## CHECKLIST FOR DRAINAGE STUDY AND HYDRAULIC DESIGN

THIS PAGE SHOULD BE COMPLETED AND APPROVED PRIOR TO FIELD RECONNAISSANCE VISIT

APPROVED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE :

I.D.: COUNTY: PROJECT ENGINEER: DATE:

- 1. REVIEW PLANNING REPORT AND NATURAL RESOURCES TECHNICAL REPORT (NRTR). IDENTIFY COMMITMENTS OR REQUIREMENTS WHICH WOULD AFFECT THE DESIGN.
- 2. IDENTIFY PRIOR SURVEYS AT STREAM CROSSINGS, INCLUDING UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM STRUCTURES. INCLUDE STRUCTURE NUMBER, SIZE, AND LOCATION.
- 3. IDENTIFY FLOOD ZONE STATUS.
- 4. IDENTIFY STREAM GAGES IN AREA. (DATES AND FREQUENCIES OF MAJOR FLOODS)
- 5. LIST DRAINAGE AREA(S) AND SOURCE(S).
- 6. PROVIDE DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES.
- 7. DEVELOP PRELIMINARY DESIGN DISCHARGES.
- 8. ESTIMATE PROPOSED STRUCTURE TYPE(S) AND SIZE(S).
- 9. DETERMINE POSSIBLE PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.
- 10. REVIEW AVAILABLE SURVEY DATA.
- 11. IDENTIFY ANY HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC STUDIES WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA BY AGENCIES SUCH AS: THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS, TVA, NRCS, CITIES OR COUNTIES.

## FIELD INVESTIGATIONS

THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE FIELD SURVEY NOTES: (CHECK LOCATION AND SURVEY NOTES AND SUPPLEMENT WITH ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT MAY BE REQUIRED) ANSWER YES, NO, N/A, OR COMMENT AS APPLICABLE

1. TOPO IS TO INCLUDE BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- a. \_\_\_\_\_ CHANNEL BANKS AND WATERS EDGES
- b. \_\_\_\_\_ EXISTING STRUCTURES (BRIDGES, CULVERTS, AND STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEMS)
- c.\_\_\_\_\_UTILITIES (POWER, WATER, GAS, TELEPHONE, SANITARY SEWER, ETC.)
- d. ROADWAY PAVEMENT, SHOULDERS AND TOE OF FILL
- e. \_\_\_\_\_ ANY DEVELOPMENT ADJACENT TO SITE, UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM
- f. \_\_\_\_\_LIMITS OF FLOODPLAIN
- g. \_\_\_\_\_ DRAINAGE COURSES AND DRAINAGE DITCHES

## 2. LEVELS

- a. \_\_\_\_\_ CENTERLINE PROFILES OF NATURAL GROUND AND EXISTING HIGHWAY (WHERE APPLICABLE) ACROSS FLOODPLAIN
- b. SECTION UNDER BRIDGE
- c. \_\_\_\_\_SIZE, DEPTHS, AND INVERTS OF ALL CULVERTS AND STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEMS
- d. \_\_\_\_\_STREAM BED, NATURAL GROUND, AND WATER SURFACE PROFILE ELEVATIONS (NORMAL, AT DATE OF SURVEY, AND ORIDINARY HIGH WATER) UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM FOR A SUFFICIENT DISTANCE BEYOND LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION. (EXTEND OUTLET DITCH PROFILES AS FAR AS NECESSARY TO REACH ADEQUATE CAPACITY).
- e. \_\_\_\_\_ FLOODPLAIN CROSS-SECTIONS AS DEEMED NECESSARY FOR PERFORMING BACKWATER ANALYSIS
- f. \_\_\_\_\_ELEVATION OF ANY UPSTREAM OR DOWNSTREAM DEVELOPMENT THAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED IN DESIGN (EXAMPLE: FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION AND LOWEST ADJACENT GRADE OF HOUSES, BASEMENTS, YARDS, GARDENS, BARNS, AND PONDS)
- g. \_\_\_\_\_ ELEVATION OF ANY DEBRIS OR OTHER HIGH WATER MARKS

- 3. SCOUR POTENTIAL: OBTAIN THE FOLLOWING FIELD INFORMATION IN ADDITION TO THE NORMAL BRIDGE CROSSING DATA
  - a. \_\_\_\_\_ WHAT IS THE STREAM BED AND FLOODPLAIN MATERIAL? IF SAND, IS IT FINE ,MEDIUM, OR COARSE?
  - b. \_\_\_\_\_ ARE THE STREAM BANKS STABLE? ARE THERE VISIBLE SLUMPS, VERTICAL BANKS, LEANING TREES, OR UNDERCUT BANKS?

AT EXISTING CROSSING SITES:

- c. \_\_\_\_\_OBTAIN A TYPICAL CHANNEL SECTION AT SUFFICIENT DISTANCE UP OR DOWNSTREAM BEYOND CROSSING EFFECTS
- d. OBTAIN BED PROFILE EXTENDING WELL BEYOND SCOUR AREA
- e. IDENTIFY THE TYPE FOUNDATION OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURE

IF FOOTING IS VISIBLE, NOTE CONDITION

- f. \_\_\_\_\_OBSERVE GROUND CONDITIONS AROUND EXISTING PIERS AND ABUTMENTS IS THERE INDICATION OF PREVIOUS SCOUR? IF SO, NOTE APPROXIMATE DEPTH.
- 4. RECONNAISSANCE
  - a. \_\_\_\_\_ DRIFT POTENTIAL, SIZE, AND QUANTITY. (QUESTION SOURCES WHEN HIGH-WATER INFORMATION IS OBTAINED).
  - b. \_\_\_\_\_ IDENTIFY CULTURE IN FLOODPLAIN FOR DETERMINATION OF FLOW RESISTANCE AND DISTRIBUTION (ESTIMATE "N" VALUES)
  - c. \_\_\_\_\_ IDENTIFY DEVELOPMENT IN FLOODPLAIN THAT COULD BE AFFECTED BY BACKWATER, DOWNSTREAM EROSION OR REDUCTION OF FLOW
  - d. \_\_\_\_\_ IDENTIFY STORAGE AREAS SUCH AS PONDS, LAKES, ETC., FOR POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENT OF DISCHARGE RATES WHERE APPLICABLE
  - e. \_\_\_\_\_ REVIEW ADEQUACY OF DOWNSTREAM CHANNELS FOR CONVEYANCE OF INCREASED DISCHARGE RATES
  - f. PHOTOGRAPHS OF SITE(S)
  - g. \_\_\_\_\_ IDENTIFY POTENTIAL WETLAND / JURISDICTIONAL STREAMS

- 5. OBTAIN HISTORICAL H.W. INFORMATION SOURCES: (NAMES, ADDRESSES, AND PERIOD OF KNOWLEDGE OF PROVIDER).
  - a. \_\_\_\_\_LOCAL RESIDENTS
  - b. \_\_\_\_\_BRIDGE MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL
  - c. ROADWAY MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL
  - d. FREQUENT ROAD USERS (EX. MAILMAN, DELIVERY PEOPLE)

QUESTIONS:

- a. MAXIMUM H.W. WHEN IT OCCURRED?, WHAT DAMAGE OCCURRED?,
- b. \_\_\_\_\_OTHER LESSER FLOOD LEVELS, HOW OFTEN?
- c. \_\_\_\_\_YEARLY OCCURRENCE
- 6. DATA ON UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM CROSSINGS
  - a. \_\_\_\_\_SIZE
  - b. \_\_\_\_\_ RELATIVE LEVELS OF STRUCTURE AND ROADWAY
  - c. \_\_\_\_\_EXISTING ISSUES (DEBRIS, SCOUR, ETC.)

## HYDRAULIC STUDY

THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE DESIGN ENGINEER AT THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT DESIGN.

1. WHAT DESIGN FREQUENCIES WERE USED FOR DRAINAGE STRUCTURES? WHY?

- 2. WHAT ALTERNATES HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED FOR THE MAJOR DRAINAGE STRUCTURES?
- 3. HAS AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS BEEN MADE FOR ANY CROSSING DESIGN? HAS A LESSER DESIGN STANDARD BEEN CONSIDERED?
- 4. HAS PROPOSED STRUCTURE OR DESIGN BEEN CHANGED FROM WHAT WAS RECOMMENDED IN PLANNING DOCUMENT? IF SO, HAS PDEA BEEN NOTIFIED OF CHANGES?
- 5. HAVE PROVISIONS BEEN MADE FOR UTILITY CONFLICTS?
- 6. HAVE EVALUATIONS BEEN MADE OF OUTLET CHANNELS FOR POTENTIAL EFFECT OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT?