2EN2 Stage 2 - Traffic Noise and Air Quality

QA Checklist for TRAFFIC NOISE REPORT

| SPOT ID/Project TIP #: | |
|------------------------|--|
| County: | |

Note: This QA checklist is for the initial submittal only. For subsequent submittals, the Comment/Response matrix will serve as QA checklist.

| ltem# | Review Item | Yes | No | N/A |
|----------|---|-----|----|-----|
| QA.0 | Appropriate QC has taken place (all applicable State and Federal regulations, standards, and policies are met and all calculations, designs, reports, etc. are complete, accurate and reasonable.) | | | |
| QA.1 | Reporting | | | |
| QA.1.1 | Prepared by a traffic noise analyst prequalified with NCDOT to prepare a TNR. | | | |
| QA.1.2 | The Traffic Noise Report Template has been followed. | | | |
| QA.1.2.1 | Includes the appropriate version of the NCDOT Traffic Noise Policy on the Cover Page. | | | |
| QA.1.2.2 | Includes accurate statement on the likelihood of noise barriers in the Executive Summary. | | | |
| QA.1.2.3 | Includes noise model validation results that adequately demonstrate the accuracy of the TNM models. | | | |
| QA.1.2.4 | Includes an accurate Traffic Noise Impact Summary table. | | | |
| QA.1.2.5 | Includes an accurate Noise Barrier Analysis Summary table with all evaluated noise barriers (both likely and unlikely). | | | |
| QA.1.2.6 | Includes accurate statement on the likelihood of noise barriers in the Conclusion. | | | |
| QA.2 | Figures | | | |
| QA.2.1 | Includes all noise sensitive receptors and defines the outer limit of impacts and benefits for the project. | | | |
| QA.2.2 | Figures accurately depict impacted and/or benefited and non-impacted and/or non-impacted receptors, as well as likely vs. unlikely noise barriers. Benefited receptors are included only for likely noise barriers. | | | |
| QA.3 | Appendices | | | |
| QA.3.1 | Includes information on the ambient noise level measurements consistent with the approved Noise Model Validation Memo. | | | |
| QA.3.2 | Hourly Equivalent Traffic Noise Level Tables appendix presents accurate predicted noise level results for all noise-sensitive receptors and follows the table format from Section 11.20.2 of the 2022 NCDOT Traffic Noise Manual. | | | |
| QA.3.2.1 | Equivalent receptor calculation tables for all appropriate locations are included, accurate, and follow the format from Appendix C of the 2022 NCDOT Traffic Noise Manual. | | | |

| Item # | Review Item | Yes | No | N/A |
|----------|--|-----|----|-----|
| QA.3.3 | Noise Barrier Analysis tables adequately demonstrate that NCDOT feasibility and reasonableness criteria either have or have not been met for all evaluated noise barriers. | | | |
| QA.3.3.1 | Noise Barrier Analysis tables for each likely noise barrier do not suggest that the barrier has been overdesigned or underdesigned with respect to NRDG. | | | |
| QA.3.3.2 | Includes table outlining accurate calculation of allowable quantity per benefit for all evaluated noise barriers. | | | |
| QA.3.4 | Traffic Information appendix provides a sufficient level of detail for the reader to recreate the development of traffic volumes for TNM. | | | |
| QA.4 | TNM Files | | | |
| QA.4.1 | TNM files included that encompass the entire project study area for every scenario and alternative included in the TNR. | | | |
| QA.4.2 | All TNM modeling follows the guidance outlined in Section 7.10 of the 2022 NCDOT Traffic Noise Manual. | | | |
| QA.4.3 | For each TNM file, the traffic volume input is accurate and consistent with the Traffic Information appendix. | | | |
| QA.4.4 | For each TNM file, all vehicle speeds are modeled as posted speed + 5 mph (not to exceed the design speed). | | | |
| QA.4.5 | With noise barrier TNM models include all evaluated iterations of noise barrier design and demonstrate that barrier optimization has been conducted in a sufficient level of detail, making every effort to find feasible and reasonable noise barrier designs that achieve the NRDG for as many predicted traffic noise impacts as possible | | | |
| QA.5 | Miscellaneous | | | |
| QA.5.1 | Includes noise barrier analyses for all appropriate and feasible locations with predicted traffic noise impacts. | | | |
| QA.5.2 | Parallel barrier analyses and absorptive material discussions address all pertinent locations and have appropriate and clear conclusions. | | | |
| QA.5.3 | Analysis of areas with existing noise walls was correctly conducted, and discussion of it in the report is clear. | | | |
| QA.5.4 | Other (non-traffic) major noise sources in the project study area (railroads, airports, etc.) appropriately discussed. | | | |

| For items marked NO or N/A that require juriner explanation, provide comments or action items in the table below | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Item # | Comments and Action Items | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| This | hecklist may not be comprehensive to every project. All items m | ry not be applicable for smaller | | | |
| | ojects. It is the responsibility of the reviewer to ensure that an ad | | | | |
| I have reviewed the deliverables for consistency with this checklist and confirmed that all items have | | | | | |
| been com | , | | | | |
| Q.A | Reviewer Name: | Date: | | | |
| QA Reviewer (Signature): | | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |