# North Carolina Department of Transportation

# NEPA/SEPA Consultation Form

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| STIP Project No. | **X-####** |
| WBS Element | **#####** |
| Federal Aid Project No. | **#########** |

1. Project Description, Location, and Purpose:  
   In this section, provide a brief description of the proposed project. Identify the project’s location (including the applicable NCDOT Division), and provide the project’s Purpose and Need. The information in this section should be consistent with the information found in the approved Environmental Document or Supporting Environmental Documentation. If the project is LAP-led, please state which entity is the project proponent.
2. Consultation Phase: (Check one)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Right-of-Way |
|  | Construction |
|  | Other: Identify the trigger – (e.g., design change, change in impacts) |

1. NEPA/SEPA Class of Action Initially Approved as: (Check one)

**Click on what applies and DELETE THE ROWS THAT DO NOT APPLY**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | FHWA Class I (EIS/ROD) | Date(s) |
|  | FHWA Class II (CE) | Date(s) |
|  | FHWA Class III (EA/FONSI) | Date(s) |
|  | SEPA MCDC | Date(s) |
|  | SEPA EA/FONSI | Date(s) |
|  | SEPA EIS/ROD | Date(s) |
|  | Other: Identify | Date(s) |
| Additional Notes:For CEs, denote Type I A/B/C, Type II A/B, Type III | | |

1. Changes in Proposed Action & Environmental Consequences:   
   In this section, describe the changes to the proposed project and the resulting impacts. In addition, identify if the changes have the potential to be significant. The types of changes that should be identified here include substantial changes to the proposed design, such as modifications to intersections or interchanges, bridge or culvert changes, right-of-way modifications, or a change in the control of access. Changes in the study area, changes in detours, or changes in environmental conditions (such as a newly listed threatened or endangered species) should also be discussed. For a project that was documented as a CE, review the 7 threshold questions and see if any of those changed from a “No” to “Yes”; if so, document those changes here and denote the required coordination under sections F and G below. Please note that design progression or minor changes to the evaluation of impacts or environmental commitments do not require a consultation. If there are no changes to the proposed project or resulting impacts, include a brief statement to that effect.
2. Conclusion:   
   The above NEPA/SEPA documentation has been reevaluated (as required by either 23 CFR 771 or by NC General Statute Chapter 113A Article 1). It has been determined that the current proposed action is essentially the same as the original proposed action. Proposed changes, if any, are noted in Section D. It has been determined that anticipated social, economic, and environmental impacts were accurately described in the above referenced document(s) unless noted otherwise herein. Therefore, the original Administration Action remains valid.
3. Coordination

Appropriate personnel have discussed the current project parameters with qualified agency representatives and NCDOT/FHWA (where applicable). The Project Manager, Project Manager Name (Organization), hereby verifies the involvement of the following staff and the incorporation of their technical input:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Design Engineer: | Name (Org) | Date |
| Environmental Specialist: | Name (Org) | Date |
| FHWA (if applicable): | Name | Date |
| Other: | Name (Org) | Date |

1. Consultation Approval for Project TIP or Other #

**Prepared By:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

Date Name, Title

Organization

|  |
| --- |
| *can be a signature or just a typed name and title* |

**Prepared For:**

NCDOT, Division Unit or Name

**Reviewed By:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

Date Name, Title of Qualified NCDOT reviewer

NCDOT Division/Unit name

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Approved** | In adherence with 23 CFR 771 (NEPA) or NC General Statute Chapter 113A Article 1 (SEPA), NCDOT approves this Consultation. |
|  | or |  |
|  | **Certified** | NCDOT staff certifies if FHWA signature was previously required or where changes have resulted in FHWA signature being required. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

Date Name, Division Engineer – or – Unit Head

North Carolina Department of Transportation

FHWA Approved: FHWA signature required for Type I or II CE with Substantial Changes, Type III CE, FONSI or ROD.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

Date *for* Yolonda K. Jordan, Division Administrator

Federal Highway Administration

1. Project Commitments (as of Date)

**REVISE AS NEEDED, RE-ISSUE BASED ON CONSULTATION DATE**

**TIP No.**

**Project Name  
County**

**Federal Aid Project No.  
WBS No.**