

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the North Carolina Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) is to provide a continuous and systematic procedure that identifies and reviews specific traffic safety concerns throughout the state. Within these areas are determined the potentially hazardous (PH) locations that are possibly deficient. The ultimate goal of the HSIP process is to reduce the number of traffic crashes, injuries, and fatalities by reducing the potential for these incidents on public roadways. The Traffic Safety Unit continuously strives to improve the identification of relevant traffic safety issues, minimum warranting criteria, and the location selection process.

The 2012 HSIP is a preliminary list of PH locations intended to be primarily utilized by engineers within the Transportation Mobility and Safety Division as well as NCDOT Division Operations personnel. However, other interested parties may also use the information presented here. These locations are divided into three categories: intersections, sections, and bicycle/pedestrian intersections. We encourage anyone using this information to contact us with any questions about the safety program.

It is important to understand what this preliminary list of statewide locations signifies. The following are a few key points regarding the 2012 HSIP that may help non-primary users:

- Each location listed has been flagged as potentially exceeding at least one safety warrant. This list is not based on frequency alone and this program is not an effort to list locations around the state that experience the highest number of crashes. Basically, each location listed has a targeted pattern of crashes that can be identified, analyzed, investigated, and recommended for appropriate countermeasures where applicable.
- Locations are weighted and prioritized using many factors. These factors are used to rank locations for analysis and investigation in a particular category.
- This list is not an effective “Top Ten Most Dangerous Locations in the State” type of list. Any effort to measure “danger” is subjective and the HSIP is an effort to remove subjectiveness from the selection of locations that may need to be analyzed and investigated.

2012 HSIP OVERVIEW

No changes have been made to the intersection safety warrants for the 2012 cycle of the HSIP. Only minor adjustments have been made for the section safety warrants.

Total potentially hazardous locations identified:

- 1864 potentially hazardous intersection locations were identified.
- 570 potentially hazardous section locations were identified.
- 99 potentially hazardous bicycle/pedestrian intersection locations were identified.

This publication consists of only the top 400 and 200 potentially hazardous locations in the intersection and section categories, respectively. It contains all the bicycle/pedestrian intersection locations. The entire PH location listing by county and type can be found on our web page.

SAFETY ANALYSES

Detailed crash analyses based on the most recent crash data are completed for locations prior to field investigation by the Regional Traffic Engineer's office. The analyses will be conducted using the following guidelines below.

If a Regional Traffic Engineering office decides to initiate an investigation of a listed PH location before receiving an HSIP package, they should notify the HSIP Engineer of this activity and the results of the investigation.

All Analyses

- The analyst should contact the appropriate Regional Traffic Engineering Office prior to conducting a crash analysis to determine if there is any recent or current project near the PH location.
- The analysis period will be the most recent five or ten years of available data based on the warrant criteria.
- Collision diagrams, response form and available signal plans will be sent electronically.
- Copies of all crashes will be sent electronically with each analysis.
- Regional staff will be notified electronically of locations that do not have a significant, correctable crash pattern.
- Analysis of locations maintained by municipalities will be sent directly to the municipality and the package will only include the crash analysis and a code index.

Intersection Analyses

- The Y-line will be 150 feet.
- All loop situations will be separated and analyzed on an individual basis.
- Interchanges will be broken out with each intersection of the interchange being analyzed separately. However, if the situation necessitates that the location be treated as one spot (i.e. - night crashes spread throughout the interchange) then it will be analyzed as a whole.

Section Analyses

- The Y-line will be 0 feet.
- The endpoints of the locations will be adjusted to the most precise section possible. However, separate locations within close proximity to each other may be incorporated into a single location.
- Animal crashes will be deleted from the analysis. In most cases, there are no effective and feasible countermeasures to reduce this crash type.

Bicycle/Pedestrian Analyses

- The Y-line will be 50 feet for all non-motorist locations
 - Only bicycle and pedestrian crashes will be analyzed and included in the final analysis statistics (unless otherwise requested).
 - Collision diagrams will only be completed for locations that have a definitive non-motorist pattern.
-

FIELD RECOMMENDATIONS

The TSSS is required to regularly evaluate the HSIP with regards to the development and evaluation of warrant criteria, the PH location selection process, and the development and effectiveness of treatments. This evaluation will provide a gauge for overall program effectiveness and also provide insight to any necessary modifications. The Safety Evaluation Group will evaluate the effectiveness of treatments in order to develop crash modification factors. In order to accomplish this, the Regional Traffic Engineer should return the following information to the HSIPG for every PH location that is investigated (even if a project is not developed for spot safety or hazard elimination funding). A response form will be supplied to assist with this process:

- Location information (county, city and description) including precise endpoints for section locations.
- PH number and HSIPG analysis work order number. Include TEB File Number on all correspondence.
- Overview narrative of the location (i.e. – traffic control, configuration, immediate land use, etc.). A condition diagram can be substituted in lieu of a narrative.
- Historical narrative and other pertinent information related to the location (i.e. – recent improvements, zoning changes, crash patterns, collision diagram, complaints, etc.). We need the dates the project was started and completed, what was involved, and the type of project (i.e., spot safety, maintenance, etc.).
- Signal phasing (protected only, protected-permitted, etc.).
- Photographs (if possible).
- Description of any recommended treatment(s). Keep in mind that recommendations for bicycle and pedestrian locations or for older and teen driver-involved locations may not necessarily conform to traditional traffic engineering improvements and that a wider perspective of treatments may be necessary.
- Date construction began (or let date) and end date of construction.
- Completed field investigation worksheets (if a bicycle and/or pedestrian location).
- Documentation for no recommendations.