Needs Improvement At the systems level, it is desirable for an existing highway facility to accommodate bicycle transportation; however, highway improvements are necessary to create safe travel conditions for the cyclists.

- On Road-Recommended At the systems level, it is desirable for a recommended highway facility to accommodate bicycle transportation. The highway should be designed and built to safely accommodate cyclists.
- Off Road-Existing A facility that accommodates only bicycle transportation and is physically separated from a highway facility either within the right-of-way or within an independent right-of-way.
- Off Road-Needs Improvement A facility that accommodates only bicycle transportation and is physically separated from a highway facility either within the right-of-way or within an independent right-of-way that will not adequately serve future bicycle needs. Improvements may include but are not limited to, widening, paving (not re-paving or other maintenance activities), and improved horizontal or vertical alignment.
- Off Road-Recommended A facility needed to accommodate only bicycle transportation and is physically separated from a highway facility either within the right-of-way or within an independent right-of-way.
- Multi-use Path-Existing An existing facility physically separated from motor vehicle traffic that is either within the highway right-of-way or on an independent right-of-way that serves bicycle and pedestrian traffic. Sidewalks should not be designated as a multi-use path.
- Multi-use Path-Needs Improvement An existing facility physically separated from motor vehicle traffic that is either within the highway right-of-way or on an independent right-of-way that serves bicycle and pedestrian traffic that will not adequately serve future needs. Improvements may include but are not limited to, widening, paving (not re-paving or other maintenance activities), and improved horizontal or vertical alignment. Sidewalks should not be designated as a multi-use path.
- Multi-use Path-Recommended A facility physically separated from motor vehicle traffic that is either within the highway right-of-way or on an independent right-of-way that is needed to serve bicycle and pedestrian traffic. Sidewalks should not be designated as a multi-use path.
- Existing Grade Separation Locations where existing "Off Road" facilities and "Multi-use Paths" are physically separated from existing highways, railroads, or other transportation facilities. These may be bridges, culverts, or other structures.
- Proposed Grade Separation Locations where "Off Road" facilities and "Multi-use Paths" are recommended to be physically separated from existing or recommended highways, railroads, or other transportation facilities. These may be bridges, culverts, or other structures.

Pedestrian Map

- Sidewalk-Existing Paved paths (including but not limited to concrete, asphalt, brick, stone, or wood) on both sides of a highway facility and within the highway right-of-way that are adequate to safely accommodate pedestrian traffic.
- Sidewalk-Needs Improvement Improvements are needed to provide paved paths on both sides of a highway facility. The highway facility may or may not need improvements. Improvements do not include re-paving or other maintenance activities but may include: filling in gaps, widening sidewalks, or meeting ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) requirements.
- Sidewalk-Recommended At the systems level, it is desirable for a recommended highway facility to accommodate pedestrian transportation or to add sidewalks on an existing facility where no sidewalks currently exist. The highway should be designed and built to safely accommodate pedestrian traffic.
- Off Road-Existing A facility that accommodates only pedestrian traffic and is physically separated from a highway facility usually within an independent right-ofway.
- Off Road-Needs Improvement A facility that accommodates only pedestrian traffic and is physically separated from a highway facility usually within an independent right-of-way that will not adequately serve future pedestrian needs. Improvements may include but are not limited to, widening, paving (not re-paving or other maintenance activities), improved horizontal or vertical alignment, and meeting ADA requirements.
- Off Road-Recommended A facility needed to accommodate only pedestrian traffic and is physically separated from a highway facility usually within an independent right-of-way.
- Multi-use Path-Existing An existing facility physically separated from motor vehicle traffic that is either within the highway right-of-way or on an independent right-of-way that serves bicycle and pedestrian traffic. Sidewalks should not be designated as a multi-use path.
- Multi-use Path-Needs Improvement An existing facility physically separated from motor vehicle traffic that is either within the highway right-of-way or on an independent right-of-way that serves bicycle and pedestrian traffic that will not adequately serve future needs. Improvements may include but are not limited to, widening, paving (not re-paving or other maintenance activities), and improved horizontal or vertical alignment. Sidewalks should not be designated as a multi-use path.
- Multi-use Path-Recommended A facility physically separated from motor vehicle traffic that is either within the highway right-of-way or on an independent right-of-way that is needed to serve bicycle and pedestrian traffic. Sidewalks should not be designated as a multi-use path.

- Existing Grade Separation Locations where existing "Off Road" facilities and "Multi-use Paths" are physically separated from existing highways, railroads, or other transportation facilities. These may be bridges, culverts, or other structures.
- Proposed Grade Separation Locations where "Off Road" facilities and "Multi-use Paths" are recommended to be physically separated from existing or recommended highways, railroads, or other transportation facilities. These may be bridges, culverts, or other structures.

Appendix C CTP Inventory and Recommendations

Assumptions/ Notes:

- Local ID: This Local ID is the same as the one used for the Prioritization Project Submittal Tool. If a TIP project number exists it is listed as the ID. Otherwise, the following system is used to create a code for each recommended improvement: the first 4 letters of the county name is combined with a 4 digit unique numerical code followed by '-H' for highway, '-T' for public transportation, '-R' for rail, '-B' for bicycle, '-M' for multi-use paths, or '-P' for pedestrian modes. If a different code is used along a route it indicates separate projects will probably be requested. Also, upper case alphabetic characters (i.e. 'A', 'B', or 'C') are included after the numeric portion of the code if it is anticipated that project segmentation or phasing will be recommended.
- Jurisdiction: Jurisdictions listed are based on municipal limits, county boundaries, and MPO Metropolitan Planning Area Boundaries (MAB), as applicable.
- Existing Cross-Section: Listed under '(ft)' is the approximate width of the roadway from edge of pavement to edge of pavement. Listed under 'lanes' is the total number of lanes, with the letter 'D' if the facility is divided.
- Existing ROW: The estimated existing right-of-way is based on the NCDOT Roadway Characteristics Shapefile and NCDOT Division 8 information. These right-of-way amounts are approximate and may vary.
- Existing and Proposed Capacity: The estimated capacities are given in vehicles per day (vpd) based on LOS D for existing facilities and LOS C for new facilities. These capacity estimates were developed based on the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual using the Transportation Planning Branch's LOS D Standards for Systems Level Planning, as documented in Chapter 1.
- Existing and Proposed AADT: Annual Average Daily Traffic volumes, given in vehicles per day (vpd), are estimates only based on a systems-level analysis. The '2040 AADT E+C' is an estimate of the volume in 2040 with only existing plus committed projects assumed to be in place, where committed is defined as projects programmed for construction in the 2012 2018 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The '2040 AADT with CTP' is an estimate of the volume in 2040 with all proposed CTP improvements assumed to be in place. The '2040 AADT with CTP' is shown in bold if it exceeds the proposed capacity, indicating an unmet need. For additional information about the assumptions and techniques used to develop the AADT volume estimates, refer to Chapter 1.
- **Proposed Cross-section:** The CTP recommended cross-sections are listed by code; for depiction of the cross-section, refer to Appendix D. An entry of 'ADQ' indicates the existing facility is adequate and there are no improvements recommended as part of the CTP.

- CTP Classification: The CTP classification is listed, as shown on the adopted CTP Maps (see Figure 1). Abbreviations are F= freeway, E= expressway, B= boulevard, Maj= other major thoroughfare, Min= minor thoroughfare.
- Tier: Tiers are defined as part of the North Carolina Mulitmodal Investment Network (NCMIN). Abbreviations are Sta= statewide tier, Reg= regional tier, Sub= subregional tier.
- Other Modes: If there is an improvement recommended for another mode of transportation that relates to the given recommendation, it is indicated by an alphabetic code (H=highway, T= public transportation, R= rail, B= bicycle, and P= pedestrian).

						HI	GHWA	Υ ¹										
							2012 Exi	sting Sy	stem			2040	Proposed S	ystem				
				Dist.	Cross-	Section	ROW	Speed Limit	Existing Capacity	2012	2040 AADT	2040 AADT	Proposed Capacity	Cross-	ROW	CTP Classifi-		Other
Local ID	Facility	Section (From - To)	Jurisdiction	(mi)	(ft)	lanes	(ft)	(mph)	(vpd)	AADT	E+C	with CTP	(vpd)	Section	(ft)	cation	Tier	Modes
	I-77	Iredell Co US 421	Yadkin Co.	4.8	48	4	350	70	59300	26000	36200	36200	59300	ADQ	ADQ	F	Sta	
	I-77	US 421 - Jonesville PAB (1)	Yadkin Co.	2.5	48	4	300	70	59300	29000	36500	36500	59300	ADQ	ADQ	F	Sta	
	US 21	Iredell Co Lone Hickory Rd (SR 1002)	Yadkin Co.	1.3	20	2	60	55	14600	900	1000	1000	14600	ADQ	ADQ	Maj	Reg	
	US 21	Lone Hickory Rd (SR 1002) - US 421	Yadkin Co.	3.8	22	2	60	55	14600	2000	3400	3400	14600	ADQ	ADQ	Maj	Reg	В
	US 21	US 421 - Longtown Rd (SR 1338)	Yadkin Co.	3.2	36	3	60	55	14600	2900	5000	5000	14600	ADQ	ADQ	Maj	Reg	в
YADK0023-H	US 21	Longtown Rd (SR 1338) - I-77	Yadkin Co.	3.1	20	2	100	55	15800	2800	3100	3100	15800	2A	100	Mai	Rea	
																, í		
	US 421	I-77 - Wilkes Co.	Yadkin Co.	3.9	24	2	250	55	56600	15000	23000	23000	56600	ADQ	ADQ	F	Sta	
	US 421	Old Stage Rd (SR 1710) - US 601	Yadkin Co.	3.7	48	4	275	55	56600	17000	22500	22500	56600	ADQ	ADQ	F	Sta	
		Speer Bridge Rd (SR 1711) -																
	US 421	Old Stage Rd (SR 1710)	Yadkin Co.	2.5	48	4	275	55	56600	18000	31600	31600	56600	ADQ	ADQ	F	Sta	
	US 421	US 21 - I-77	Yadkin Co.	2.4	48	4	295	65	56600	16000	26000	26000	56600	ADQ	ADQ	F	Sta	
	US 421	US 601 - US 21	Yadkin Co.	6.5	48	4	295	65	56600	16000	29600	29600	56600	ADQ	ADQ	F	Sta	
	US 601	Davie Co Courtney Huntsville Rd (SR 1001)	Yadkin Co.	1.3	20	2	80	35-45	15100	3800	7700	7700	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Maj	Reg	
	US 601	Courtney Huntsville Rd (SR 1001) - Hoots Rd (SR 1150)	Yadkin Co.	3.1	20	2	80	55	15100	3800	5800	5800	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Maj	Reg	
YADK0026-H	US 601	N. Lee Ave (SR 1134) - NC 67	Yadkin Co.	5.9	22	2	60	55	15100	5500	7300	7300	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Mai	Rea	
YADK0026-H	US 601	NC 67 - Surry Co.	Yadkin Co.	2.9	38	2	100	55	15100	4400	6200	6200	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Maj	Reg	
																,	0	
	NC 67	Jonesville PAB (1) - US 601	Yadkin Co.	2.8	20-36	2	80	55	15100	5300	7000	7000	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Maj	Reg	
YADK0027-H	NC 67	US 601 - Rockford Rd (SR 1510)	Yadkin Co.	2.9	20	2	100	55	15100	3900	5000	5000	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Mai	Rea	
YADK0027-H	NC 67	Rockford Rd (SR 1510) - Forsyth Co.	Yadkin Co.	12.7	20-36	3	100	35-55	15100	5000	6600	6600	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Mai	Rea	
YADK0022-H	Asbury Church Rd (SR 1125)	Buck Shoals Rd (SR 1103) - Old Hwy 421 (SR 1314)	Yadkin Co.	2.0	16-20	2	60	55	13100	960	1100	1100	13100	2A	60	Min	Sub	
					ļ			<u> </u>										+
	Baptist Church Rd (SR 1368)	Moxiey Rd (SR 1371) - US 601	Boonville	2.0	18	2	60	55	11600	500	1100	1100	11600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
					ļ			<u> </u>										───
	Branon Church Rd (SR 1441)	Hoots Rd (SR 1150) - Old Hwy 421 (SR 1314)	Yadkin Co.	0.5	20	2	60	55	11600	300	300	300	11600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
						I						I			I			

						HI	GHWA	Y ¹										
							2012 Exi	sting Sy	stem			2040	Proposed S	ystem				
Local ID	Facility	Section (From - To)	Jurisdiction	Dist. (mi)	Cross-S	Section lanes	ROW (ft)	Speed Limit (mph)	Existing Capacity (vpd)	2012 AADT	2040 AADT E+C	2040 AADT with CTP	Proposed Capacity (vpd)	Cross- Section	ROW (ft)	CTP Classifi- cation	Tier	Other Modes
	Bryant Rd (SR 1361)	Center Rd (SR 1331) - NC 67	Yadkin Co.	2.1	18	2	60	55	13600	1100	1800	1800	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
YADK0020-H	Buck Shoals Rd (SR 1103)	Iredell Co Hunting Creek Church Rd (SR 1100)	Yadkin Co.	1.1	16-18	2	60	55	13100	580	500	500	13100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
YADK0020-H	Buck Shoals Rd (SR 1103)	Hunting Creek Church Rd (SR 1100) - Asbury Church Rd (SR 1125) Asbury Church Rd (SR 1125)	Yadkin Co.	2.4	16-24	2	60	55	13100	580	500	500	13100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Buck Shoals Rd (SR 1103)	- US 421 US 421 - Old Hwy 421 (SR 1314)	Yadkin Co.	1.8	18-24	2	60 60	55	13600	580	500	500	13600	ADQ ADQ	ADQ ADQ	Min Min	Sub	
	Center Rd (SR 1331)	Bryant Rd (SR 1361) - Old Hwy 421 (SR 1314)	Yadkin Co.	6.9	18	2	60	55	13600	2200	2100	2100	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	в
	Cheek Rd (SR 1316)	Old Hwy 421 (SR 1314) - Rena Rd (SR 1303)	Yadkin Co.	0.5	20	2	60	55	11600	300	300	300	11600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Collins Rd (SR 1322)	Cheek Rd (SR 1316) - Marler Rd (SR 1103)	Yadkin Co.	1.5	20	2	60	55	11600	300	300	300	11600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Country Club Rd (SR 1502)	Country Club Rd (SR 1134) - Country Club Rd (SR 1503)	Yadkin Co.	1.0	20	2	60	55	13600	2000	1500	1500	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Country Club Rd (SR 1503)	Country Club Rd (SR 1502) - Rockford Rd (SR 1506)	Yadkin Co.	1.0	18	2	60	55	13600	1900	1500	1500	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Courtney Huntsville Rd (SR 1001)	US 601 -Watkins Rd (SR 1710)	Yadkin Co.	4.9	23	2	50	55	13600	1600	2400	2400	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	в
	Courtney Huntsville Rd (SR 1001)	Forsyth Co.	Yadkin Co.	4.0	19	2	50	55	13600	1500	1900	1900	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	В
	Dinkins Bottoms Rd (SR 1570)	Courtney Huntsville Rd (SR 1001) - Old Hwy 421 (SR 1605)	Yadkin Co.	1.4	24	2	60	55	15100	2200	3200	3200	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Fairground Rd (1645)	NC 67 - Main St (SR 1545)	East Bend	1.0	18	2	60	35	11000	500	500	500	11000	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Falcon Rd (SR 1600)	Old Hwy 421 (SR 1605) - Forbush Rd (SR 1570)	Yadkin Co.	2.7	20-36	2	60	55	15100	2400	2800	2800	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	

			HIGHWAY ¹ 2012 Existing System 2040 Proposed System															
							2012 Exi	sting Sy	stem			2040	Proposed S	ystem				
Local ID	Facility	Section (From - To)	Jurisdiction	Dist. (mi)	Cross-S	Section lanes	ROW (ft)	Speed Limit (mph)	Existing Capacity (vpd)	2012 AADT	2040 AADT E+C	2040 AADT with CTP	Proposed Capacity (vpd)	Cross- Section	ROW (ft)	CTP Classifi- cation	Tier	Other Modes
	Farmington Rd (SR 1716)	Davie Co Courtney Huntsville Rd (SR 1001)	Yadkin Co.	2.6	18-20	2	60	55	13600	1100	1500	1500	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	в
	Flat Rock Church Rd (SR 1126)	Asbury Church Rd (SR 1125) - US 21	Yadkin Co.	2.6	18	2	60	55	13600	530	900	900	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	в
YADK0021-H	Flint Hill Rd (SR 1549)	Old Hwy 421 (SR 1605) - NC 67	Yadkin Co.	6.5	18	2	60	55	13600	2200	3600	3600	13600	2A	60	Min	Sub	В
	Forbush Rd (SR 1570)	Nebo Rd (SR 1570) - Mt Bethal Church Rd (SR 1578)	Yadkin Co.	5.0	20	2	60	55	14100	1300	2300	2300	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Hamptonville Rd (SR 1102)	Hunting Creek Rd (SR 1100) Flat Rock Church Rd (SR 1126)	Yadkin Co.	3.1	18	2	60	55	13600	670	875	875	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	В
	Hoots Rd (SR 1150)	US 21 - US 601	Yadkin Co.	6.6	24-36	2	150	55	15100	1100	1700	1700	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Hunting Creek Church Rd (SR 1100)	I-77 - Iredell Co.	Yadkin Co.	2.8	18	2	60	55	13600	330	750	750	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	В
	Liberty Church Rd (SR 1159)	Lone Hickory Rd (SR 1002) - Davie Co.	Yadkin Co.	1.4	18	2	60	55	10400	370	520	520	10400	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Lone Hickory Rd (SR 1002)	US 21 - Liberty Church Rd (SR 1159)	Yadkin Co.	2.8	18	2	50	55	13600	1900	2500	2500	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	в
	Lone Hickory Rd (SR 1002)	Liberty Church Rd (SR 1159) - US 601	Yadkin Co.	3.5	20	2	50	55	14100	2000	4000	4000	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	В
YADK0028-H	Longtown Rd (SR 1338)	US 21 - Center Rd (SR 1331)	Yadkin Co.	5.1	18-20	2	60	45	13100	1400	2000	2000	13100	2A	60	Min	Sub	
	Main Street (SR 1545)	NC 67 West of East Bend - NC 67	East Bend	1.0	22 30	2	60 -100	25-35	14100	5900	2700	2700	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Marler Rd (SR 1103)	Collins Rd (SR 1323) - US 21	Yadkin Co.	1.3	20	2	60	55	13600	450	500	500	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Mayberry Mill Rd (SR 1217)	Windsor Rd (SR 1100) - Mayberry Mill Rd (SR 1450)	Yadkin Co.	1.3	18-20	2	60	55	13600	370	300	300	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Mayberry Mill Rd (SR 1450)	Windsor Rd (SR 1100) - Swan Creek Rd (SR 1300)	Yadkin Co.	0.2	18	2	60	55	13600	460	400	400	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Moxley Rd (SR 1371)	1370) - Baptist Church Rd (SR 1368)	Yadkin Co.	1.0	18	2	60	55	11600	500	1100	1100	11600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	

						н	GHWA	1										
							2012 Exi	sting Sy	stem			2040	Proposed S	ystem	_			
Local ID	Facility	Section (From - To)	Jurisdiction	Dist. (mi)	Cross-S	Section lanes	ROW (ft)	Speed Limit (mph)	Existing Capacity (vpd)	2012 AADT	2040 AADT E+C	2040 AADT with CTP	Proposed Capacity (vpd)	Cross- Section	ROW (ft)	CTP Classifi- cation	Tier	Other Modes
		Mt. Bethel Church Rd (SR																
	Mt. Bethel Church Rd (SR 1578)	1579) - Flint Hill Rd (SR 1549)	Yadkin Co.	2.8	18	2	60	55	13600	800	1000	1000	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Mt. Bethel Church Rd (SR 1579)	Forbush Rd (SR 1570) - Mt. Bethel Church Rd (SR 1578)	Yadkin Co.	0.8	18	2	60	55	13600	800	1000	1000	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	N. Oak Ridge Church Rd (SR 1370)	Bryant Rd (SR 1361) - Moxley Rd (SR 1371)	Yadkin Co.	1.0	18	2	60	55	11600	500	1100	1100	11600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Nebo Rd (SR 1570)	Nebo Rd (SR 1583) - NC 67	Yadkin Co.	0.9	20	2	60	55	14100	3100	3000	3000	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Nebo Rd (SR 1583)	Union Grove Church Rd (SR 1585) - Forbush Rd (SR 1570)	Yadkin Co.	0.6	20	2	60	55	14100	2600	3300	3300	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Old 421 Rd (SR 1314)	Swan Creek Rd (SR 1300) - Cheek Rd (SR 1316)	Yadkin Co.	1.8	18	2	60	55	13600	700	600	600	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Old 421 Rd (SR 1314)	Cheek Rd (SR 1316) - Rena Rd (SR 1103)	Yadkin Co.	1.3	18	2	60	55	13600	700	550	550	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Old 421 Rd (SR 1314)	Rena Rd (SR 1103) - US 21	Yadkin Co.	2.3	18	2	60	55	13600	1400	900	900	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Old 421 Rd (SR 1314)	US 21 - Fleming Rd (SR 1142)	Yadkin Co.	4.8	20-22	2	60	35-55	14100	5900	2700	2700	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
YADK0024-H	Old 421 Rd (SR 1605)	Pilot View Church (SR 1510) - Speer Bridge Rd (SR 1711)	Yadkin Co.	2.6	22	2	60	55	14600	3300	4100	4100	14600	2A	60	Min	Sub	
YADK0024-H	Old 421 Rd (SR 1605)	Speer Bridge Rd (SR 1711) - Flint Hill Rd (SR 1549)	Yadkin Co.	5.3	18	2	60	55	13600	2100	3000	3000	13600	2A	60	Min	Sub	В
YADK0024-H	Old 421 Rd (SR 1605)	Flint Hill Rd (SR 1549) - Forsyth Co.	Yadkin Co.	1.5	22	2	60	55	14600	3500	5000	5000	14600	2A	60	Min	Sub	В
	Old Stage Rd (SR 1710)	Old Stage Rd (SR 1733) - Shacktown Rd (SR 1146)	Yadkin Co.	0.9	20	2	60	55	14100	1300	500	500	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Old Stage Rd (SR 1733)	US 601 - Old Stage Rd (SR 1710)	Yadkin Co.	4.3	18-24	2	60	55	13600	1000	1100	1100	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Pilot View Church Rd (SR 1510)	1509) - Old Hwy 421 (SR 1605)	Yadkin Co.	2.5	20	2	60	55	14100	1300	1700	1700	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Rena Rd (SR 1303) Rena Rd (SR 1316)	Swan Creek Rd (SR 1300)- Cheek (SR 1316) Rena Rd (SR 1303) - US 21	Yadkin Co. Yadkin Co.	0.5 0.5	20 20	2	60 60	55 55	11600 11600	300 300	300 300	300 300	11600 11600	ADQ ADQ	ADQ ADQ	Min Min	Sub Sub	
	Richmond Hill Church Rd (SR 1527)	Rockford Rd (SR 1510) - Surry Co.	Yadkin Co.	0.2	22	2	60	55	14100	300	400	400	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
		y = -			1	1												

			HIGHWAY ¹ 2012 Existing System 2040 Proposed System															
							2012 Exi	sting Sy	stem	-		2040	Proposed S	ystem	_			
Local ID	Facility	Section (From - To)	Jurisdiction	Dist. (mi)	Cross-	Section lanes	ROW (ft)	Speed Limit (mph)	Existing Capacity (vpd)	2012 AADT	2040 AADT E+C	2040 AADT with CTP	Proposed Capacity (vpd)	Cross- Section	ROW (ft)	CTP Classifi- cation	Tier	Other Modes
	Rockett Rd (SR 1584)	Union Cross Church Rd (SR 1595) - Forbush Rd (SR 1570)	Yadkin Co.	2.8	18	2	60	55	13600	460	350	350	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Rockford Rd (SR 1506)	Country Club Rd (SR 1503) - Sugartown Rd (SR 1510) Rockford Rd (SR 1506) - NC	Yadkin Co.	0.6	20	2	60	55	14100	1900	1500	1500	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Rockford Rd (SR 1510)	NC 67 - Richmond Hill Church Rd (SR 1527)	Yadkin Co.	2.3	20	2	60	55	14100	1100	400	400	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Rocky Branch Rd (SR 1175)	Asbury Church Rd (SR 1125) - US 21 Unifi Industrial Dr (SR 1765) -	Yadkin Co.	1.3	20	2	60	55	13600	1100	2000	2000	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
YADK0025-H YADK0025-H	Shacktown Rd (SR 1146) Shacktown Rd (SR 1146)	Old Hwy 421(SR 1710) Old Stage Rd (SR 1710) - Old Hwy 421 (SR 1605)	Yadkin Co. Yadkin Co.	3.0 2.6	20 20	2	60 60	55 55	13600 13600	400 800	200 600	200 600	13600 13600	2A 2A	60 60	Min Min	Sub Sub	
	Siloam Rd (SR 1003)	Smithtown Rd (SR 1541) - Surry Co.	Yadkin Co.	3.7	20	2	60	55	14100	1100	2600	2600	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Smithtown Rd (SR 1003) Smithtown Rd (SR 1541)	NC 67 - Smithtown Rd (SR 1541) Siloam Rd (SR 1003) - NC	Yadkin Co. Yadkin Co.	1.6 2.2	18 18	2	60 60	55 55	13600 13600	2500 1200	3400 1500	3400 1500	13600 13600	ADQ ADQ	ADQ ADQ	Min Min	Sub Sub	
	Speer Bridge Rd ((SR 1711)	1001) - Old Hwy 421 (SR 1605)	Yadkin Co.	1.5	20	2	60	55	14100	1400	2200	2200	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Sugartown Rd (SR 1510)	Union Cross Church Rd (SR 1509) - Rockford Rd (SR 1506)	Yadkin Co.	3.2	20	2	60	55	14100	900	900	900	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Swan Creek Rd (SR 1300)	Jonesville PAB (1) - Old Hwy 421 (SR 1314)	Yadkin Co.	1.5	20	2	60	55	14100	1200	1500	1500	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	В
	Union Cross Church Rd (SR 1509)	Old Hwy 421 (SR 1605) - Union Cross Church Rd (SR 1584)	Yadkin Co.	3.6	18	2	60	55	13600	1300	1500	1500	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Union Cross Church Rd (SR 1584)	Union Cross Church Rd (SR 1509) - Union Cross Church Rd (SR 1595)	Yadkin Co.	0.5	18	2	60	55	13600	460	350	350	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Union Cross Church Rd (SR 1595)	Nebo Rd (SR 1583) - Union Cross Church Rd (SR 1584)	Yadkin Co.	1.8	18	2	60	55	13600	1400	2500	2500	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	

						н	GHWA	/ ¹										
							2012 Exi	sting Sy	stem			2040	Proposed S	ystem				
				Dist.	Cross-	Section	ROW	Speed Limit	Existing Capacity	2012	2040 AADT	2040 AADT	Proposed Capacity	Cross-	ROW	CTP Classifi-		Other
Local ID	Facility	Section (From - To)	Jurisdiction	(mi)	(ft)	lanes	(ft)	(mph)	(vpd)	AADT	E+C	with CTP	(vpd)	Section	(ft)	cation	Tier	Modes
		Sugartown Rd (SR 1510) - Union Cross Church Rd (SR		2.6	20	2	60	55	14100	1700			14100	100	100		<u>.</u>	
	Union Grove Church Rd (SR 1585)	1595)	Yadkin Co.								2000	2000		ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Watkins Rd (SR 1710)	Old Stage Rd (SR 1733) - Courtney Huntsville Rd SR 1001)	Yadkin Co.	1.6	20	2	60	55	14100	550	500	500	14100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Windsor Rd (SR 1100)	Old Hwy 421 SR 1314 - US 421	Yadkin Co.	0.3	40	3	160	55	15100	650	900	900	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Windsor Rd (SR 1100)	US 421 -Buck Shoals (SR 1103)	Yadkin Co.	5.8	24-40	2	100	55	15100	650	900	900	15100	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	
	Windsor Rd (SR 1100)	Buck Shoals Rd (SR 1103) - I 77	Yadkin Co.	0.6	18	2	60	55	13600	470	800	800	13600	ADQ	ADQ	Min	Sub	

1 For information on facilities not listed in this inventory table, refer to the 2012 Elkin-Jonesville CTP or the 2010 Town of Yadkinville CTP.

BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN¹

		BICYCLE						
				Existing	g System	Propos	ed System	
			Distance	Cross	Section			Other
Local ID	Facility/ Route	Section (From - To)	(mi)	(ft)	lanes	Туре	Cross-Section	Modes
YADK0011-B	US 21	Hamptonville Road (SR 1102) - Lone Hickory Road (SR 1002)	2.5	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0001-B	US 21 Business	Swan Creek Bypass (SR 1386) - Center Road (SR 1331)	1.2	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0001-H	US 21 Business	Howell School Road (1313) - Little Mountain Road (SR 1350)	1.2	-	-	Bicycle	2A	Н
YADK0002-B	NC 67	US 21 Business - Valley Road (SR 1403)	1.1	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0027-H	NC 67	Flint Hill Road (SR 1549) - Forsyth County	4.2	-	-	Bicycle	2A	Н
YADK0003-B	Bethel Road (SR 1308)	Wilkes County - Swan Creek Road (SR 1300)	0.6	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0015-B	Center Road (SR 1331)	US 21 Business - Little Mountain Road (SR 1350)	3.8	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0016-B	Courtney-Huntsville Road (SR 1001)	US 601 - Dinkins Bottom Road (SR 1570)	8.8	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0019-B	Dinkins Bottom Road (SR 1570)	Courtney-Huntsville Road (SR 1001) - Old Hwy 421 (SR 1605)	1.5	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0014-B	Fish Brandon Road (SR 1165)	Lone Hickory Road (SR 1002) - US 601	1.8	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0021-H	Flint Hill Road (SR 1549)	Old US 421 (SR 1605) - NC 67	6.6	-	-	Bicycle	2A	Н
YADK0010-B	Hamptonville Road (SR 1102)	Hunting Creek Church Road (SR 1100) - US 21	3.7	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0004-B	Howell School Road (SR 1313)	Swan Creek Road (SR 1300) - US 21 Business	2.6	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0009-B	Hunting Creek Church Road (SR 1100)	Iredell County - Hamptonville Road (SR 1102)	1.6	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0013-B	Joyner Road (SR 1156)	Iredell County - Lone Hickory Road (SR 1002)	0.6	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0005-B	Little Mountain Road (SR 1350)	US 21 Business - Center Road (SR 1331)	3.3	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0012-B	Lone Hickory Road (SR 1002)	US 21 - Joyner Road (SR 1156)	1.6	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0012-B	Lone Hickory Road (SR 1002)	Joyner Road (SR 1156) - Fish Brandon Road (SR 1165)	4.2	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0018-B	Old Hwy 421 (SR 1605)	Dinkins Bottom Road (SR 1570) - Forsyth County	5.0	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0017-B	Shallowford Road (SR 1001)	Dinkins Bottom Road (SR 1570) - Forsyth County	0.2	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0006-B	Swan Creek Bypass (SR 1386)	Swan Creek Road (SR 1300) - US 21 Business	1.6	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0007-B	Swan Creek Road (SR 1300)	Bethel Road (SR 1308) - Howell School Road (SR 1313)	2.0	-	-	Bicycle	2A	
YADK0008-B	Valley Road (SR 1403)	US 21 Business - NC 67	0.9	-	-	Bicycle	2A	

PEDESTRIAN									
				Existing System		Proposed System		Other	
			Distance		Side of				
Local ID	Facility/ Route	Section (From - To)	(mi)	Туре	Street	Туре	Side of Street	Modes	
Boonville									
YADK0002-P	NC 67 (W. Main Street)	Reece Avenue - Lake Drive	0.2	-	-	Sidewalk	Both	Н	
YADK0003-P	NC 67 (W. Main Street)	Hayes Street - River Road	0.1	Sidewalk	South	Sidewalk	Both	Н	
YADK0010-P	River Road (SR 1367)	NC 67 (Main Street) - Sunrise Lane	0.1	Sidewalk	South	Sidewalk	Both		
East Bend									
YADK0004-P	Brewer Circle	Union Hill Road (SR 1550) - Main Street (SR 1545)	0.3	-	-	Sidewalk	Both		
YADK0005-P	Fairground Road (SR 1541)	Main Street (SR 1545) - 0.1 mile north of Burchette Road	0.2	Sidewalk	Both	Sidewalk	Both		
¹ For information on facilities not listed in this inventory table, refer to the 2012 Elkin-Jonesville CTP or the 2010 Town of Yadkinville CTP.									

PEDESTRIAN									
			Distance	Existing System		Proposed System		Other	
Local ID	Facility/ Route	Section (From - To)	(mi)	Туре	Street	Туре	Side of Street	Modes	
YADK0016-P	Fairground Road (SR 1541)	0.1 mile north of Burchette Road - 0.4 miles north of Burhchette Road	0.3	-	-	Sidewalk	Both		
YADK0006-P	Flint Hill Road (SR 1549)	School Street - Pool Street	0.3	-	-	Sidewalk	Both	Н, В	
YADK0017-P	Main Street (SR 1545)	School Street - Flint Hill Road/Pauline Street	0.1	-	-	Sidewalk	South	Н	
YADK0007-P	Main Street (SR 1545)	Union Hill Road (SR 1550) - 0.1 miles east of Union Hill Road (SR 1550)	0.1	Sidewalk	North	Sidewalk	South	Н	
YADK0018-P	Main Street (SR 1545)	0.1 miles east of Union Hill Road (SR 1550) - NC 67	0.7	-	-	Sidewalk	Both	Н	
YADK0008-P	Union Hill Road (SR 1550)	Main Street (SR 1545) - 0.04 miles north of Brewer Circle	0.2	Sidewalk	North	Sidewalk	South		
YADK0019-P	Union Hill Road (SR 1550)	0.04 miles north of Brewers Circle - Marler Road (SR 1103)	0.3	-	-	Sidewalk	Both		
Jonesville									
YADK0014-P	US 21 Business (Elm Street)	US 21 Business (W Main Street) - US 21 Business (N Bridge Street)	0.2	-	-	Sidewalk	Both		
YADK0015-P	US 21 Business (S Bridge Street)	Main Street (SR 1310) - Valley Drive (SR 1403)	0.3	-	-	Sidewalk	Both	Н	
YADK0001-P	NC 67	Elm Street - 0.02 miles east of PVH Way	2.0	Sidewalk	South	Sidewalk	North	Н	
YADK0011-P	Mineral Springs Street	US 21 Business (Main Street) - Swan Creek Bypass W (SR 1386)	1.0	-	-	Sidewalk	Both		
YADK0012-P	Plaza Street	US 21 Business (N Bridge Street) - 0.05 miles north of Bluff Street	0.1	-	-	Sidewalk	Both		
YADK0013-P	Swan Creek Bypass W (SR 1386)	US 21 Business (S Bridge Street) - Mineral Springs Street	0.6	-	-	Sidewalk	Both		

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION¹

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION										
			Speed		Existing System	Proposed System				
			Limit	Distance			Other			
Local ID	Facility/ Route	Section (From - To)	(mph)	(mi)	Туре	Туре	Modes			

¹ For information on facilities not listed in this inventory table, refer to the 2012 Elkin-Jonesville CTP or the 2010 Town of Yadkinville CTP.

Appendix D Typical Cross Sections

Cross section requirements for roadways vary according to the capacity and level of service to be provided. Universal standards in the design of roadways are not practical. Each roadway section must be individually analyzed and its cross section determined based on the volume and type of projected traffic, existing capacity, desired level of service, and available right-of-way. These cross sections are typical for facilities on new location and where right-of-way constraints are not critical. For widening projects and urban projects with limited right-of-way, special cross sections should be developed that meet the needs of the project.

The comprehensive planning and design "typical" highway cross sections, as depicted on the following pages, were updated on May 5, 2014 in response to the Strategic Transportation Investments¹ (STI) law (House Bill 817) and are also consistent with SPOTOn!ine (used for project prioritization²), NCDOT's GIS-based web application for providing automated, near real-time prioritization scores and project costs. This guidance establishes design elements that emphasize safety, mobility, complete streets³, and accessibility for multiple modes of travel. These "typical" highway cross sections should be used as guidelines for comprehensive transportation planning, project planning and project design activities. The specific and final cross section details and right of way limits for projects will be established through the preparation of the National Environmental Policy Act⁴ (NEPA) documentation and through final design preparation.

On all existing and proposed roadways delineated on the CTP, adequate right-of-way should be protected or acquired for the recommended cross sections. In addition to cross section and right-of-way recommendations for improvements, Appendix C may recommend ultimate needed right-of-way for the following situations:

- roadways which may require widening after the current planning period,
- roadways which are borderline adequate and accelerated traffic growth could render them deficient,
- roadways where an urban curb and gutter cross section may be locally desirable because of urban development or redevelopment, and
- roadways which may need to accommodate an additional transportation mode.

¹ For more information on STI, go to: <u>http://www.ncdot.gov/strategictransportationinvestments/</u>.

² For more information on prioritization, go to: <u>https://connect.ncdot.gov/projects/planning/Pages/StrategicPrioritization.aspx</u>.

³ For more information on Complete Streets, go to: <u>http://www.completestreetsnc.org/</u>.

⁴ For more information on NEPA, go to: <u>http://ceq.hss.doe.gov/</u>.
FIGURE 7 "TYPICAL" HIGHWAY CROSS SECTIONS



2A

2B

2C

2 LANE UNDIVIDED WITH PAVED SHOULDERS POSTED SPEED 55 MPH



2 LANES UNDIVIDED POSTED SPEED 45 MPH OR LESS



2 LANE UNDIVIDED WITH PAVED SHOULDERS POSTED SPEED 25 - 35 MPH



2 LANE UNDIVIDED WITH PAVED SHOULDERS AND SIDEWALKS POSTED SPEED 25-45 MPH



2 LANE UNDIVIDED WITH CURB & GUTTER, BIKE LANES, AND SIDEWALKS POSTED SPEED 25-45 MPH



2 LANE UNDIVIDED WITH PAVED SHOULDERS AND SIDEWALKS IN CAMA COUNTIES POSTED SPEED 25-45 MPH







2 LANE DIVIDED (23' RAISED MEDIAN) WITH CURB & GUTTER AND SIDEWALKS POSTED SPEED 25-45 MPH



2 LANE DIVIDED (23' RAISED MEDIAN) WITH CURB & GUTTER, BIKE LANES, AND SIDEWALKS POSTED SPEED 25-45 MPH



2 LANE DIVIDED (17'-6" RAISED MEDIAN) WITH CURB & GUTTER AND SIDEWALKS POSTED SPEED 25-45 MPH



2 LANE DIVIDED (17'-6" RAISED MEDIAN) WITH CURB & GUTTER, BIKE LANES, AND SIDEWALKS POSTED SPEED 25-45 MPH



2 LANE WITH TWO WAY LEFT TURN LANE, AND PAVED SHOULDERS POSTED SPEED 25-55 MPH



2 LANE WITH TWO WAY LEFT TURN LANE, CURB & GUTTER, AND SIDEWALKS POSTED SPEED 25-45 MPH



2 LANE WITH TWO WAY LEFT TURN LANE, CURB & GUTTER, BIKE LANES, AND SIDEWALKS POSTED SPEED 25-45 MPH



4 LANE DIVIDED (46' DEPRESSED MEDIAN) WITH PAVED SHOULDERS POSTED SPEED 45-70 MPH



4 LANE DIVIDED (23' RAISED MEDIAN) WITH PAVED SHOULDERS AND SIDEWALKS POSTED SPEED 35-55 MPH



4 LANE DIVIDED (23' RAISED MEDIAN) WITH CURB & GUTTER, WIDE OUTSIDE LANES, AND SIDEWALKS POSTED SPEED 35-45 MPH



4F

5' 4'-6' 5' 6 4'-6' IJ Ũ Î ÎÌ MIN MIN. MIN. MIN. SIDEWALK SIDEWALK 12' 14' 12 10' 10' 17'-6" MEDIAN 14 MIN. MIN. 100' MIN. RIGHT OF WAY 4 LANE DIVIDED (17'-6" RAISED MEDIAN) WITH CURB & GUTTER,

WIDE OUTSIDE LANES AND SIDEWALKS

POSTED SPEED 35-45 MPH



4 LANE WITH TWO WAY LEFT TURN LANE, CURB & GUTTER, AND SIDEWALKS POSTED SPEED 35-45 MPH





6 LANE FREEWAY (27' MEDIAN WITH JERSEY BARRIER) WITH PAVED SHOULDERS AND 2 LANE ONE-WAY SERVICE ROADS EACH SIDE



6 LANE FREEWAY (4 GENERAL PURPOSE LANES, 2 MANAGED LANES, AND 27' MEDIAN WITH JERSEY BARRIER) WITH PAVED SHOULDERS POSTED SPEED 55-70 MPH

6D





MULTI - USE PATH ADJACENT TO RIGHT OF WAY OR SEPARATE PATHWAY



ΜΒ



Appendix E Level of Service Definitions

The relationship of travel demand compared to the roadway capacity determines the level of service (LOS) of a roadway. Six levels of service identify the range of possible conditions. Designations range from LOS A, which represents the best operating conditions, to LOS F, which represents the worst operating conditions.

Design requirements for roadways vary according to the desired capacity and level of service. LOS D indicates "practical capacity" of a roadway, or the capacity at which the public begins to express dissatisfaction. Recommended improvements and overall design of the transportation plan were based upon achieving a minimum LOS D on existing facilities and a LOS C on new facilities. The six levels of service are described below and illustrated in Figure 8.

- LOS A: Describes free-flow operations. Free Flow Speed (FFS) prevails and vehicles are almost completely unimpeded in their ability to maneuver within the traffic stream. The effects of incidents or point breakdowns are easily absorbed.
- LOS B: Represents reasonably free-flow operations, and FFS is maintained. The ability to maneuver within the traffic stream is only slightly restricted, and the general level of physical and psychological comfort provided to drivers is still high. The effects of minor incidents and point breakdowns are still easily absorbed.
- LOS C: Provides for flow with speeds near the FFS. Freedom to maneuver within the traffic stream is noticeably restricted, and lane changes require more care and vigilance on the part of the driver. Minor incidents may still be absorbed, but the local deterioration in service quality will be significant. Queues may be expected to form behind any significant blockages.
- LOS D: The level at which speeds begin to decline with increasing flows, with density increasing more quickly. Freedom to maneuver within the traffic stream is seriously limited and drivers experience reduced physical and psychological comfort levels. Even minor incidents can be expected to create queuing, because the traffic stream has little space to absorb disruptions.
- LOS E: Describes operation at capacity. Operations at this level are highly volatile because there are virtually no usable gaps within the traffic stream, leaving little room to maneuver within the traffic stream. Any disruption to the traffic stream, such as vehicles entering from a ramp or a vehicle changing lanes, can establish a disruption wave that propagates throughout the upstream traffic flow. At capacity, the traffic stream has no ability to dissipate even the most minor disruption, and any incident can be expected to produce a serious breakdown and substantial queuing. The physical and psychological comfort afforded to drivers is poor.
- LOS F: Describes breakdown, or unstable flow. Such conditions exist within queues forming behind bottlenecks.

Figure 8 - Level of Service Illustrations

LOS A

LOS B



LOS C

LOS D



LOS E

LOS F

Source: 2010 Highway Capacity Manual, Exhibit 11-4

Appendix F Bridge Deficiency Assessment

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) development process for bridge projects involves consideration of several evaluation methods in order to prioritize needed improvements. A sufficiency index is used to determine whether a bridge is sufficient to remain in service, or to what extent it is deficient. The index is a percentage in which 100 percent represents an entirely sufficient bridge and zero represents an entirely insufficient or deficient bridge. Factors evaluated in calculating the index are listed below.

- structural adequacy and safety
- serviceability and functional obsolescence
- essentiality for public use
- type of structure
- traffic safety features

The NCDOT Structures Management Unit inspects all bridges in North Carolina at least once every two years. A sufficiency rating for each bridge is calculated and establishes the eligibility and priority for replacement. Bridges having the highest priority are replaced as federal and state funds become available.

A bridge is considered deficient if it is either structurally deficient (SD) or functionally obsolete (FO). Structurally deficient means there are elements of the bridge that need to be monitored and/or repaired. The fact that a bridge is "structurally deficient" does not imply that it is likely to collapse or that it is unsafe. It means the bridge must be monitored, inspected and repaired/replaced at an appropriate time to maintain its structural integrity. A functionally obsolete bridge is one that was built to standards that are not used today. These bridges are not automatically rated as structurally deficient, nor are they inherently unsafe. Functionally obsolete bridges are those that do not have adequate lane widths, shoulder widths, or vertical clearances to serve current traffic demand or to meet the current geometric standards, or those that may be occasionally flooded.

A bridge must be classified as deficient in order to qualify for federal replacement funds. Additionally, the sufficiency rating must be less than 50% to qualify for replacement or less than 80% to qualify for rehabilitation under federal funding. Deficient bridges located on roads evaluated as a part of the CTP are listed in Table 4. For more details on deficient bridges within the planning area, contact the Structures Management Unit using the information in Appendix A.

Table 4 - Deficient Bridges

Bridge Number	Facility	Feature	Condition	(Local ID)
2	Farmington Road (SR 1716)	Turner Creek	SD	
10	Watkins Road (SR 1710)	South Deep Creek	SD	B-4686
11	Watkins Road (SR 1710)	Harmon Creek	SD	
23	Hamptonville Road (SR 1102)	North Hunting Creek	FO	
29	US 21 Business	I-77	SD	YADK0014-H
35	NC 67	Yadkin River	FO & SD	YADK0027-H
37	US 601	Creek	FO	YADK0026-H
59	US 21 Business	Jonesville Creek	FO	B-4979
61	Hoots Road (SR 1150)	South Deep Creek	SD	
69	US 421 NBL	US 601	FO & SD	
82	US 421 NBL	Watkins Road (SR 1710)	FO & SD	
88	US 421 NBL	North Deep Creek	FO	
90	Speer Bridge Road (SR 1711)	US 421	FO & SD	
102	Dinkins Bottoms Road (SR 1570)	US 421	SD	
107	Center Road (SR 1331)	I-77	FO	YADK0015-H
109	Country Club Road (SR 1502)	North Deep Creek	FO & SD	
114	I-77 Northbound Lane	NC 67	FO & SD	
115	I-77 Southbound Lane	NC 67	FO	
154	Myers Road (SR 1508)	North Deep Creek	FO & SD	
175	Old 421 Road (SR 1314)	Myers Creek	FO	
177	Old 421 Road (SR 1314)	South Deep Creek	FO & SD	
186	Old 421 Road (SR 1605)	Yadkin River	FO & SD	YADK0024-H
213	US 601	North Deep Creek	SD	YADK0026-H
220	Reavis Road (SR 1141)	US 421	FO	

Appendix G Socio-Economic Data Forecasting Methodology

In the development of the Yadkin County CTP, existing and anticipated deficiencies were determined through an analysis of the transportation system looking at both current and future travel patterns.

For the Yadkin County CTP, travel demand was projected from 2012 to 2040 using a trend line analysis based on Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) from 1990 to 2011. In addition, local land use plans and growth expectations were used to further refine future growth rates and patterns. For this CTP, the 2011 Yadkin County Land Use Plan¹, the 2025 Yadkinville Land Development Plan² (2005) and the 2010 Jonesville Land Use Plan were used and are illustrated in Figures 9.

Below is a description of the methodology used in the analysis.

Population Projections

Population data is listed in the Table 5 below with the future information projected by the North Carolina Office of State Budget and Management. The 1980, 1990, 2000 and 2010 data represents Census Data for Yadkin County.

Using the known data, a growth rate was determined with the formula:

$$F = P (1+r)^N$$
 where:

F = Future PopulationP = Present Populationr = Rate of GrowthN = Number of Years

Location	1980	1990	2000	2010	2012	2020	2030	2040
Yadkin County	28,439	30,488	36,251	38,401	38,235	40,365**	42,424**	44,588**
North Carolina	5,880,096	6,656,987	8,082,261	9,535,483	9,843,000	10,616,077*	11,631,895*	

* Projections by the North Carolina State Data Center

** Projections provided by the NCDOT Transportation Planning Branch

¹ To view the 2011 Yadkin County Land Use Plan, go to: <u>http://www.yadkincountync.gov/</u>.

² To view the 2025 Yadkinville Land Development Plan, go to: <u>http://www.yadkinville.org</u>.

Employment

Future employment conditions within Yadkin County were approved by the CTP Steering Committee. This included approximate locations and intensity for proposed employment centers. Any anticipated heavy demand on the future transportation system as a result of these proposals is accounted for in projected traffic volumes. Employment totals, listed in Table 6, were based on information from the North Carolina Department of Commerce's Labor and Economics Division. Initial distribution for the area was achieved with the help of GIS data provided by Yadkin County Department of Planning. Countywide 2020, 2030 and 2040 employment totals were based on applying the average population-employment ratio of 2000, 2010 and 2012.

2012 Employment = 9920 2012 Population = 38,235 Employment to Population (emp/pop) = 0.2595

Year	Population	Employment/Population Ratio	Employment
2000	36,351	.2842	10,304
2010	38,401	.2364	9078
2012	38,235	.2595	9920
2020	40,365	.2600	10,494
2030	42,424	.2625	11,136
2040	44,588	.2650	11,815

Table 6 – Employment Data









LONG FARM RD



FIGURE 9 Sheet 3 of 3

Appendix H Public Involvement

This appendix documents the public involvement process and includes a listing of steering committee members, the goals and objectives survey results, and public meetings held throughout the development of the CTP.

List of CTP Steering Committee Members

At the start of a CTP study, a committee is formed that is comprised of individuals who represent the various needs, issues and populations of the community. These representatives are responsible for capturing the transportation needs of the community relative to all modes of transportation and for guiding the development of the CTP. A listing of steering committee members for the Yadkin County CTP is given below.

- Marc Allred Northwest Piedmont Rural Planning Organization
- Kevin Austin Yadkin County Commissioner, Chairman
- Tom Bastable County Resident
- Scott Buffkin Jonesville Town Manager
- Aaron Church, Yadkin County Manager
- Hubert Gregory Mayor, Town of Yadkinville
- Gilbert Hemric Yadkin County Commissioner
- Rusty Hunter Mayor, Town of Boonville
- Dean Ledbetter Division Planning Engineer, NCDOT Division 11
- David Moxley Yadkin County Commissioner, Vice Chairman
- Christopher Ong Yadkinville Town Manager
- Michael Poston Yadkin County Planning & Development Director
- Daniel Tulbert County Resident
- Christina Walsh Northwest Piedmont Rural Planning Organization Coordinator
- David Moxley Yadkin County Commissioner, Vice Chairman
- Marion Weldon Yadkin County Commissioner
- Frank Zachary Yadkin County Commissioner

CTP Vision, Goals, and Objectives

The CTP vision, goals and objectives are developed as part of the public involvement process and help identify how the people within an area would like to develop the transportation system (all modes). The CTP committee develops the draft vision, goals, objectives, and MOEs which are further refined with input from citizens via the CTP Goals & Objectives (G&O) survey. These products become the official guide for the CTP being developed.

The vision statement, goals and objectives reflect what is important for the area and defines any local preferences concerning the transportation system and community assets. The vision statement is the framework for the area's strategic planning. Goals and objectives document how the area plans to fulfill its vision. The goals break down

the vision statement into themes, while the objectives document how the area plans to make progress towards achieving each goal. MOEs are established to enable the area to track the progress of each objective.

Vision Statement:

To provide an efficient transportation system throughout Yadkin County without regards to jurisdictional boundaries to ensure continued and enhanced quality of life through safe and sufficient transportation.

Goals & Objectives:

- Insure the integrity of the existing transportation system by encouraging planned and strategic development.
- Encourage right of way preservation to ensure expansion of the existing system and future roadway projects.
- Coordinate transportation and improvement needs between multiple jurisdictions.
- Provide means to identifying and prioritizing transportation system needs on a local and regional scale.
- Enhance and expand services for alternative modes of transportation including but not limited to transit, walking and bicycling through increased funding and cooperative regional planning.
- Acknowledge ways to improve safety and congestion as well as programs to educate the public on traffic safety.
- Recognize a sustainable transportation infrastructure linking the planning area with surrounding metropolitan areas including Greensboro, Winston Salem, and other areas.
- Educate the public on general transportation issues as well as alternative forms of transportation.

Goals and Objectives Survey

A G&O survey is a public involvement technique used to help identify an area's perception of transportation-related issues, identify concerns that should be addressed during the development of a CTP, and to help develop a vision for the community. The G&O survey is most appropriately implemented at the beginning of the transportation planning study. In addition to determining up front what is important to the citizens of the planning area, initiating the G&O survey early in the planning process allows the survey to serve as an introduction to the transportation planning process. The survey usually includes a brief introduction explaining what a transportation plan is and how the area can benefit from having one. The survey also includes a wide variety of questions that is tailored to each area as appropriate. A summary of the Yadkin County G & O survey is given below.

Yadkin County Transportation Survey Results					
How important are the following tra	nsportation goals?	,			
Answer Options Not Important Important Very Important R					
Increase Public Transportation Options	49	65	48	162	
Faster Automobile Travel Times	75	57	30	162	
Preserve Community and Rural Character	20	70	72	162	
Protect the Environment	19	75	68	162	
Support Economic Growth	19	56	87	162	
Improve Services for Special Needs	26	81	55	162	
Increased Transportation Mode Choices. (More and/or safer opportunities to bike or walk to destinations instead of driving)	56	62	44	162	
answered question				162	
			skipped question	0	

Please select which of the following methods you agree with, for increasing a road's efficiency.				
Answer Options	Agree	Disagree	Response Count	
Building additional travel lanes	113	49	162	
Making improvements to intersection such as better traffic signal timing, adding guard rails, creating roundabouts	130	32	162	
Controlling the frequency and locations of driveways and cross streets that access the road	106	56	162	
	162			
		skipped question	0	

П

Are you concerned with safety or crash problems at any specific locations?					
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count			
No	46.3%	75			
Yes, Please describe the location, including the road name or intersection	53.7%	87			
	answered question	162			
	skipped question	0			

Is truck traffic a problem in Yadkin			
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
No		83.6%	133
Yes. Please describe the location, including the road name or intersection.		16.4%	26
	а	nswered question	159
skipped question			3

When traveling in your area, do you find that you often have to go out of your way to get to your destination because: A direct route does not exist or the most direct route is too congested?					
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count			
No	79.9%	127			
Yes, Please give examples	20.1%	32			
	answered question	159			
	skipped question	3			

What are the key Transportation Issues facing Yadkin County?				
Answer Options				Response Count
				94
		ai	nswered question	94
			skipped question	68

To what areas would you like to have improved access?				
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count		
Winston-Salem	61.1%	69		
Greensboro	11.5%	13		
Wilkes County	15.9%	18		
Surry County	44.2%	50		
Other	26.5%	30		
	answered question	113		
	skipped question	49		

What roads would you most like to have improved access				
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count		
US 421	35.0%	41		
US 601	45.3%	53		
NC 67	52.1%	61		
Old 421	24.8%	29		
US 421	3.4%	4		
Other (please specify)	23.1%	27		
	answered question	117		
	skipped question	45		

Are there areas where you would like to see sidewalks constructed or improved?				
Answer Options Response Response Percent Count				
No	73.6%	117		
Yes. Please describe where.	26.4%	42		
answered question		159		
	skipped question	3		

Would you use off-road trails or g	reenways for walking or bicycling?		
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
No.		61.6%	98
Yes. Please describe where.		38.4%	61
	a	nswered question	159
skipped question		3	

Would you use on-road bicycle facilities, such as bicycle lanes or wide shoulders?			
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
No.	69.8%	111	
Yes. Please describe where.	30.2%	48	
answered question		159	
	skipped question	3	

We would like to know about your walking habits. For each purpose or destination below, please indicate how frequently you walk.				
Answer Options	Regularly	Occasionally	Never	Response Count
Fitness/Exercise	73	63	22	158
Get to School	1	2	151	154
Get to Work	4	10	141	155
Get to Park and Ride Lot	6	12	135	153
Shopping/Errands	34	23	100	157
Restaurants	26	29	101	156
Entertainment	11	33	112	156
Other	15	19	62	96
		ai	nswered question	158
			skipped question	4

Would you use park-and-ride lots? (A park-and-ride lot is a parking area where you can leave your car and take public transportation or carpool to your destination.)			
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes.		32.3%	51
No.		67.7%	107
answered question		158	
		skipped question	4

Please answer 'yes' or 'no' if you would use eac			
Answer Options	Yes	No	Response Count
Bus Service to Charlotte	50	108	158
Bus Service to the Triad	34	124	158
Bus Service to Statesville	27	131	158
Bus Service to Boone	33	125	158
Amtrak /Passenger Rail service	67	91	158
	158		
	4		

Would you use Bus Service to another locat	ion?		
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
No		83.5%	132
Yes, please list desired locations for service		16.5%	26
	answ	vered question	158
	ski	pped question	4

What is your age?			
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
Under 18		0.0%	0
18 - 24		0.6%	1
25 - 34		5.2%	8
35 - 44		7.7%	12
45 - 55		32.9%	51
55 - 64		26.5%	41
65 - 74		18.1%	28
Over 75		9.0%	14
	a	nswered question	155
		skipped question	7

How would you classify your race?			
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
White		94.8%	145
Black		2.0%	3
Native American		0.7%	1
Hispanic		0.7%	1
Asian		0.0%	0
Other		2.0%	3
	ai	nswered question	153
		skipped question	9

How many people, including yours	elf, live in your household?		
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
1		16.3%	25
2		53.6%	82
3		15.0%	23
4		9.8%	15
5		3.9%	6
6		0.7%	1
7		0.0%	0
8 or more		0.7%	1
answered question			153
skipped question			9

What was your household income last year	ar?	
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Less than \$19,600	9.2%	12
\$19,601 - \$39-199	15.4%	20
\$39,200 - \$ 49,999	10.8%	14
\$50,000 - \$70,000	18.5%	24
More than \$70,000	38.5%	50
Don't Know	7.7%	10
answered question		130
	skipped question	32

What is your Zip Code?			
Answer Options		Response Percent	Response Count
27055		34.2%	53
27011		13.5%	21
27018		29.0%	45
28689		1.3%	2
27020		5.2%	8
Other (please specify)		16.8%	26
	ai	nswered question	155
		skipped question	7

Where did you hear about this surv	ey?	
Answer Options	Response Percent	e Response Count
Government Building	16.1%	25
Church	0.0%	0
Newsletter	0.6%	1
Private Business	0.0%	0
Newspaper	3.9%	6
Other (please specify)	79.4%	123
answered question		<i>tion</i> 155
skipped question		tion 7

Public Meetings

Brief summaries of public meetings held within the planning area are given below.

Public Workshop #1 at the Yadkinville Town Hall

The first public workshop took place at the Yadkinville Town Hall on October 11, 2012 from 4:30-6:30 pm. This workshop introduced the Draft CTP maps to the public, as well as what could be expected of the final plan. Seven citizens were in attendance. They were given the opportunity to look over the maps and give additional feedback if anything needed to be added, removed, or changed. There were a few comments given in reference to the Buck Shoals Rd (SR 1103) area, as well as pictures for visual aids. Comments were addressed by the recommended improvement to the facility in the CTP. Refer to Chapter 2 of this report for more information.

Public Workshop #2 at the Yadkinville Town Hall

The second public workshop took place at the Yadkinville Town Hall on April 30, 2013 from 5:00-7:00 pm. This workshop presented the revised Draft CTP maps to the public and approximately nine citizens were in attendance. Additional feedback was solicited for additions and/or revisions. There were a few comments given in reference to the Boonville area. The information was forwarded to the local NCDOT Division Office for follow up.

Public Workshop #3 at the Yadkinville Town Hall

The third public workshop took place at the Yadkinville Town Hall on August 26, 2014 from 5:00-7:00 pm. This workshop showed the CTP draft maps to the public, approximately eleven citizens were in attendance. We went over the latest adjustments from previous local meeting. There were a few comments given in reference to the round-a-bouts.

Adoption Meetings

The CTP was adopted by local officials during the following meetings:

- Jonesville Town Council Meeting October 6, 2014
- Boonville Town Council Meeting October 7, 2014

- East Bend Town Council Meeting October 13, 2014
- Yadkinville Town Council Meeting November 3, 2014
- Yadkin County Board of Commissioner's Meeting November 17, 2014

The Northwest Piedmont RPO endorsed the CTP on December 17, 2014. The North Carolina Department of Transportation mutually adopted the Yadkin County CTP on January 8, 2015.