Evaluation of the Conversion from Two-Way Stop Sign Control to All-Way Stop Sign Control at 53 Locations Statewide

Findings of a Report Authored by: Carrie L. Simpson, PE Safety Evaluation Group NCDOT Transportation Mobility & Safety Division

> Joseph E. Hummer, Ph.D., PE North Carolina State University

X

March 24, 2010

Introduction

- Growing interest in all-way stop conversion
 - Low cost
 - Quick to implement
 - Treats pattern of high severity frontal impact crashes
- Few current, up-to-date studies quantifying safety benefits
 - <u>Goal</u>: Develop crash reduction factors that reflect North Carolina conditions and decision-making

Evaluation Objectives

- 1. What is the reduction in total and target crashes at intersections converted to all-way stop control?
- 2. Is there a difference in crash reductions when allway stop intersections are equipped with a flashing beacon?
- 3. What role do intersection volume and approach speed limits play in crash reductions at converted intersections?



Crash Types Analyzed

Total, Frontal Impact, Injury, & "Ran Stop Sign" Crashes –

- Target: Frontal Impact Crashes occurring in the intersection or related to the intersection.
 - Injury crashes include both fatal & non-fatal injury crashes.
- "Ran Stop Sign" crashes defined as a crash in which the officer noted that the vehicle disregarded the stop sign or it could be reasonably inferred from the speeds at impact that the vehicle did not stop at the stop sign.

Recommended		Percent	Red	uction	Silva Maria
CRF's:	Total Crashes All Sites	-68.1%	+/-	2.2%	Group 1:
Total: -68%	Group 1 Group 2	-60.7% -80.2%	+/- +/-	3.3% 3.9%	Group 2:
Injury: -77%	Group 3 Injury Crashes	-81.7%	+/-	3.5%	With Flashers in Both Before & After Period
FI: -75%	All Sites Group 1	-77.0% -72.4%	+/- +/-	2.5% 3.7%	Group 3 : Flashers Installed
Ran Stop: -15%	Group 2 Group 3	-86.5% -86.6%	+/- +/-	4.8% 4.0%	<u>With</u> All-Way Stop
	All Sites	-75.3%	+/-	2.0%	STOP
	Group 2 Group 3	-70.1% -84.4% -85.7%	+/- +/-	3.7% 3.3%	
	"Ran Stop Sign" Crashes All Sites	-14.5%	+/-	11.2%	
	Group 1 Group 2	-5.7% -33.3%	+/- +/-	15.2% 27.5%	
	Group 3	-30 0%	+/-	20.1%	and the second se

"+/-" notation indicates the standard deviation of an estimated value.

Crash Analysis Results

Total Crashes (All S	ites)
Predicted After Period Crashes	977
Actual After Period Crashes	312
Predicted – Actual Crashes	665
	the stand
Injury Crashes (All S	Sites)
Predicted After Period Crashes	481
Actual After Period Crashes	111
Predicted – Actual Crashes	370
A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER	
Frontal Impact Crashes (All Sites)
Predicted After Period Crashes	812
Actual After Period Crashes	201
Predicted – Actual Crashes	611

Crash Analysis Results

Naïve Before and After Analysis (All Sites):

Rear End Crashes +6.2% +/- 22.3%

Ran Off Road Crashes -46.9% +/- 12.2%

Other Crashes

+5.9% +/- 24.1%

Crash Analysis Results: 2 Months After Installation*

	Before Period	2 Months After Installation	After Period
Total Crashes/Yr	4.3 (598)	2.3 (12)	1.2 (151)
Injury Crashes/Yr	2.5 (337)	1.2 (6)	0.5 (60)

* Using 31 sites with specific installation dates

















Influence of Speed Limits

Relationship between Speed Limits & Total Crashes at Treatment Sites All Locations



Influence of Speed Limits

Relationship between Speed Limits & Total Crashes at Treatment Sites Group 1 Locations (<u>Non-Flasher</u>)



Additional Signing & Marking

Rural, 45 mph Location – 2 Weeks Post Installation



Safety Effect of Flashers

	Percen	t Red	uction
Total Crashes			
All Sites	-68.1%	+/-	2.2%
Group 1	-60.7%	+/-	3.3%
Group 2	-80.2%	+/-	3.9%
Group 3	-81.7%	+/-	3.5%

Group 1: <u>Without</u> Flashers **Group 2**: <u>With</u> Flashers in Both Before & After Periods **Group 3**: Flashers Installed <u>With</u> All-Way Stop

Percent of Sites with Moderate to High Approach Speed Limits: Group 1: 58% Group 2: 87%

Group 3: 75%

Influence of Entering AADT

Influence of Intersection AADT on Crash Reductions at Treatment Sites



Influence of Volume Share

Influence of Minor Road Volume Share on Crash Reductions at Treatment Sites



Typical Installation Cost

ALL-WAY STOP INSTALLATION COST

SIGNING COST	Price/Unit	Unit	Total	
Two Transportation Workers (per hour)	\$55.10	4	\$220	
Sign Truck (per hour)	\$32.13	4	\$129	
48" stop sign (per sign)	\$119.45	4	\$478	
48" stop ahead sign (per sign)	\$162.25	4	\$649	
36"x12" all-way plaque (per sign)	\$27.21	8	\$218	
12 ft. U-channel post (per post)	\$27.55	16	\$441	
	· · ·		\$2,134	
MARKING COST	Price/Unit	Unit	Total	
Labor	\$55.10	4	\$220	
Equipment	\$37.40	1	\$37	
Two Stop Bar Pack	\$127.80	1	\$128	
Stop Symbols	\$190.70	2	\$381	
Ahead Symbols	\$264.60	2	\$529	
			\$1,296	
PE Cost			\$1,000]
NON-FL	ASHER TOTAL	(LOW END)	\$4.430	<= Round Up to \$5.00

NON-FLASHER TOTAL (LOW END)

<= Round Up to \$5,000

FLASHER (INSTALLATION / UPGRADES) TOTAL (HIGH END) \$20,000

Benefit-Cost Analysis Example

Hopewell Church Rd at Welborn Rd, Randolph County

All-Way Stop with Overhead Flasher INSTALLATION DATE: 7/28/2004

ST AVG. ANNUAL BENEFI	TS = AVG. ANNUAL P	ENEFITS - TOT	AL ANNUAL COST		=	\$29,251	F	B/C = 11.28
					Annua	al Benefits from O	rash Cost Saving	s \$32,096
ORE ER	4.58 4.58	0 0	0.00	7 1	1.53 0.22	10 0	2.18 0.00	\$36,026 \$3,930
IME PERIOD	YEARS	K & A CRASHES	K & A CRASHES PER YR	B & C CRASHES	B & C CRASHES PER YR	PDO CRASHES	PDO CRASHES PER YR	ANNUAL COSTS
		ESTIMATED N	UMBER OF ANNUA	L ACCIDENT DECR	EASES			
OMPREHENSIVE COST REI	UCTION:							
	TOTAL ANNUAL TOTAL COST O	COST= F PROJECT=				\$2,845 \$9,500		
	ESTIMATED IN ESTIMATED IN	CREASE IN ANN CREASE IN ANN	UAL MAINT. COS UAL UTILITY CO	ST = ST =		\$600 (\$350 (Overhead Flasher Overhead Flasher)	& Markings)
	TOTALS		\$9,500	7	0.199	\$1,895	TOTAL	= \$9500
erhead Flasher (Utili	ties & PE Included	1)	\$7,500	10	0.149	\$1,118	PE = \$1	500
2 Pavement	Signs (Regular Size : Markings (Minimal	e) .)	\$1,000 \$1,000	6 2	0.216 0.561	\$216 \$561	Utilities	= \$1000
			IUIAL	SERVICE	CRP	ANNUAL COST	Contract	t = \$7000

Benefit-Cost Analysis Example

Courtland Rd at Harkey Rd, Lee County

All-Way Stop w/Overhead Flasher & Two Solar Powered Stop Sign Flashers INSTALLATION DATE: 3/19/2003

Si Pavement Overhead & Sign Flashers (Utilit	ITEMS gns (Regular Size Markings (Minimal ies & PE Included	e) .) 1)	TOTAL \$1,000 \$1,000 \$13,000	SERVICE 6 2 10	CRF 0.216 0.561 0.149	ANNUAL C \$216 \$561 \$1,937	Spot Safety \$ Overhead Fla ESTIMATE \$15,000	510,000 + asher \$5000 2 D TOTAL =
	TOTALS		\$15,000	8	0.181	\$2,714		
E	STIMATED INCREASE STIMATED INCREASE	IN ANNUAL MA IN ANNUAL UT	INT. COST = ILITY COST =			\$700 \$350	(Overhead/Sign Fla (Overhead Flasher)	shers & Markings)
T T	OTAL ANNUAL COST= OTAL COST OF PROJ	ECT=				\$3,764 \$15,000		
COMPREHENSIVE COST REDUCTION	1							
		ESTIMATED N	UMBER OF ANNU	AL ACCIDENT DE	CREASES			
TIME PERIOD	YEARS	K & A CRASHES	K & A CRASHES PER YR	B & C CRASHES	B & C CRASHES PER YR	PDO CRASHES	PDO CRASHES PER YR	ANNUAL COSTS
BEFORE	5.92 5.92	0	0.00	22 2	3.72	33 6	5.57 1.01	\$88,632 \$10,034
						Annual Benefi	ts from Crash Cost Sa	vings \$78,598
NET AVG. ANNUAL BENEFITS = AV BENEFIT-COST RATIO = AVG ANNU	G. ANNUAL BENEFI:	TS - TOTAL AN L ANNUAL COST	NUAL COST		-	\$74,834 20.88	B/0	C = 20.88/1
TOTAL COST OF	PROJECT -		\$15,000		COMPREHENS	IVE B/C RATIO	- 20	.88

Benefit-Cost Analysis Example

Honeycutt Rd at Brassfield Rd, Wake County

All-Way Stop INSTALLATION DATE: 12/3/2003

	A DECK A DECK							421041
DETAILED COST:	TYPE IMPROVEMEN	T - 1	All-Way Stop				ESTIM	ATED
	ITEMS		TOTAL	SERVICE	CRF	ANNUAL COST	TOTAL	. = \$5,000
								2
Si	gns (Oversize Stops)		\$2,500	6	0.216	\$541		
Pavement Markin	gs (With Stop Ahead)		\$1,500	2	0.561	\$841		
	PE		\$1,000	10	0.149	\$149		
	TOTALS		\$5,000	4	0.306	\$1,531		1
	ESTIMATED INCRE ESTIMATED INCRE	ASE IN ANN ASE IN ANN	WAL MAINT. COS WAL UTILITY CO	T = ST =		\$200 \$0	(Markings)	
	TOTAL ANNUAL CO TOTAL COST OF P	ST= ROJECT=				\$1,731 \$5,000		
COMPREHENSIVE COST R	EDUCTION:							1.
	E	STIMATED N	UMBER OF ANNUAL	L ACCIDENT DEC	REASES			
TIME PERIOD	YEARS	K & A CRASHES	K & A CRASHES PER YR	B & C CRASHES	B & C CRASHES PER YR	PDO CRASHES	PDO CRASHES PER YR	ANNUAL COSTS
FORE	5.16	1	0.19	14	2.71	12	2.33	\$154,806
TER	5.16	0	0.00	1	0.19	3	0.58	\$5,756
					Annu	al Benefits from (Crash Cost Sav	ings \$149,050
NET AVG. ANNUAL BENE BENEFIT-COST RATIO =	FITS = AVG. ANNUAL BENN AVG ANNUAL BENEFITS/T	EFITS - TO	TAL ANNUAL COS	I.	=	\$147,319 86.11		B/C = 86.1
TOTAL	COST OF PROJECT	-	\$5,000	\sim	COMPREHENSI	VE B/C RATIO -	86	.11

Two Locations:

- Junction at Ferrell in Durham Co.
- Cornwallis at Josephine/ Shiloh in Johnston Co.



Junction at Ferrell in Durham Co : AM PEAK

Control Delay Analysis

SR 1838 (Junction Rd) at SR 1671 (Ferrell Rd)

Shaded Area from May 2008 Counts

	Volume	PHF	Flow Rate	Stopped Delay	Control Delay	FR'CD	LOS
EB	63	0.806	78	7.9	14.5	1133.4	B
WB	162	0.773	210	7.1	13.7	2871.2	8
NB	96	0.923	104	0	0	0.0	A
SB	46	0.719	64	0	0	0.0	A
	38 - S	Sum(FR)	456	(Sum(FR*CD)	4004.5	Q
				Intersectio	n Control Delay	8.8	4
Sec. 12	Volume	PHF	Flow Rate	Stopped Delay	Control Delay	FR'CD	LOS
	Volume	PHF	Flow Rate	Stopped Delay	Control Delay	FR'CD	LOS
	03	0.006	103	4.(11.3	1103.0	
WB	187	0.773	242	5.8	12.4	2999.7	B
	78	0.923	85	4.6	/ 1日21、	946.5	8
NB		0.719	58	5,4	<u>`12</u>	701.0	B .
NB SB	42	Contraction of the second					
NB SB	42	Sum(FR)	488		Sum(FR*CD)	5810.8	
NB SB	42	Sum(FR)	488	Intersectio	Sum(FR*CD) n Control Delay	5810.8 11.9	в

Junction at Ferrell in Durham Co : PM PEAK

Control Delay Analysis

SR 1838 (Junction Rd) at SR 1671 (Ferrell Rd)

Shaded Area from May 2008 Counts

045 3653	Volume	PHF	Flow Rate	Stopped Delay	Control Delay	FR*CD	LOS
EB	296	0.881	336	12.4	19	6383.7	C .
WB.	68	0.809	84	2.9	9,5	798.5	AS.
NB	130	0.793	164	0	0	0.0	A
SB	52	0.722	72	0	0	0.0	- A.
		Sum(FR	656	8	Sum(FR*CD)	7182.2	
				Intersectio	n Control Delay	10.9	В
M Peak -	After	4/22/09	Cine Date I	Stopped Doity	Control Dainy	CDION	100
M Peak -	After	4/22/09	Disco Dista I	Officer of Carlos	Constant Distance	60100	1
M Peak - EB	After Volume	4/22/09 PHF 0.881	Flow Rate	Stopped Delay 9.6	Control Delay	FR*CD 5038.4	LOS
M Peak - EB WB	After Volume 274 80	4/22/09 PHF 0.881 0.809	Flow Rate	Stopped Delay 9.6 3.0	Control Delay 16.2 9.6	FR'CD 5038.4 949.3	LOS C
EB WB NB	After Volume 274 80 113	4/22/09 PHF 0.881 0.809 0.793	Flow Rate 311 99 142	Stopped Delay 9.6 3.0 5.8	Control Delay 16.2 9.5 12.4	FR*CD 5038.4 949.3 1767.0	LOS C A B
M Peak - EB WB NB SB	After Volume 274 80 113 48	4/22/09 PHF 0.881 0.809 0.793 0.722	Flow Rate 311 99 142 66	Stopped Delay 9.6 3.0 5.8 6.9	Control Delay 16.2 9.6 12.4 13.5	FR*CD 5038.4 949.3 1767.0 897.5	LOS C A B B
M Peak - EB WB NB SB	After Volume 274 80 113 48	4/22/09 PHF 0.881 0.809 0.793 0.722 Sum(FR)	Flow Rate 311 99 142 66 619	Stopped Delay 9.6 3.0 5.8 6.9	Control Delay 16.2 9.6 12.4 13.5 Sum(FR*CD)	FR*CD 5038.4 949.3 1767.0 897.5 8652.2	LOS C A B B
EB WB NB SB	After Volume 274 80 113 48	4/22/09 PHF 0.881 0.809 0.793 0.722 Sum(FR)	Flow Rate 311 99 142 66 619	Stopped Delay 9.6 3.0 5.8 6,9 Intersectio	Control Delay 16.2 9.6 12.4 13.5 Sum(FR*CD)	FR'CD 5038.4 949.3 1767.0 897.5 8652.2 14.0	LOC C A B B B



Cornwallis at Josephine/Shiloh in Johnston Co: AM PEAK

Control Delay Analysis

SR 1525 (Cornwallis Rd) at SR 1526 (Josephine Rd / Shiloh Rd)

Shaded Area from September 2007 Counts

	Volume	PHF	Flow Rate	Stopped Delay	Control Delay	FR'CD	LOS
EB	85	0.72	118	10.9	17,5	2066.0	0
WB	190	0.75	253	8.6	15.2	3850.7	C - C
NB	346	0.78	444	D	0	0.0	Ä
SB	237	0.7	339	0	6 1 1	0.0	(*) *

Intersection Control Delay

46944	Volume	PHE	Flow Rate	Stopped Delay	Control Delay	FR*CD	LOS
EB	92	0.72	128	8.5	15.1	1929.4	c
WB	173	0.75	231	6.8	13.4	3090.9	B
NB	324	0.78	415	6.5	/ 13.1	5441.5	8
SB	155	0.7	221	10.1	16.7	3697.9	C .
	in-the state of the	Sum(FR)	995		Sum(FR*CD)	14159.8	
				Intersectio	n Control Delay	14.2	8

AM Peak Intersection Control Delay Difference: AM Peak Intersection Control Delay % Change: 9.1 sec/vehicle increase 178% Increase

5.1

Δ.

Cornwallis at Josephine/Shiloh in Johnston Co: PM PEAK

Control Delay Analysis

SR 1525 (Cornwallis Rd) at SR 1526 (Josephine Rd / Shiloh Rd)



	Volume	PHF	Flow Rate	Stopped Delay	Control Delay	FR'CD	LOS
68	107	0.84	127	17.1	23.7	3018.9	C
WB	- 84	0.83	103	10.7	17.3	1750.8	C
NB	199	0.82	243	0	0	0.0	A
S8 -	519	0.87	597	0	0	0.0	-A
	12222	Sum(FR)	1068	- 12 - A	Samp in CO)	4769.8	8 <u>—</u> 55

Intersection Control Delay

4.5

PM Peak - After 11/12/08

a serie a personale	· 이상 방법 · 이상 이상 · 이상 · 이상 · 이상 · 이상 · 이상 · 이상						
Second Second	Volume	PHF	Flow Rate	Stopped Delay	Control Delay	FR'CD	LOS
EB	68	0.84	81	7.3	13.9	1125.2	В
WB	81	0.83	98	6.9	13.5	1317.5	8
NB	157	0.82	191	4,5	/ 11.1 N	2125.2	8
-58	455	0.67	523	15.2	~ 218 -	11401.1	C
		Sum(FR)	893		SUM(FR CD)	15969.1	0

Inter	Intersection Control Delay		
		contractory and	See.
PM Peak Intersection Control Delay Difference:	13.4	sec/vehicle i	ncrease
PM Peak Intersection Control Delay % Change:	238%	Increase	and brown

Delay and LOS Analysis

Comparison of the Delays and Level-of-Services for Two-Way Stop Control, All-Way Stop Control, and Signalization at the Intersection of SR 1525 (Cornwallis Rd) with SR 1526 (Josephine Rd)

Time Devial	LOS / Delay				
Time Period	Two-Way Stop	All-Way Stop	Signalization		
AM Peak Hour					
(7:15 – 8:15 AM)					
Northbound (Cornwallis	A / 0.5	D / 26.8	A / 8.9		
Rd)	A / 2.4	C / 18.7	A / 8.1		
Southbound (Cornwallis Rd)	F / 168.0	B / 13.7	B / 10.6		
Eastbound (Shiloh Rd)	D / 25.2	C / 16.0	A / 9.9		
Westbound (Josephine Rd)	NA	C / 20.3	A / 9.1		
Overall Intersection					
PM Peak Hour					
(5:15 – 6:15 PM)					
Northbound (Cornwallis	A / 0.2	B / 11.8	A / 4.5		
Rd)	A / 2.6	D / 26.5	A / 7.4		
Southbound (Cornwallis Rd)	E / 44.9	B / 11.4	B / 16.1		
Eastbound (Shiloh Rd)	C / 16.1	B / 10.3	B / 14.1		
Westbound (Josephine Rd)	NA	C / 19.6	A / 8.5		
Overall Intersection					

NA = Not Available

F / 999.9 = LOS / Delay (second/vehicle)

Central Office System Timing (COST) Group

NCDOT - Division of Mobility and Safety

Conclusions

Recommended Crash Reduction Factors:Total Crashes-68%Injury Crashes-77%Frontal Impact Crashes-75%Ran Stop Sign Crashes-15%



Conclusions

- Substantial reductions in total and target crash frequency & severity (no after-period fatalities at 53 sites)
- No noticeable increase in rear end crashes
- Overall decrease in "ran stop sign" crashes and much lower speeds at impact
- Effective at a wide range of AADT & volume share
- Greater reductions at higher speed limit sites
- Greater reductions at flasher sites
- Additional signing and marking likely contributes to greater crash reductions
- Extremely cost effective from a safety standpoint
 - Increase in intersection delay

>

>

QUESTIONS?

Presentation & Report Soon Available at <u>http://www.ncdot.org/doh/preconstruct/</u> <u>traffic/safety/Reports/completed.html</u>

> Contact info: <u>clsimpson@ncdot.gov</u> 919-662-4607